

LECTURE 16: UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS  
LECTURE BY SHEELA DEVADAS  
STANFORD NUMBER THEORY LEARNING SEMINAR  
FEBRUARY 14, 2018  
NOTES BY DAN DORE

Let  $F$  be a local field with valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , and  $G_F$  the group  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ . If  $(\pi, V)$  is an admissible representation of  $G_F$ , we define:

**Definition 1.**  $(\pi, V)$  is *pre-unitary* if it admits a positive definite  $G_F$ -invariant Hermitian form.

We say pre-unitary rather than unitary, since  $V$  may not be complete. However, given a pre-unitary representation  $(\pi, V)$ , we may complete  $V$  to a Hilbert space  $\widehat{V}$  and extend the action of  $\pi$  to  $\widehat{\pi}$ . This preserves the notion of irreducibility in an appropriate sense:

**Lemma 2.** If  $(\pi, V)$  is a pre-unitary admissible representation, then  $(\pi, V)$  is algebraically irreducible if and only if  $(\widehat{\pi}, \widehat{V})$  is topologically irreducible: i.e. it has no non-trivial invariant *closed* subspaces.

*Proof.* If we let  $\rho$  be a (finite dimensional) irreducible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ , then we write  $V(\rho)$  for the set of all vectors  $v \in V$  which transform under  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$  according to  $\rho$ , i.e. the span of all of the copies of  $\rho$  inside  $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{G_F} V$ . We may define  $\widehat{V}(\rho)$  similarly. We will show that  $V(\rho) = \widehat{V}(\rho)$ . By admissibility of  $V$ ,  $V = \bigoplus_{\rho} V(\rho)$ , and  $V(\rho)$  are mutually orthogonal and finite-dimensional. This implies that:

$$\widehat{V} = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\rho} V(\rho)},$$

the Hilbert direct sum of the  $V(\rho)$ . Thus,  $\widehat{V}(\rho) = V(\rho)$ .

Hence  $V$  is the set of all  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ -finite vectors in  $\widehat{V}$ . Because  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$  is compact,  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ -finite vectors are dense in every closed invariant subspace of  $\widehat{V}$ .

Therefore  $V \cap W'$  is dense in any  $G_F$ -invariant closed subspace  $W'$  of  $\widehat{V}$ . Thus, any closed invariant subspace  $W' \subseteq \widehat{V}$  is the closure of  $V \cap W'$ . If  $V$  is algebraically irreducible, this means that  $V \cap W' = 0$  or  $V \cap W' = V$ , so  $W' = 0$  or  $W' = \widehat{V}$ . Therefore if  $(\pi, V)$  is algebraically irreducible, then  $(\widehat{\pi}, \widehat{V})$  is topologically irreducible.

On the other hand, we need to show that if  $V_0 \subseteq V$  is a nontrivial proper invariant subspace, then  $\overline{V_0} \subsetneq \widehat{V}$ . For  $V_0$  an invariant subspace of  $V$ , we see that if we let  $V_0(\rho) = V(\rho) \cap V_0$ , then  $V_0 = \bigoplus_{\rho} V_0(\rho)$ . Since we also have  $\widehat{V} = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\rho} V(\rho)}$  (recall  $\widehat{V}(\rho) = V(\rho)$ ), we see that the closure  $\overline{V_0}$  of  $V_0$  in  $\widehat{V}$  must be  $\overline{V_0} = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\rho} V_0(\rho)}$ . Thus  $\overline{V_0}$  is invariant and is nontrivial exactly when  $V_0$  is, so we see that if  $\widehat{V}$  is topologically irreducible,  $\overline{V_0} = 0$  or  $\overline{V_0} = \widehat{V}$ , so  $V_0 = 0$  or  $V_0 = V$ . Therefore  $(\pi, V)$  is algebraically irreducible if  $(\widehat{\pi}, \widehat{V})$  is topologically irreducible. □

**Remark 3.** It is not *a priori* obvious that any topologically irreducible Hilbert space representation  $\widehat{V}$  of  $G_F$  arises in this way from an irreducible admissible representation of  $G_F$ . In other words, why should the space of smooth vectors (i.e. the vectors which are fixed by an open subgroup of  $G_F$ ) of  $\widehat{V}$  be non-zero?

