

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  be a  $C^p$  premanifold with corners with  $0 \leq p \leq \infty$ . In class we gave a recipe for constructing an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\underline{E}$  associated to any  $C^p$  vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ : for any non-empty open set  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\underline{E}(U)$  is the  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module  $E(U)$  of  $C^p$  sections to  $E \rightarrow X$  over  $U \subseteq X$ . (If  $U$  is empty, we define  $\underline{E}(U) = \{0\}$ .) We saw in class that  $\underline{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}$  as  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules if and only if  $E \simeq X \times \mathbf{R}^n$  as  $C^p$  vector bundles over  $X$ .

Let us recall how the formation of  $\underline{E}$  is well-behaved with respect to restriction to open subsets in  $X$ . If  $X' \subseteq X$  is an open subset, then we claim that the  $\mathcal{O}|_{X'}$ -module  $\underline{E}|_{X'}$  is exactly the one associated to the vector bundle  $E|_{X'} \rightarrow X'$ . The crux is that open subsets  $U \subseteq X'$  are exactly the open sets of  $X$  that are contained in  $X'$  (as  $X'$  is open in  $X$ ), and for such  $U$  we have that the module  $E(U)$  over  $\mathcal{O}(U) = (\mathcal{O}|_{X'})(U)$  is equal to the set of  $C^p$  sections of  $E \rightarrow X$  over  $U$ , which is the same as the set of  $C^p$  sections of  $E|_{X'} \rightarrow X'$  over  $U$ .

The passage from  $E$  to  $\underline{E}$  is much better than merely well-behaved with respect to restriction over open sets in  $X$ ; it is also well-behaved with respect to *variation in  $E$* . More specifically, if  $f : E' \rightarrow E$  is a bundle morphism between  $C^p$  vector bundles  $\pi' : E' \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  then we get an  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map  $\underline{f} : \underline{E}' \rightarrow \underline{E}$  as follows. We have to define  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear maps  $\underline{f}_U : \underline{E}'(U) \rightarrow \underline{E}(U)$  for all opens  $U \subseteq X$  such that the  $\underline{f}_U$ 's are compatible with shrinking  $U$ . In view of how  $\underline{E}$  and  $\underline{E}'$  are defined, this is a collection of compatible  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear maps  $\underline{f}_U : E'(U) \rightarrow E(U)$  between  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -modules of  $C^p$  sections for non-empty open  $U \subseteq X$  (and  $\underline{f}_\emptyset$  is taken to be the zero map). The definition of  $\underline{f}_U$  for non-empty open  $U$  is given by composition: for any  $C^p$ -section  $s : U \rightarrow E'$  we define  $\underline{f}_U(s) \in E(U)$  to be  $f \circ s : U \rightarrow E$ . To see that  $f \circ s$  really makes sense in  $E(U)$ , we note that it is a  $C^p$  map because  $f$  and  $s$  are  $C^p$ , and it is a section to  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  over  $U$  because  $\pi \circ (f \circ s) = (\pi \circ f) \circ s = \pi' \circ s = 1_U$  due to  $f$  being a map of vector bundles (giving  $\pi \circ f = \pi'$ ) and  $s$  being a section of  $E'$  over  $U$  (giving  $\pi' \circ s = 1_U$ ). The following lemma ensures that these set-theoretic maps  $\underline{f}_U$  for varying  $U$  do define a map of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules  $\underline{E}' \rightarrow \underline{E}$ :

**Lemma 1.1.** *For each non-empty open set  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\underline{f}_U : E'(U) \rightarrow E(U)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear map. Moreover, if  $U' \subseteq U$  is a non-empty open subset then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E'(U) & \xrightarrow{\underline{f}_U} & E(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E'(U') & \xrightarrow{\underline{f}_{U'}} & E(U') \end{array}$$

*commutes, where the vertical maps are restrictions.*

We do not need to track the situation with the empty set because there is only one set-theoretic map to the zero module over any ring, namely the zero map.

*Proof.* To check  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -linearity, we must show that for  $s_1, s_2 \in E'(U)$  and  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ ,

$$\underline{f}_U(a_1 s_1 + a_2 s_2) = a_1 \cdot \underline{f}_U(s_1) + a_2 \cdot \underline{f}_U(s_2)$$

in  $E(U)$ . That is,

$$f \circ (a_1 s_1 + a_2 s_2) \stackrel{?}{=} a_1 \cdot (f \circ s_1) + a_2 \cdot (f \circ s_2)$$

in  $E(U)$ . Equivalently, for each  $u \in U$  we need

$$f|_u((a_1s_1 + a_2s_2)(u)) = a_1(u) \cdot f|_u(s_1(u)) + a_2(u) \cdot f|_u(s_2(u))$$

where  $f|_u : E'(u) \rightarrow E(u)$  is the  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear fiber map over  $u$  induced by the bundle map  $f$  over  $X$ . But by *definition* of the  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module structure on  $E'(U)$  we have  $(a_1s_1 + a_2s_2)(u) = a_1(u)s_1(u) + a_2(u)s_2(u)$  in the  $\mathbf{R}$ -vector space  $E'(u)$ , so the desired identity on  $u$ -fibers just expresses the  $\mathbf{R}$ -linearity of  $f|_u$ . This completes the proof that  $\underline{f}_U$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear.

