

1. MOTIVATION AND BACKGROUND

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over \mathbf{R} , and define $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ to be the set of invertible linear maps $V \simeq V$ (the notation stands for General Linear). In other words, this is the *open* locus in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, V)$ where the continuous (multi-variate) “polynomial” function $\det : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, V) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is non-vanishing. When $V = \mathbf{R}^n$, this is the set of invertible n by n matrices in $\mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbf{R})$, and it is usually called $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ rather than $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

For example, when $n = 2$ and we imagine the 4-dimensional space $\mathrm{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbf{R})$ as coordinatized by matrix entries a, b, c, d , then $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ is the *complement* of the hypersurface in \mathbf{R}^4 cut out by the condition $ad - bc = 0$ in a 4-dimensional space. It’s quite “big”.

We make $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ into a topological space by viewing it as an open in the finite-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, V)$. The concepts of open set, closed set, limit, etc. in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ can be expressed in terms of any choice of linear coordinates on V used to identify the situation with $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ in which two matrices are “close” when the corresponding matrix entries (ij in each) are close in \mathbf{R} .

Consider the determinant map

$$\det : \mathrm{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} - \{0\}.$$

Being a polynomial function in matrix entries relative to any choice of basis of V , this is visibly continuous and trivially surjective (think of diagonal matrices). But the target is disconnected, so the source cannot be connected. More specifically,

$$U_+ = \{T \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \det T > 0\}, \quad U_- = \{T \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \det T < 0\}$$

is a non-trivial separation of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. But is this the only obstruction to connectedness? More specifically, if we define

$$\mathrm{GL}^+(V) = \{T \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \det T > 0\},$$

then is this connected? In fact, we will even prove it is path-connected. This is hard to “see” right away, but the proof will exhibit an explicit geometrically constructed “path of matrices” joining up the identity map to any chosen T with positive determinant. The method will essentially amount to a vivid geometric perspective on the Gram-Schmidt process.

A related connectedness question concerns the orthogonal matrices. Suppose we fix a choice of an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V . We define

$$\mathrm{O}(V) = \mathrm{O}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \{T \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, V) \mid \langle T(v), T(v') \rangle = \langle v, v' \rangle\},$$

called the *orthogonal group* for the data $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, though we usually suppress mention of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in the notation. In other words, if T^* is the adjoint map then the condition is $TT^* = 1$ (which forces $T^*T = 1$). In concrete terms, if we choose an *orthonormal* basis to identify V with \mathbf{R}^n in such a way that our inner product goes over to the standard one, then $\mathrm{O}(V)$ becomes the “explicit”

$$\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbf{R}) = \{M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R}) \mid MM^t = 1\}.$$

This is a closed subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ since the condition $MM^t = 1$ amounts to a system of n^2 polynomial conditions on the matrix entries of M . For example, when $n = 2$ with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

we get the conditions

$$a^2 + b^2 = 1, \quad c^2 + d^2 = 1, \quad ac + bd = 0.$$

The elements of $O_n(\mathbf{R})$ are the linear maps from \mathbf{R}^n to \mathbf{R}^n that preserve the standard inner product on \mathbf{R}^n . We know that all eigenvalues of such a matrix over \mathbf{C} (where it is unitary) have to have absolute value 1.

For any $M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbf{R})$ we know that the real number $\text{Det}(M)$ has absolute value equal to $|\prod \lambda_i|$ where $\{\lambda_i\}$ is the set of eigenvalues of M in \mathbf{C} (counting multiplicities in terms of roots of the characteristic polynomial). Since $|\lambda_i| = 1$ for all i in the orthogonal (or rather, unitary) case, we see that $|\prod \lambda_i| = 1$ for such matrices, so the determinant function on $O_n(\mathbf{R})$ has values in $\{\pm 1\}$. As with $\text{GL}(V)$, the sign of the (continuous) determinant gives an evident non-trivial separation. Let's restrict our attention to

$$\text{SO}(V) = \text{SO}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \{T \in O(V) \mid \det T = 1\} = O(V) \cap \text{GL}^+(V).$$

Here, S stands for "special", which is the usual terminology for when one imposes a "det = 1" condition (e.g., $\text{SL}(V)$ denotes the subgroup of elements in $\text{GL}(V)$ with determinant 1, called the *special linear* group of V ; for $V = \mathbf{R}^n$ it is usually denoted $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{R})$). Is $\text{SO}(V)$ connected? In fact, we'll prove it is path-connected.