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(\pi, V)$  be an infinite-dimensional<sup>1</sup> irreducible admissible representation of  $G_F$ . It is pre-unitary *exactly* in these cases:

- (1)  $\pi$  is super-cuspidal and the central character  $\omega_\pi$  satisfies  $|\omega_\pi(t)| = 1$  for all  $t$ .
- (2)  $\pi = \pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  is a non-special principal series with  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  unitary characters.
- (3)  $\pi = \pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  is a non-special principal series with  $\mu_2 = \overline{\mu_1}^{-1}$  and  $\mu := \mu_1 \mu_2^{-1} = |x|^\sigma$  for  $0 < \sigma < 1$ .
- (4)  $\pi = \pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  is a special principal series such that  $\mu_1(x) = |x|^{1/2} \chi(x)$ ,  $\mu_2(x) = |x|^{-1/2} \chi(x)$  for  $\chi$  a unitary character.

Furthermore, on the Kirillov model  $\mathcal{K}(\pi)$  of  $(\pi, V)$ , the invariant scalar product takes the form

$$(\xi, \eta) = \int \xi(x) \overline{\eta(x)} d^\times x.$$

The rest of these notes concern the proof of this theorem.

## 1 Super-cuspidal case

First, we will consider case (1), where  $\pi$  is super-cuspidal. The condition that  $|\omega_\pi(t)| = 1$  is necessary because for any  $\xi, \eta \in V$ , we have by  $G_F$ -invariance of the Hermitian pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $V$ :

$$(\xi, \eta) = \left( \pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \right) \xi, \pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \right) \eta \right) = \omega_\pi(t) \overline{\omega_\pi(t)} (\xi, \eta).$$

Thus, by non-degeneracy,  $|\omega_\pi(t)| = 1$  for all  $t$ .

Now, assume that this condition holds. Fix  $\zeta_0 \in \check{V}$  a non-zero vector. For any  $\xi, \eta \in V$ , we consider the following function on  $G_F$ :

$$f_{\xi, \eta}: g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)\xi, \zeta_0 \rangle \overline{\langle \pi(g)\eta, \zeta_0 \rangle}.$$

Here,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the canonical pairing on  $V \times \check{V}$ . Then  $f_{\xi, \eta}$  is a function from  $G_F$  to  $\mathbf{C}$  which is compactly supported modulo the center  $Z_F$  of  $G_F$  - this follows from the definition of super-cuspidality, because  $f_{\xi, \eta}$  is a product of matrix coefficients. (See Theorem 3 in [1].)

Now, it makes sense to integrate over  $G_F$  to obtain a Hermitian pairing:

$$(\xi, \eta) := \int_{G_F/Z_F} \langle \pi(g)\xi, \zeta_0 \rangle \overline{\langle \pi(g)\eta, \zeta_0 \rangle} dg.$$

Note that the integrand is a  $Z_F$ -invariant function because the central character is unitary by assumption. It is not hard to see that this is  $G_F$ -invariant by unimodularity of  $G_F$ .

Now, we must check that this is positive-definite. Assume that  $(\xi, \xi) = 0$ . This means that for all  $g$ ,  $\pi(g)\xi$  is orthogonal to  $\zeta_0$ , or equivalently (by  $G_F$ -invariance of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ) that  $\xi$  is orthogonal to  $\tilde{\pi}(g)\zeta_0$ . Since  $\tilde{\pi}$  is irreducible, this implies that  $\tilde{\pi}(G) \cdot \zeta_0$  generates  $\check{V}$  and therefore that  $\xi = 0$ .