Next, we have to verify the compatibility with respect to restriction to smaller (non-empty) open sets: this is the commutative diagram in the lemma. We have to show that for  $s \in E'(U)$ , the restriction  $(\underline{f}_U(s))|_{U'} \in E'(U')$  is equal to  $\underline{f}_{U'}(s|_{U'})$ . To check such equality of sections over  $U'$  it is the same to check at each point  $u' \in U'$ , so the problem is to prove  $(\underline{f}_U(s))(u') = (\underline{f}_{U'}(s|_{U'}))(u')$  in  $E(u')$  for all  $u' \in U'$ . That is, we want  $(f \circ s)(u') = (f \circ s|_{U'})(u')$  for all  $u' \in U'$ . The map  $f \circ s : U \rightarrow E$  has restriction to  $U' \subseteq U$  that is certainly equal to  $f \circ s|_{U'}$ , so we are done.  $\blacksquare$

The formation of  $\underline{f}$  gives a map of sets

$$\text{Hom}_X(E', E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\underline{E}', \underline{E})$$

from the set of  $C^p$  vector bundle morphisms to the set of  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear maps: we send  $f$  to  $\underline{f}$ . (Note that if  $E' = E$  then  $\text{id}_{\underline{E}} = \text{id}_{\underline{E}}$ .) Both Hom-sets have an  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module structure (we add maps and multiply by global functions in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  in the evident pointwise manner), and reviewing the definition of  $\underline{f}$  shows that this map of Hom-sets is  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -linear. Of much greater interest is that it is an *isomorphism*, or equivalently that it is bijective. That is, we claim that any  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map  $\underline{E}' \rightarrow \underline{E}$  has the form  $\underline{f}$  for a *unique*  $C^p$  vector bundle map  $f : E' \rightarrow E$  over  $X$ . The significance of this is that it ensures we can work with vector bundles via the theory of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules without losing touch with  $C^p$  vector bundle maps.

Before we take up the task of proving the bijectivity result on Hom-sets, we record that passage from  $f$  to  $\underline{f}$  is also well-behaved with respect to composition:

**Lemma 1.2.** *If  $g : E'' \rightarrow E'$  and  $f : E' \rightarrow E$  are bundle morphisms between  $C^p$  vector bundles, then  $\underline{f} \circ \underline{g} : \underline{E}'' \rightarrow \underline{E}$  is equal to  $\underline{f} \circ \underline{g}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition of bundle morphisms, we must prove that for each open set  $U \subseteq X$ , the  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear map  $\underline{f} \circ \underline{g}_U : \underline{E}''(U) \rightarrow \underline{E}(U)$  is the composite of  $\underline{g}_U : \underline{E}''(U) \rightarrow \underline{E}'(U)$  and  $\underline{f}_U : \underline{E}'(U) \rightarrow \underline{E}(U)$ . The case  $U = \emptyset$  is trivial (as everything vanishes in this case), so we may assume  $U$  is non-empty. We have to prove that composing the map  $E''(U) \rightarrow E'(U)$  defined by  $s'' \mapsto g \circ s''$  and the map  $E'(U) \rightarrow E(U)$  defined by  $s' \mapsto f \circ s'$  gives the map  $E''(U) \rightarrow E(U)$  defined by  $s'' \mapsto (f \circ g) \circ s''$ . Since

$$(f \circ g) \circ s'' = f \circ (g \circ s''),$$

we are done.  $\blacksquare$

## 2. BIJECTION OF HOM SETS

The result is this:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a  $C^p$  premanifold with corners,  $0 \leq p \leq \infty$ . For any two  $C^p$  vector bundles  $E$  and  $E'$  on  $X$  the map of sets*

$$\text{Hom}_X(E', E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\underline{E}', \underline{E})$$

*defined by  $f \mapsto \underline{f}$  is bijective; that is, every  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map  $\underline{E}' \rightarrow \underline{E}$  has the form  $\underline{f}$  for a unique  $C^p$  bundle mapping  $f : E' \rightarrow E$  over  $X$ .*

Before we prove the theorem, we record an important corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a locally free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module with finite rank. If  $E \rightarrow X$  and  $E' \rightarrow X$  are  $C^p$  vector bundles and  $\theta : \underline{E} \simeq \mathcal{M}$  and  $\theta' : \underline{E'} \simeq \mathcal{M}$  are  $\mathcal{O}$ -module isomorphisms then there is a unique  $C^p$  isomorphism of bundles  $f : E' \simeq E$  such that  $\theta \circ \underline{f} = \theta'$ . In other words, up to unique isomorphism there is at most one pair  $(E, \theta)$  for a given  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

In a later handout it will be proved that for any  $\mathcal{M}$  such a pair  $(E, \theta)$  always exists, and so we may say that the concepts of  $C^p$  vector bundle and locally free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module of finite rank are “the same”.