Actually, the method of proof of the two connectedness results will be to first prove path connectedness of $\text{SO}(V)$, and to then use the choice of an inner product and the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to deduce from this that $\text{GL}^+(V)$ is path-connected. In order to motivate things with less clutter, we will first reduce the case of $\text{GL}^+(V)$ to that of $\text{SO}(V)$, and then we'll handle the latter case.

2. PATH-CONNECTEDNESS OF $\text{GL}^+(V)$

Let $T \in \text{GL}^+(V)$ be an element. We seek to find a continuous path in $\text{GL}^+(V)$ which links up T to the identity map. We now fix a choice of inner product on V , which can certainly be done (in lots of ways), so we get a corresponding orthogonal group $O(V)$. What we'll actually do is use the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to find a path in $\text{GL}(V)$ joining up T to an element in $\text{SO}(V)$. Then the path-connectedness of the latter (which we'll prove in the next section) will finish the job. Here is the basic idea. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of V . Let $v_j = T(e_j)$ be the image of the j th basis vector under the linear map T . Let $\{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\}$ be the orthonormal basis which results from applying the Gram-Schmidt process to the v_j 's. Let $T' : V \rightarrow V$ be the linear map which sends e_j to v'_j (so T' is an isomorphism). We will "continuously deform" the ordered set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ into $\{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\}$ using the Gram-Schmidt formulas, and this will lead to a path joining up T to T' inside of $\text{GL}^+(V)$. We'll then show that $T' \in \text{SO}(V)$, so we'll be done (or rather, will be reduced to path-connectedness of $\text{SO}(V)$).

More explicitly, consider the formulas which define the Gram-Schmidt algorithm. We first run through without normalizing:

$$\begin{aligned} w'_1 &= v_1, \\ w'_j &= v_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\langle v_j, w'_i \rangle}{\langle w'_i, w'_i \rangle} w'_i \end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq j \leq n$. Thus, $v'_j = w'_j / \|w'_j\|$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. We now define visibly continuous functions

$$w_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow V$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(t) &= v_1 \\ w_j(t) &= v_j - t \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{\langle v_j, w'_i \rangle}{\langle w'_i, w'_i \rangle} w'_i \end{aligned}$$

Note that for every t and $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have

$$\text{span}(w_1(t), \dots, w_i(t)) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_i),$$

so $\{w_1(t), \dots, w_n(t)\}$ is a basis of V for all t . Also, for $t = 0$ this is the original basis $\{v_1, \dots\}$ and for $t = 1$ it is the non-normalized basis $\{w'_1, \dots\}$.

Making one final modification, if we define functions $u_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow V$ by the rule

$$u_j(t) = \frac{w_j(t)}{\|w_j(t)\|^t}$$

then each u_j is continuous (why?) with $\{u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t)\}$ a basis of V for all t ; this yields the original basis $\{v_1, \dots\}$ for $t = 0$ and the Gram-Schmidt output $\{v'_1, \dots\}$ for $t = 1$. We conclude that

$$[0, 1] \rightarrow V \times \dots \times V = V^n$$

defined by

$$t \mapsto (u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))$$

is a “continuous system of bases” which moves from $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ to $\{v'_1, \dots\}$. Geometrically, we visualize a collection of n arrows sticking out of the origin, with this collection of arrows moving continuously from $\{v_i\}$ to $\{v'_i\}$. Such a visualization is sometimes called a *moving frame*.

Now recall we began with a linear map $T : V \simeq V$ determined by the condition $T(e_j) = v_j$ and we also defined a linear map $T' : V \rightarrow V$ by the property $T'(e_j) = v'_j$. Note that T' carries an orthonormal basis to an orthonormal basis. This at least makes T' orthogonal, thanks to:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $T' : (V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \rightarrow (V', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ be a map between finite-dimensional inner product spaces, with $\langle T'(e_i), T'(e_j) \rangle' = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle$ for a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of V . Then T' respects the inner products. That is,*

$$\langle T'(v_1), T'(v_2) \rangle' = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle'$$

for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$.