<sup>1</sup>so it does not factor through the determinant

Next, we will describe this pairing on the Kirillov model. We claim that it is given by:

$$(\xi, \eta) = \int \xi(x) \overline{\eta(x)} d^\times x.$$

It suffices to show that this gives an invariant inner product on  $\mathcal{K}(\pi)$ , since these are unique up to a scalar: an invariant inner product on  $(\pi, V)$  is determined by the associated isomorphism  $\bar{\pi} \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{\pi}$ , so two distinct invariant inner products differ by an automorphism of the irreducible  $G_F$ -module  $\check{V}$ . This must be a scalar by Schur's lemma.

The pairing defined above is clearly a positive-definite Hermitian inner product, so it suffices to show that the pairing is  $G_F$ -invariant. It actually suffices to check this invariance under the family of operators  $F_{a,b}: [x \mapsto \xi(x)] \mapsto [x \mapsto \psi_F(bx)\xi(ax)]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int \psi_F(bx)\xi(ax)\overline{\psi_F(bx)\eta(ax)}d^\times x &= \int \psi_F(bx)\xi(x)\overline{\psi_F(bx)\eta(x)}d^\times x \\ &= \int \xi(x)\overline{\eta(x)}d^\times x. \end{aligned}$$

since we assume  $\psi_F$  unitary.

This gives invariance under the mirabolic subgroup  $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  by the definition of the Kirillov model. Since we are in the supercuspidal case,  $\mathcal{K}(\pi) = \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ . In fact the mirabolic subgroup acts irreducibly on  $\mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ . Since we know that a  $G_F$ -invariant (hence  $H$ -invariant) bilinear form exists by the previous discussion, we see that up to a nonzero constant, this  $G_F$ -invariant bilinear form must equal  $(\xi, \eta) = \int \xi(x)\overline{\eta(x)} d^\times x$  as we desired to show.

This completes the case of super-cuspidal representations, so it suffices to consider the case of principal series.

## 2 Principal series case

Let's assume that  $(\pi, V)$  is a pre-unitary irreducible admissible representation. We have a complex semi-linear map  $J$  from  $V$  to  $\check{V}$  defined by :

$$\langle \xi, J\eta \rangle = (\xi, \eta).$$

This gives an isomorphism from  $\bar{\pi}$  to  $\check{\pi}$ .

Now, assume that  $\pi = \pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  is a non-special principal series representation. This is defined on the space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  of locally constant function  $\varphi$  on  $G_F$  such that:

$$\varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \cdot g\right) = \mu_1(a)\mu_2(b)|a/b|^{1/2}\varphi(g).$$

Now, we have an isomorphism  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2} \simeq \bar{\pi}$  sending  $\varphi$  to  $\bar{\varphi}$ . We know from a previous lecture that  $\check{\pi} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\mu_2^{-1}, \mu_1^{-1}}$ .

Now, there are two cases, corresponding to cases (2) and (3) in the statement of the theorem. The first of these is the case that  $\mu_1^{-1} = \bar{\mu}_1$ ,  $\mu_2^{-1} = \bar{\mu}_2$ , and this means that  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are unitary. The second is the case that  $\mu_1^{-1} = \bar{\mu}_2$ ,  $\mu_2^{-1} = \bar{\mu}_1$ .

Since  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  iff  $\{\mu_1, \mu_2\} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  as unordered pairs, these possibilities are necessary and sufficient for  $\bar{\pi}$  and  $\check{\pi}$  to be  $G_F$ -isomorphic. As we saw above, this is necessary for  $\pi$  to be pre-unitary, but it is not clearly sufficient: an isomorphism from  $\bar{\pi}$  to  $\check{\pi}$  induces a non-degenerate Hermitian invariant bilinear pairing on  $V$ , but it is not *a priori* clear that it should be positive definite.

