

MATH 210B. NOETHER NORMALIZATION THEOREM

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, and  $Z \subseteq k^n$  an irreducible closed subset. We seek a linear surjection  $L : k^n \rightarrow k^d$  such that in the resulting commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & k^n \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow L \\ & & k^d \end{array}$$

the map  $f$  is *finite surjective*. That is, if  $L$  has components  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d \in (k^n)^*$  (these  $\ell_j$  are simply linear forms in the  $x_i$ 's) then the induced  $k$ -algebra pullback map

$$L^* : k[T_1, \dots, T_d] \rightarrow k[Z]$$

defined by  $T_j \mapsto \ell_j$  is a module-finite injection. (The injectivity of this  $k$ -algebra map corresponds to  $f$  having dense image, but the integrality arising from module-finiteness forces  $f$  to be *closed* and thus to be surjective whenever it has dense image.) In class we saw how to do this when  $Z$  is an irreducible hypersurface (i.e.,  $Z = \underline{Z}(f)$  for irreducible  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ ) with  $d = n - 1$ , and the case  $Z = k^n$  is trivial (take  $d = n$ ,  $L$  the identity map). We shall now handle the general case by building on the hypersurface case and using induction on  $n$  via closedness properties of “finite morphisms”.

Consider the prime ideal  $P = \underline{I}(Z) \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . We may assume  $P \neq 0$  (as otherwise we are in the trivial case  $Z = k^n$ ), so there exists a nonzero  $f \in P$ . But  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a UFD and  $P$  is prime, so some irreducible factor of  $f$  also lies in  $P$ . That is,  $P$  contains an *irreducible*  $f$ . In geometric terms, this is just the assertion that  $Z$  lies in a proper hypersurface  $V$ , and by irreducibility of  $Z$  it follows that  $Z$  must lie in one of the irreducible components of  $V$ , which is necessarily an irreducible hypersurface (since irreducible elements of a UFD generate a prime ideal).

Now consider the situation  $Z \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow k^n$ , where  $k[V] \rightarrow k[Z]$  is surjective (quotient by  $(f)$  mapping onto quotient by  $P$ ). By the settled hypersurface case there is a linear surjection  $L : k^n \rightarrow k^{n-1}$  such that  $L|_V : V \rightarrow k^{n-1}$  is finite (i.e.,  $L^* : k[T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}] \rightarrow k[V]$  is a module-finite injection). Thus, the composite map

$$Z \hookrightarrow V \rightarrow k^{n-1}$$

is also finite (as a composition of module-finite ring maps is again module-finite) though probably not surjective. Nonetheless, we know that the *image*  $Z'$  of  $Z$  in  $k^{n-1}$  is a *closed* set due to the finiteness of the map on coordinate rings, and it inherits irreducibility from  $Z$ . In algebraic terms,

$$k[Z'] = \text{image}(k[T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}] \rightarrow k[Z]),$$

so the injective map  $k[Z'] \rightarrow k[Z]$  is module finite since  $L|_Z : Z \rightarrow k^{n-1}$  is “finite” (noted above). In other words, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & k^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow L \\ Z' & \longrightarrow & k^{n-1} \end{array}$$

in which the left map is a finite surjection, the map along the right side is a linear surjection, and  $Z'$  is an irreducible closed set in  $k^{n-1}$ . (Maybe  $Z' = k^{n-1}$ , or  $Z'$  is a hypersurface in  $k^{n-1}$ , or something else; hard to tell.)

Ah, now by *induction on  $n$*  there is a linear surjection  $L' : k^{n-1} \rightarrow k^d$  for some  $d \geq 0$  such that  $L'|_{Z'} : Z' \rightarrow k^d$  is a finite surjection (i.e., the  $k$ -algebra pullback map on coordinate rings is a module-finite injection of a  $d$ -variable polynomial ring into  $k[Z']$ ). Thus, the composite map

$$Z \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow k^d$$

is a finite surjection induced by the surjective linear composite map  $L' \circ L : k^n \rightarrow k^{n-1} \rightarrow k^d$ .

Strictly speaking, to carry out the induction we need to first check the base case  $n = 1$ . (Perhaps by logic games with the empty set we can begin with  $n = 0$ , but I'd rather not think about something as fake as that.) In this case our argument can be given directly in a way that works over any field with a domain quotient  $A$  of  $k[x]$ : either  $A$  is  $k[x]$  or it is  $k[x]/(f)$  for an irreducible  $f \in k[x]$ . In the first case we take  $d = 1$  and use the identity map on the affine line (or on  $k[x]$ ), whereas in the second case we see by inspection that  $A = k[x]/(f)$  is finite-dimensional over  $k$ , so we can take  $d = 0$  and use the unique  $k$ -algebra inclusion  $k \hookrightarrow A$ . (It would be a mistake to “ignore” the possibility  $d = 0$ , corresponding to  $Z$  a closed point, since otherwise the base of the induction will become slightly more complicated when  $k$  is not algebraically closed.)