Proof. The pairings

$$(v_1, v_2) \mapsto \langle T'(v_1), T'(v_2) \rangle', \quad (v_1, v_2) \mapsto \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$$

are bilinear forms on V which, by hypothesis, coincide on pairs from a basis. But by bilinearity, a bilinear form is uniquely determined by its values on pairs from a basis. Thus, these two bilinear forms coincide, and that’s what we needed to prove. \blacksquare

Although this lemma shows that T' is orthogonal, it isn’t immediately clear that $\det T' = 1$ (as opposed to $\det T' = -1$). The fact that $T' \in \text{SO}(V)$, which is to say $\det T' > 0$, will follow from our next observation: there is a continuous path in $\text{GL}(V)$ which begins at our initial T and ends at T' . Indeed, define $T_t : V \rightarrow V$ to be the linear map determined by the requirement

$$T_t(e_j) = u_j(t).$$

Note that $T_0 = T$ and $T_1 = T'$. Moreover, since $\{u_j(t)\}$ is a basis for all t , it follows that $T_t : V \rightarrow V$ is invertible for all t , which is to say $T_t \in \text{GL}(V)$.

We now show that the map $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ defined by $t \mapsto T_t$ is actually *continuous*. To see the continuity, we impose coordinates via the orthonormal basis $\mathbf{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. In such terms, T_t is the matrix whose j th column is the list of \mathbf{e} -coordinates of $T_t(e_j) = u_j(t)$. But recall that $t \mapsto u_j(t)$ is a *continuous* function $[0, 1] \rightarrow V$, and a map to a finite-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space is continuous if and only if the resulting component functions relative to some (and then any) basis are continuous as maps to \mathbf{R} . That is, the “ \mathbf{e} -coordinate functions” of the $u_j(t)$ ’s are continuous maps $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. In more explicit terms, if we write

$$u_j(t) = \sum_i a_{ij}(t)e_i$$

then $a_{ij} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous. Thus, if we stare at the matrix

$$T_t = (a_{ij}(t))$$

in the \mathbf{e} -coordinates, then every matrix entry is a continuous \mathbf{R} -valued function of t . Since continuity for a matrix-valued function is equivalent to continuity of the matrix entry functions, it follows that

$$[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, V) \simeq \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbf{R})$$

defined by $t \mapsto T_t$ really is continuous. The topology on $\text{GL}(V)$ is induced by $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, V)$, which is to say that continuity of $t \mapsto T_t$ as a $\text{GL}(V)$ -valued map is a consequence of its continuity as a $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(V, V)$ -valued map.

Summarizing what we have done so far, given a linear isomorphism $T \in \text{GL}(V)$, we have constructed a continuous path inside of $\text{GL}(V)$ which begins at T and ends at $T' \in \text{O}(V)$ (where we chose an inner product on V). Crucial to this was the explicit nature of the Gram-Schmidt algorithm.

This basic construction never actually needed that $\det T > 0$. But now we use the condition $\det T > 0$ to prove $\det T' > 0$ (and hence $T' \in \text{SO}(V)$, as T' is *orthogonal*). The point is simply that the map

$$\det : \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$$

is continuous and hence the map $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$ defined by $t \mapsto \det(T_t)$ is continuous (being a composite of continuous maps). Since a continuous map $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$ must have connected (and hence interval) image, the sign of $\varphi(t)$ must be the same throughout (Intermediate Value Theorem!). In our situation, it follows that the function $t \mapsto \det(T_t)$ has constant sign. Since the sign is positive at $t = 0$, it must then be positive at $t = 1$. We conclude that not only is $T' \in \text{SO}(V)$ but in fact we have constructed a continuous path from T to T' entirely inside of $\text{GL}^+(V)$. Now we just need to prove the path-connectedness of $\text{SO}(V)$ to find a path in here linking up T' to the identity. This is done in the next section.

3. PATH-CONNECTEDNESS OF $\text{SO}(V)$

Choose any $T \in \text{SO}(V)$. We will find a continuous path in $\text{SO}(V)$ which begins at T and ends at the identity map. This will yield the desired path connectedness. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}$ of V , and let $v_j = T(e_j)$, so by orthogonality of T we know that $\{v_j\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V as well. We will define a continuous function $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow V \times \dots \times V = V^n$ described by

$$t \mapsto (u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))$$

such that $u(0) = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, $u(1) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, and $u(t) = \{u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t)\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Suppose for a moment that we have such a continuous system of orthonormal bases. Define the linear maps $T_t : V \rightarrow V$ by the condition $T_t(e_j) = u_j(t)$. The map T_t is *orthogonal* since it takes an orthonormal basis to an orthonormal basis. Note that $T_0 = \text{id}_V$

and $T_1 = T$. By the same method as in the previous section, the continuity of u implies that $t \mapsto T_t$ is a continuous map from $[0, 1]$ to $\text{GL}(V)$, and even into $\text{O}(V)$.