## 2.1 Case (2)

In the first case, we define the bilinear pairing by:

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \int_{B_F \backslash G_F} \varphi_1(g) \overline{\varphi_2(g)} dg = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)} \varphi_1(m) \overline{\varphi_2(m)} dm.$$

The second equality follows from the Cartan decomposition  $B_F \cdot \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F) = G_F$ .

The notes from Lecture 12 of this seminar ([5]) show that this integral defines a non-degenerate  $G_F$ -invariant bilinear pairing (this was how we identified the contragredient of  $\pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  with  $\pi_{\mu_1^{-1}, \mu_2^{-1}}$ ). To show that this is Hermitian and positive-definite, we will compute in the Kirillov model  $\mathcal{K}(\pi)$ . To  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$ , we associate  $\xi_\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\pi)$ , defined by:

$$\xi_\varphi(x) := \mu_2(x) |x|^{1/2} \int \varphi\left(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \overline{\psi_F(xy)} dy.$$

Here,  $w$  is the Weyl group generator  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Now, we use the following identity, which comes from explicitly realizing Bruhat decomposition for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c^{-1} \det g & * \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d/c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is valid whenever  $c \neq 0$ . This implies that:

$$\int_{B_F \backslash G_F} \varphi_1(g) \overline{\varphi_2(g)} dg = \int \varphi_1\left(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \overline{\varphi_2\left(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)} dx.$$

Defining  $\Phi_i(x) := \varphi_i\left(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ , the above identity may be rephrased as:

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \int \Phi_1(x) \overline{\Phi_2(x)} dx.$$

We may use Fourier inversion to see:

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \int \Phi_1(x) \int \overline{\widehat{\Phi_2}(y) \psi_F(xy)} dy dx = \int \widehat{\Phi_1}(y) \overline{\widehat{\Phi_2}(y)} dy.$$

We are able to do this because, as discussed in Section 1.9 of [1],  $\Phi$  corresponding to  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  must be proportional to  $\mu(x)^{-1} |x|^{-1}$  for  $|x|$  large. Since  $\mu(x) := \mu_1(x) \mu_2^{-1}(x)$  satisfies  $|\mu(x)| = 1$ , we see that  $\Phi$  is square integrable on  $F$ , so  $\widehat{\Phi}$  is its Fourier transform in the  $L^2$  sense. Furthermore, we can determine the image of the map  $\Phi \rightarrow \widehat{\Phi}$ , from which we are able to deduce that the  $\widehat{\Phi}$  are integrable and  $\int \widehat{\Phi}(y) \psi_F(xy) dy = \Phi(x)$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) &= \int \widehat{\Phi}_1(y) \overline{\widehat{\Phi}_2(y)} dy \\
&= \int \mu_2(y)^{-1} |y|^{-1/2} \xi_{\varphi_1}(y) \mu_2(y)^{-1} |y|^{-1/2} \xi_{\varphi_2}(y) y \\
&= \int \xi_{\varphi_1}(x) \xi_{\varphi_2}(x) d^\times x,
\end{aligned}$$

using the relation  $d^\times x = |x|^{-1} dx$  and the fact that  $\mu_2$  is unitary.

In this form, it is easy to check that the pairing is positive definite and Hermitian. This settles case (2), where  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are unitary.

## 2.2 Case (3)

Now, we must settle case (3):  $\pi = \pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  with  $\overline{\mu_1} = \mu_2^{-1}$  and  $\overline{\mu_i} \neq \mu_i^{-1}$  (i.e.  $\mu_i$  not unitary). Since we know what characters of  $F^\times$  must look like, this means that  $\mu = \mu_1 \mu_2^{-1} = |x|^\sigma$  for  $\sigma \neq 0$  (if  $\sigma = 0$ , the  $\mu_i$  would be unitary). Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\sigma > 0$  since we may switch  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  if desired.