*Proof.* The necessary and sufficient condition on  $f$  is  $\underline{f} = \theta^{-1} \circ \theta'$ , and Theorem 2.1 ensures that there do exist unique bundle maps  $f : E' \rightarrow E$  and  $f' : E \rightarrow E'$  such that  $\underline{f} = \theta^{-1} \circ \theta'$  and  $\underline{f'} = \theta'^{-1} \circ \theta$ . We have to prove that  $f$  is a bundle isomorphism, and we shall actually prove that  $f'$  is inverse to  $f$ . Using Lemma 1.2 we get

$$\underline{f \circ f'} = \underline{f} \circ \underline{f'} = \theta^{-1} \circ \theta' \circ \theta'^{-1} \circ \theta = \text{id}_{\underline{E}} = \underline{\text{id}_E},$$

so by the injectivity in Theorem 2.1 we must have  $f \circ f' = \text{id}_{E'}$ . Similarly,  $f' \circ f = \text{id}_E$ , so  $f$  and  $f'$  are inverse to each other. In particular,  $f$  is an isomorphism of  $C^p$  vector bundles. ■

Now we prepare to prove Theorem 2.1. We prove the bijectivity on Hom-sets in two stages: for trivial bundles and then in the general case. First assume that  $E$  and  $E'$  are trivial, say with trivializing sections  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  in  $E(X)$  and  $s'_1, \dots, s'_{n'}$  in  $E'(X)$ . To give a map  $E' \rightarrow E$  is to specify where the  $s'_j$ 's go, say  $s'_j \mapsto \sum a_{ij} s_i$  for  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n'$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The trivializations identify  $\underline{E}$  with  $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}$  and  $\underline{E'}$  with  $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n'}$ , so we have to prove that the only compatible collections of  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear maps  $T_U : \mathcal{O}(U)^{\oplus n'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)^{\oplus n}$  for varying  $U$  are those given by

$$(c_1, \dots, c_{n'}) \mapsto \left( \sum_j a_{1j}|_U \cdot c_j, \dots, \sum_j a_{nj}|_U \cdot c_j \right)$$

for unique  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

If we are given a compatible collection of  $T_U$ 's, then by compatibility with restriction from  $X$  to  $U$  we have

$$T_U((c_1, \dots, c_{n'})) = T_U \left( \sum_j c_j \cdot e_j|_U \right) = \sum_j c_j \cdot T_U(e_j|_U) = \sum_j c_j \cdot T_X(e_j)|_U.$$

Thus, from the expressions  $T_X(e_j) = (a_{1j}, \dots, a_{nj}) \in \mathcal{O}(X)^{\oplus n}$  we see that  $T = \{T_U\}$  arises from such  $a_{ij}$ 's. Moreover, the  $a_{ij}$ 's are uniquely determined from the  $T_X(e_j)$ 's, so this settles the case when  $E$  and  $E'$  are trivial.

Now we pass to the general case. Let  $\{U_i\}$  be an open covering of  $X$  on which  $E$  and  $E'$  become trivial. (To find such a cover, we first find trivializing open covers  $\{X_k\}$  for  $E$  and  $\{X'_{k'}\}$  for  $E'$ , and we take the  $U_i$ 's to be the overlaps  $X_k \cap X'_{k'}$  indexed by ordered pairs  $i = (k, k')$ . Each  $x \in X$  lies in some  $X_k$  and some  $X'_{k'}$ , so  $x$  lies in some overlap  $X_k \cap X'_{k'}$ . Hence, these  $U_i$ 's do indeed form a trivializing cover for  $E$  and  $E'$ .) Let  $E_i = E|_{U_i}$  and  $E_{ij} = E|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ , and similarly for  $E'$ , so the bundles  $E_i$  and  $E'_i$  on  $U_i$  are trivial and the bundles  $E_{ij}$  and  $E'_{ij}$  on  $U_{ij}$  are trivial. We will systematically use the settled case of trivial bundles, applied to the restrictions of  $E$  and  $E'$  over  $U_i$  and  $U_i \cap U_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . We will also make frequent use of the observation that for any inclusion

of open sets  $U' \subseteq U$  in  $X$  (such as  $U_{ij}$  inside of  $U_i$ , or  $U_i$  inside of  $X$ ) the diagram of Hom-sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_U(E', E) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}|_U}(\underline{E}'|_U, \underline{E}|_U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_U(E'|_{U'}, E|_{U'}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}|_{U'}}(\underline{E}'|_{U'}, \underline{E}|_{U'}) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

