## Algebraic Groups I. Homework 10

- 1. Let G be a smooth connected affine group over a field k.
- (i) For a maximal k-torus T in G and a smooth connected k-subgroup N in G that is normalized by T, prove that  $T \cap N$  is a maximal k-torus in N (e.g., smooth and connected!). Show by example that  $S \cap N$  can be disconnected for a non-maximal k-torus S. Hint: first analyze  $Z_G(T) \cap N$  using  $T \ltimes N$  to reduce to the case when T is central in G, and then pass to G/T.
- (ii) Let H be a smooth connected normal k-subgroup of G, and P a parabolic k-subgroup. If  $k = \overline{k}$  then prove  $(P \cap H)^0_{\text{red}}$  is a parabolic k-subgroup of H, and use Chevalley's theorem on parabolics being their own normalizers on geometric points (applied to H) to prove  $P \cap H$  is connected (hint: work over  $\overline{k}$ ).
- (iii) Granting  $Q = N_H(Q)$  scheme-theoretically for parabolic Q in H (Prop. 3.5.7 in Pseudo-reductive Groups; rests on structure theory of reductive groups), prove  $P \cap H$  in (ii) is smooth. (Hint: prove  $(P \cap H)^0_{\text{red}}$  is normal in P, hence in  $P \cap H$ !) In particular,  $B \cap H$  is a Borel k-subgroup of H for all Borels B of G.
- 2. Let k be a field, and  $G \in \{SL_2, PGL_2\}$ .
- (i) Define a unique  $PGL_2$ -action on  $SL_2$  lifting conjugation. Prove a k-automorphism of G preserving the standard Borel k-subgroup and the diagonal k-torus is induced by the action of a diagonal k-point of  $PGL_2$ .
- (ii) Prove that the homomorphism  $\operatorname{PGL}_2(k) \to \operatorname{Aut}_k(G)$  is an isomorphism. In particular, every k-automorphism of  $\operatorname{PGL}_2$  is inner. Show that  $\operatorname{SL}_2$  admits non-inner k-automorphisms if and only if  $k^{\times} \neq (k^{\times})^2$ .
- 3. Let  $\lambda : \mathbf{G}_m \to G$  be a 1-parameter k-subgroup of a smooth affine k-group G. Define  $\mu : U_G(\lambda^{-1}) \times P_G(\lambda) \to G$  to be multiplication. We seek to prove it is an open immersion. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ .
- (i) For  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  define  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  to be the *n*-weight space for  $\lambda$  (i.e.,  $\operatorname{ad}(\lambda(t)).X = t^n X$ ). Define  $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda \geq 0} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_n$ , and similarly for  $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda > 0}$ . Prove  $\operatorname{Lie}(P_G(\lambda)) = \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda \geq 0}$ ,  $\operatorname{Lie}(U_G(\lambda)) = \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda > 0}$ , and  $\operatorname{Tan}_{(e,e)}(\mu)$  is an isomorphism.
- (ii) If G = GL(V) and the  $\mathbf{G}_m$ -action on V has weights  $e_1 > \cdots > e_m$ , justify the block-matrix descriptions of  $U_G(\lambda^{\pm 1})$ ,  $Z_G(\lambda)$ , and  $P_G(\lambda)$ . Deduce  $U_G(\lambda^{-1})$  and  $P_G(\lambda)$  are smooth and have trivial intersection.
- (iii) Working over  $\overline{k}$  and using suitable left and right translations by geometric points, prove that  $d\mu(\xi)$  is an isomorphism for all  $\overline{k}$ -points  $\xi$  of  $U_G(\lambda^{-1}) \times P_G(\lambda)$ . Deduce that if  $U_G(\lambda^{-1})$  and  $P_G(\lambda)$  are smooth (OK for GL(V) by (ii)) then  $\mu$  induces an isomorphism between complete local rings at all  $\overline{k}$ -points, and conclude that  $\mu$  is flat and quasi-finite. Hence,  $\mu$  has open image in such cases.
- (iv) Using valuative criterion for properness, prove a flat quasi-finite separated map  $f: X \to Y$  between noetherian schemes is proper if all fibers  $X_y$  have the same rank. (Hint: base change to Y the spectrum of a dvr.) By Zariski's Main Theorem, proper quasi-finite maps are finite. Deduce  $\mu$  is an open immersion if  $U_G(\lambda^{-1})$  and  $P_G(\lambda)$  are smooth with trivial intersection. (Hint: finite flat of fiber-degree 1 is isomorphism.) This settles GL(V); handout on "dynamic approach to algebraic groups" yields the general case from this!
- 4. Let  $\lambda: \mathbf{G}_m \to G$  be a 1-parameter k-subgroup of a smooth affine k-group. For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , prove that  $P_G(\lambda^n) = P_G(\lambda)$ ,  $U_G(\lambda^n) = U_G(\lambda)$ , and  $Z_G(\lambda^n) = Z_G(\lambda)$ .
- 5. Let G be a reductive group over a field k, and N a smooth closed normal k-subgroup. Prove N is reductive. In particular,  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is reductive.
- 6. Prove that  $\mu_n[d] = \mu_d$  for d|n, and that  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \to \operatorname{End}(\mu_n)$  is an isomorphism.
- 7. Prove that a rational homomorphism (defined in evident manner: respecting multiplication as rational map) between smooth connected groups over a field k extends uniquely to a k-homomorphism. (Hint: pass to the case  $k = k_s$  by Galois descent, and then use suitable k-point translations.)
- 8. (optional) Let G be a smooth connected affine group over an algebraically closed field k,  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$ .
- (i) If all finite-dimensional linear representations of G are completely reducible, then prove that G is reductive. (Hint: use Lie-Kolchin, and behavior of semisimplicity under restriction to a normal subgroup. This will not use characteristic 0.)
- (ii) Conversely, assume that G is reductive. The structure theory of reductive groups implies that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semisimple Lie algebra, and a subspace of a finite-dimensional linear representation space for G is G-stable if and only if it is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable under the induced action  $\mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(V)$  since  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$ . Prove that all finite-dimensional linear representations of G are completely reducible.