We will define an operator  $\mathbf{A}: \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\overline{\mu_1}^{-1}, \overline{\mu_2}^{-1}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mu_2, \mu_1}$  by:

$$(\mathbf{A}\varphi)(g) := \int \varphi(w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot g) dx.$$

For fixed  $g$ , the integrand  $\varphi(w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot g)$  grows as  $\mu^{-1}(x)|x|^{-1} = |x|^{-\sigma-1}$  for large  $|x|$ , so this integral converges (see p.1.28, [1]).

We will show that  $\mathbf{A} \neq 0$  and that  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $G_F$ -equivariant.

To see that  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonzero, we use the function

$$f(g) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) := |\det g|^{1/2} |c|^{-1} \mu^{-1}(c) \mu_1(\det g)$$

for  $c \neq 0$  and  $f(g) = 0$  otherwise. It can be checked that  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$ , and furthermore,  $(\mathbf{A}f)(1) = 1$ . Hence  $\mathbf{A} \neq 0$ .

To see that  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $G_F$ -equivariant, we note that for  $h, g \in G_F$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_{\mu_2, \mu_1}(g) \mathbf{A}\varphi)(h) &= (\mathbf{A}\varphi)(hg) \\
&= \int \varphi(w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot hg) dx \\
&= \int (\pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}\varphi)(w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot h) dx \\
&= (\mathbf{A}\pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}\varphi)(h),
\end{aligned}$$

so  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $G_F$ -equivariant as we desired to show.

It follows that an invariant scalar product on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  *must* look like:

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = c \langle \mathbf{A}\varphi_1, \overline{\varphi_2} \rangle$$

for some constant  $c$ , since any invariant Hermitian product on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  will give an isomorphism between  $\overline{\pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}} = \pi_{\overline{\mu_1}, \overline{\mu_2}}$  and its dual  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_2, \mu_1}$ , and those isomorphisms are unique up to a scalar by irreducibility of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_2, \mu_1}$ .

We will show that when  $\sigma < 1$ , this actually defines a positive-definite Hermitian pairing.

We have  $\mathbf{A}\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_2, \mu_1}$ , and  $\overline{\varphi_2} \in \mathcal{B}_{\overline{\mu_1}, \overline{\mu_2}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mu_2^{-1}, \mu_1^{-1}}$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$ , we define:

$$\Phi(x) = \varphi(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}), \quad \Phi'(x) = (\mathbf{A}\varphi)(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Now, again using the Bruhat decomposition identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c^{-1} \det g & * \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d/c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we may see that for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with  $c \neq 0$ :

$$\varphi(g) = \mu_1(\det g) |\det g|^{1/2} \mu^{-1}(c) |c|^{-1} \Phi(d/c),$$

since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  transforms under upper triangular matrices in a specified way.

Furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(x) &= \int \varphi(w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) dy \\ &= \int \varphi(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ y & 1+xy \end{pmatrix}) dy \\ &= \int \mu^{-1}(y) |y|^{-1} \Phi\left(\frac{1+xy}{y}\right) dy \quad (\text{by the Bruhat decomposition identity}) \\ &= \int \mu^{-1}(y) \Phi(x + y^{-1}) d^\times y \\ &= \int \mu(y) \Phi(x + y) d^\times y, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we make a change of variables  $y \mapsto y^{-1}$ .

Now, if we define:

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = c \langle \mathbf{A}\varphi_1, \overline{\varphi_2} \rangle,$$

we may use the above identities to see that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) &= c \int_{B_F \backslash G_F} (\mathbf{A}\varphi_1)(g) \overline{\varphi_2(g)} dg \\ &= c \int (\mathbf{A}\varphi_1)\left(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \overline{\varphi_2\left(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)} dx \\ &= c \int \Phi_1'(x) \overline{\Phi_2(x)} dx \\ &= c \int \int \Phi_1(x + y) \overline{\Phi_2(x)} |y|^\sigma d^\times y dx. \end{aligned}$$

For this to be positive-definite, we need to find some  $c(\sigma) > 0$  such that:

$$c(\sigma) \int \int \Phi(x+y) \overline{\Phi(x)} |y|^\sigma dx d^\times y \geq 0$$

for any  $\Phi$  defined as:

$$\Phi(x) = \varphi(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})$$

for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$ .