In particular, the function $\det(T_t)$ is a *continuous* non-vanishing function on $[0, 1]$ with values in $\{\pm 1\}$ since orthogonal maps from V to V have determinant ± 1 , whence this determinant is constant. The value at $t = 0$ is $\det(T_0) = \det(\text{id}_V) = 1$, so $t \mapsto T_t$ is a continuous path in $\text{SO}(V)$ connecting the identity map to T , thereby finishing the proof of path-connectedness once we have constructed the above continuous system u of orthonormal bases moving from $\{e_i\}$ to $\{v_i\}$. The construction of such a continuous u *must* somewhere use that the orthogonal map $T : V \rightarrow V$ sending e_j to v_j has determinant 1 rather than -1 (as otherwise no such T can exist!).

Now we give the construction of u . If $\dim V = 1$, then the only orthogonal map on V with determinant 1 is the identity, so $\text{SO}(V)$ consists of a single element and hence path-connectedness is trivial. We induct on $\dim V$, so we can assume $\dim V > 1$. Consider the two ordered orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ related by the orthogonal map T with $\det T = 1$. If e_1 and v_1 are linearly independent, let W be the 2-dimensional span of e_1 and v_1 . If we have linear dependence, let W be a 2-dimensional subspace containing the common line spanned by e_1 and v_1 .

We have an orthogonal decomposition $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ (note $W^\perp = 0$ in case $\dim V = 2$). Choose an ordered orthonormal basis of W of the form $\{v_1, v'_1\}$. We have $e_1 = av_1 + a'v'_1$ with $a^2 + a'^2 = 1$. We can find $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$(a, a') = (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)),$$

so if we let $r_t : W \rightarrow W$ be the rotation by angle $t\theta$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then r_0 is the identity and r_1 is a rotation which sends v_1 to e_1 .

Define the linear map $T_t : V \simeq V$ on $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ by the requirement that on W^\perp it acts as the identity and on W it acts by r_t . It is clear from the construction on W and W^\perp that T_t is an orthogonal map for all t , and even has determinant 1 for all t . The continuity of the trigonometric matrix function entries for r_t makes it clear that $t \mapsto T \circ T_t$ is a continuous map from $[0, 1]$ to $\text{SO}(V)$. Moreover, $T \circ T_0 = T$ and $T \circ T_1$ sends e_1 to e_1 . Thus, by moving along the *continuous* path $t \mapsto T \circ T_t$ in $\text{SO}(V)$ we link up our original map T to one which fixes e_1 . If we can find a continuous path in $\text{SO}(V)$ from T_1 to the identity map, we'll be done by simply moving along the concatenation of the two paths.

Since T_1 fixes e_1 , if we let $V' = (\mathbf{R}e_1)^\perp$ then $V = \mathbf{R}e_1 \oplus V'$ is an orthogonal decomposition and the *orthogonal* T_1 must take V' back into V' . If we let $T' : V' \rightarrow V'$ denote the orthogonal map induced by T_1 , then the action of T_1 on $V = \mathbf{R}e_1 \oplus V'$ is described by $\text{id}_{\mathbf{R}e_1} \oplus T'$. Since $\dim V' < \dim V$ and

$$1 = \det T_1 = \det(\text{id}_{\mathbf{R}e_1}) \det T' = \det T',$$

we have $T' \in \text{SO}(V')$, so by induction there is a continuous path $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{SO}(V')$ written as $t \mapsto T'_t$ which begins at T' and ends at $\text{id}_{V'}$. Thus, the maps $\text{id}_{\mathbf{R}e_1} \oplus T'_t$ form a continuous path in $\text{SO}(V)$ beginning at T_1 and ending at the identity.

Remark 3.1. We conclude with a challenge question. Observe that \mathbf{C}^\times is connected (in contrast with \mathbf{R}^\times). Hence, there is no determinant obstruction to connectivity of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. Thus, one may be led to guess that if V is a nonzero finite-dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector space then the open subset $\text{GL}(V)$ of \mathbf{C} -linear automorphisms in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(V, V)$ is connected, and even path-connected. (Here we give any finite-dimensional \mathbf{C} -vector space, such as $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(V, V)$, its natural topology as a finite-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space.) Prove the correctness of this guess by using moving frames in the \mathbf{C} -vector space V .