To prove injectivity in the general case, suppose  $f, g : E' \rightrightarrows E$  are bundle morphisms such that  $\underline{f} = \underline{g}$ . This implies the equality  $\underline{f}|_{U_i} = \underline{g}|_{U_i}$  of  $\mathcal{O}|_{U_i}$ -module maps for all  $i$ , which is to say that the bundle morphisms  $f|_{U_i}, g|_{U_i} : E'|_{U_i} \rightrightarrows E|_{U_i}$  induce the same  $\mathcal{O}|_{U_i}$ -module maps for all  $i$ . Hence, by injectivity in the settled case of trivial bundles (applied over the base space  $U_i!$ ) it follows that  $f|_{U_i} = g|_{U_i}$  for all  $i$ , so  $f = g$ . This proves injectivity in general.

Turning to the case of surjectivity, let  $\varphi : \underline{E}' \rightarrow \underline{E}$  be a map of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules. We seek to construct a bundle morphism  $f : E' \rightarrow E$  such that  $\underline{f} = \varphi$ . Let  $\varphi_i = \varphi|_{U_i}$  as a map of  $\mathcal{O}|_{U_i}$ -modules for all  $i$ . By the settled case of trivial bundles (applied over the base space  $U_i!$ ) we have  $\varphi_i = \underline{f}_i$  for a unique bundle morphism  $f_i : E'|_{U_i} \rightarrow E|_{U_i}$  for all  $i$ . Consider the two bundle morphisms

$$f_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}, f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} : E'|_{U_i \cap U_j} \rightrightarrows E|_{U_i \cap U_j}.$$

These give rise to  $\mathcal{O}|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ -module maps  $\underline{f}_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \varphi_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  and  $\underline{f}_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \varphi_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  that are equal: they coincide with  $\varphi|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ . Hence, by injectivity for the settled case of trivial bundles (applied over the base space  $U_i \cap U_j!$ ) we get  $f_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . This says that the  $f_i$ 's satisfy the hypotheses for gluing of bundle morphisms, so there is a unique bundle morphism  $f : E' \rightarrow E$  such that  $f|_{U_i} = f_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence,  $\underline{f}|_{U_i} = \underline{f}_i = \varphi_i = \varphi|_{U_i}$  for all  $i$ .

To conclude that  $\underline{f} = \varphi$ , thereby settling surjectivity, it remains to prove that if  $\{U_i\}$  is an open covering of  $X$  and  $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{M}' \rightrightarrows \mathcal{M}$  is a pair of  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear maps of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules such that  $\varphi|_{U_i} = \psi|_{U_i}$  as maps from  $\mathcal{M}'|_{U_i}$  to  $\mathcal{M}|_{U_i}$  for all  $i$ , then  $\varphi = \psi$ . That is, we want  $\varphi_U = \psi_U$  as maps from  $\mathcal{M}'(U)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(U)$  for all open  $U \subseteq X$ . Choose  $s' \in \mathcal{M}'(U)$ , so we want  $\varphi_U(s') = \psi_U(s')$  in  $\mathcal{M}(U)$ . Since  $\{U \cap U_i\}$  is an open covering of  $U$ , to check equality in  $\mathcal{M}(U)$  it suffices to check equality of restrictions in  $\mathcal{M}(U \cap U_i)$  for all  $i$ . Thus, we pick an  $i$  and need to prove  $\varphi_U(s')|_{U \cap U_i} = \psi_U(s')|_{U \cap U_i}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(U \cap U_i)$ . But since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are maps of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, we have compatibilities with respect to restriction to smaller opens. In particular,

$$\varphi_U(s')|_{U \cap U_i} = \varphi_{U \cap U_i}(s'|_{U \cap U_i}), \quad \psi_U(s')|_{U \cap U_i} = \psi_{U \cap U_i}(s'|_{U \cap U_i})$$

in  $\mathcal{M}(U \cap U_i)$ . Hence, it suffices to prove  $\varphi_{U \cap U_i} = \psi_{U \cap U_i}$ . But by hypothesis  $\varphi|_{U_i} = \psi|_{U_i}$  as maps from  $\mathcal{M}'|_{U_i}$  to  $\mathcal{M}|_{U_i}$ , so in particular these restrictions over  $U_i$  induce the same maps from  $\mathcal{M}'(U \cap U_i)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(U \cap U_i)$ . This is exactly the desired equality of maps  $\varphi_{U \cap U_i} = \psi_{U \cap U_i}$ .