The space of such  $\Phi$  is the space  $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$  of locally constant functions on  $F$  which are proportional to  $\mu(x)^{-1}|x|^{-1}$  for  $|x| \gg 0$  (see Section 1.9 in [1]). Since the space of Schwarz functions  $\mathcal{S}(F)$  are 0 for  $|x| \gg 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mu)$ .

Note that  $c(\sigma)|y|^\sigma d^\times y = c(\sigma)y^{\sigma-1} dy$ .

We note that  $g(y) = c(\sigma)y^{\sigma-1}$  must be a positive-definite function on  $F$ , meaning that for any  $n$ -tuple of elements  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $F$ , the matrix  $(g(y_i - y_j))$  must be positive Hermitian.

This is because, as stated in Proposition 4.1, Chapter 1, [3], a function  $f_0$  on a locally compact abelian group  $H$  is positive definite, if for any  $f \in C_c(H)$ , we have  $\int f_0(y) \int f(y-x) \overline{f(-x)} dx dy \geq 0$ , where  $dy$  is the Haar measure on that group.

We see that this condition is exactly equivalent to our previous condition

$$c(\sigma) \int \int \Phi(x+y) \overline{\Phi(x)} |y|^\sigma dx d^\times y \geq 0.$$

We will see that it is possible to choose such a  $c(\sigma)$  iff  $\sigma < 1$ .

Then since  $c(\sigma)y^{\sigma-1}$  is a positive definite function, the distributional Fourier transform of  $c(\sigma)|y|^\sigma d^\times y = c(\sigma)y^{\sigma-1} dy$  must be a positive measure on  $F$ , by Bochner's theorem. (For proof of this theorem see p.19, [2].) This Fourier transform is proportional to  $|x|^{1-\sigma} d^\times x$ . Since  $|x|^{1-\sigma}$  is only a locally  $L^1$  function near 0 when  $\sigma < 1$ , this condition is necessary for this to be a measure on  $F$ .

Now, for  $0 < \sigma < 1$ , the definition of the  $\gamma$ -factor implies that there is a constant  $\gamma(\sigma)$  for any  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(F)$  such that:

$$\int \widehat{\Phi}(y) |y|^\sigma d^\times y = \frac{1}{\gamma(\sigma)} \int \Phi(x) |x|^{1-\sigma} d^\times x.$$

This is because if we define  $L_\Phi(\chi, s) = \int_{F^\times} \Phi(x) \chi(x) |x|^s d^\times x$ , there is a factor  $\gamma(\chi, s)$  depending only on  $\chi$  and  $s$  such that  $L_\Phi(-\chi, 1-s) = \gamma(\chi, s) L_{\widehat{\Phi}}(\chi, s)$ . (See p.1.41 in [1], or discussion in previous lectures.)

Thus, if we choose  $c(\sigma) = \gamma(\sigma)$  and define  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = c(\sigma) \langle \mathbf{A}\varphi_1, \overline{\varphi_2} \rangle$ , then we have:

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \gamma(\sigma) \int \int \Phi_1(x+y) \overline{\Phi_2(x)} |y|^\sigma dx d^\times y.$$

It remains to show that the inner product takes the desired form on the Kirillov model. Since the Fourier transform of  $y \mapsto \Phi_1(x+y)$  is  $z \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}_1(z) \psi_F(xz)$ , we see that

$$\gamma(\sigma) \int \Phi_1(x+y) |y|^\sigma d^\times y = \int \widehat{\Phi}_1(z) \psi_F(xz) |z|^{1-\sigma} d^\times z.$$

We are able to perform the Fourier transform since we can describe the behavior of the  $\Phi_i$  for  $|x|$  large -  $\Phi_i(x)$  must be proportional to  $\mu(x)^{-1}|x|^{-1} = x^{-\sigma-1}$  (see p.1.31, [1]), and  $\sigma > 0$ .

Then recalling the definition of  $\xi_\varphi$  the corresponding element to  $\varphi$  in the Kirillov model, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) &= \gamma(\sigma) \int \int \Phi_1(x+y) \overline{\Phi_2(x)} |y|^\sigma dx d^\times y \\
&= \int \int \widehat{\Phi}_1(z) \psi_F(xz) \overline{\Phi_2(x)} |z|^{1-\sigma} dx d^\times z \\
&= \int \int \widehat{\Phi}_1(z) \psi_F(xz) \overline{\widehat{\Phi}_2(z)} |z|^{1-\sigma} d^\times z \\
&= \int \int \xi_{\varphi_1}(z) \overline{\xi_{\varphi_2}(z)} |\mu_2(z)|^{-2} |z|^{-1} |z|^{1-\sigma} d^\times z \\
&= \int \int \xi_{\varphi_1}(z) \overline{\xi_{\varphi_2}(z)} d^\times z,
\end{aligned}$$

as we desired to show. We are able to perform the Fourier transforms since we can describe the behavior of the  $\Phi_i$  for  $|x|$  large (see p.1.31, [1]).

### 3 Special case

Finally, we must settle the final case (4), where  $\pi = \pi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  is special. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mu = \mu_1 \mu_2^{-1} = |x|$ . If  $\pi$  is pre-unitary,  $\bar{\pi} \simeq \check{\pi}$ . As above, this implies that  $\mu_1 \bar{\mu}_2 = 1$  (we cannot have  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  both unitary, since this is incompatible with the assumption that  $\mu = |x|$ ). One may show that in this case we have  $\mu_1(x) = |x|^{1/2} \chi(x)$  and  $\mu_2(x) = |x|^{-1/2} \chi(x)$ , for  $\chi$  a unitary character.

The space of  $\pi$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  defined by the condition that:

$$\int \varphi(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) dx = 0.$$

By invariance of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^0$  as a subspace, if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^0$ , then for any  $g \in G_F$ , we have:

$$\int \varphi(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot g) dx = 0.$$

Thus, we cannot use the formula for **A** that we used in the previous cases. Instead, we use a limit:

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1^-} \gamma(\sigma) \int \int \Phi_1(x+y) \overline{\Phi_2(x)} |y|^\sigma dx d^\times y = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1^-} \int \widehat{\Phi}_1(z) \overline{\widehat{\Phi}_2(z)} |z|^{1-\sigma} d^\times z.$$

We must verify that the properties of  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  make the Fourier inversion make sense, and make sure that the limit exists and has the desired properties. We can do this Fourier inversion because  $\sigma = 1$  implies that for  $|x|$  large,  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  are proportional to  $\mu(x)^{-1} |x|^{-1} = |x|^{-2}$ , so they are in  $L^1 \cap L^2$  and we can apply Parseval's formula.

If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^0$ , then  $\widehat{\Phi}(0) = 0$ . Because  $\widehat{\Phi}$  is in fact locally constant, and vanish outside some compact subset of  $F$ , that gives the desired convergence of the limit (see p.1.29, [1]).

Since the limit exists, we can check that:

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \int \widehat{\Phi}_1(x) \overline{\widehat{\Phi}_2(x)} d^\times x = \int \xi_{\varphi_1}(x) \overline{\xi_{\varphi_2}(x)} d^\times x.$$

This shows  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is Hermitian and positive definite. Thus, we need to check invariance under  $B_F$  and under  $w$ . The former comes from the formula for  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on the Kirillov model. What remains is to check invariance under  $w$ .

We have (see p.1.52, [1]) that

$$\gamma(\sigma) = \frac{1 - q^{-\sigma}}{1 - q^{\sigma-1}}.$$

For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^0$ , we have, via a change of variables:

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \gamma(\sigma) \int \Phi(x) |x - y|^{\sigma-1} dx = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - q^{-\sigma}}{1 - q^{\sigma-1}} \int \Phi(x + y) |x|^\sigma d^\times x.$$

We note that  $\int \Phi(x + y) |x| d^\times x = \int \Phi(x + y) dx = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^0$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - q^{-\sigma}}{1 - q^{\sigma-1}} \int \Phi(x + y) |x|^\sigma d^\times x = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1 - q^{-\sigma}) \int \Phi(x + y) (|x|^\sigma - |x|) d^\times x}{1 - q^{\sigma-1}}.$$

Now if we observe that  $\frac{d}{d\sigma} |x|^\sigma = -v(x) |x|^\sigma \log q$  (where  $v(x)$  is the valuation) and noting that the integral  $\int \Phi(x + y) v(x) dx$  is absolutely convergent (to justify the derivation under the integral), we are able to apply L'Hospital's rule to see that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int \Phi(x + y) (|x|^\sigma - |x|) d^\times x}{1 - q^{\sigma-1}} = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int \Phi(x + y) (-v(x)) |x|^\sigma \log q d^\times x}{-q^{\sigma-1} \log q}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \gamma(\sigma) \int \Phi(x) |x - y|^{\sigma-1} dx = (1 - q^{-1}) \int \Phi(x + y) v(x) dx.$$

Now, this allows us to show that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1^-} \gamma(\sigma) \int \int \Phi_1(x + y) \Phi_2(x) |y|^\sigma dx d^\times y \\ &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1^-} \gamma(\sigma) \int \Phi_2(x) \int \Phi_1(x + y) |y|^\sigma d^\times y dx \\ &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1^-} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int \Phi_2(x) \int \Phi_1(x + y) v(y) dy dx \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int \int \Phi_1(x) \Phi_2(y) v(x - y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we may check invariance under the Weyl group element  $w$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} (\pi(w)\varphi_1, \pi(w)\varphi_2) &= \int \int \varphi_1\left(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w\right) \overline{\varphi_2\left(w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)} wv(x-y) dx dy \\
&= \int \int \varphi_1\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \overline{\varphi_2\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -y & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)} v(x-y) dx dy \\
&= \int \int \Phi_1(-x^{-1}) |x|^{-2} \overline{\Phi_2(-y^{-1})} |y|^{-2} v(x-y) dx dy \\
&= \int \int \Phi_1(x) \overline{\Phi_2(y)} v(x^{-1} - y^{-1}) dx dy \\
&= \int \int \Phi_1(x) \overline{\Phi_2(y)} (v(x-y) - v(xy)) dx dy \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) - \int \int \Phi_1(x) \overline{\Phi_2(y)} v(xy) dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we want the second term to vanish. We have:

$$\int \int \Phi_1(x) \overline{\Phi_2(y)} v(xy) dx dy = \int \overline{\Phi_2(y)} v(y) \int \Phi_1(x) dx dy + \int \Phi_1(x) v(x) \int \overline{\Phi_2(y)} dy dx.$$

Both terms vanish by the defining condition that  $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^0$ : this says exactly that

$$\int \Phi_i(x) dx = 0.$$

Therefore we have invariance under the Weyl element  $w$  and hence under  $G_F$ , which is what we desired to show. This completes the proof of the theorem for the case of special representations

## References

- [1] Godement, R. *Notes on Jacquet-Langlands' Theory*, The Institute for Advanced Study, 1970, available at <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/conversesem/refs/godement-ias.pdf>.
- [2] Rudin, W. *Fourier analysis on groups*. New York : Interscience 1962.
- [3] Van Den Berg, C., and Gunnar Forst. *Potential theory on locally compact abelian groups*. Vol. 87. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [4] <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/conversesem/Notes/L11.pdf>
- [5] <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/conversesem/Notes/L12.pdf>