

# Existence of Taylor-Wiles Primes

Michael Lipnowski

## Introduction

Let  $F$  be a totally real number field,  $\bar{\rho} = \overline{\rho_f} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(k)$  be an odd residually modular representation (odd meaning that complex conjugation acts as  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for every archimedean place).

Let  $St$  be the set of places where  $\rho_f$  is Steinberg,  $S_p$  is the set of places over  $p$ ,  $S_\infty$  the set of archimedean places of  $F$ , and assume it is unramified everywhere else. For the purposes of this write up, all that matters is that  $St \cup S_p$  is a finite set of finite places.

Our is to construct certain auxillary sets of places  $Q$  of  $F$  which have associated deformation rings  $R_Q$ .  $Q$  will consist of so called *Taylor-Wiles Places*.

**Definition.** A place  $v$  of  $F$  is a **Taylor-Wiles place** if it satisfies the following conditions.

- $v \notin S \cup S_p$ .
- $Nv \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .
- The eigenvalues of  $\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$  are distinct and belong to  $k$ .

Let  $R_{Q \cup St \cup S_p}^{\square, \chi}$  be the universal framed deformation ring unramified outside of  $Q \cup St \cup S_p$  with fixed determinant  $\chi = \chi_p$ , the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character.

Let  $L^\square$  be the completed tensor product of the universal framed local deformation rings at  $v \in St \cup S_p$  of fixed determinant  $\psi_v$  and  $B^\square$  the completed universal product of their Steinberg quotients (for  $v \in St$ ), and their ordinary-crystalline quotients for  $v \in S_p$ .

Let  $R_Q^\square = R_{Q \cup St \cup S_p}^{\square, \chi} \otimes_{L^\square} B^\square$ . This represents the universal framed deformation  $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(R_Q)$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  unramified outside of  $Q \cup St \cup S_p$  which is Steinberg at  $St$  and ordinary-crystalline at  $S_p$ .

Although we do allow ramification at  $Q$ , the Taylor-Wiles conditions control it tightly.

Let  $v$  be a Taylor-Wiles place and consider  $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$ .

$\bar{\rho}$  is unramified at  $v$ . So,  $\rho(I_v)$  lands inside the 1-units of  $GL_2(R_Q)$ , which is a pro- $p$  group. But the wild inertia group  $W_v \subset I_v$  is a pro- $v$  group and so it gets killed. Thus, the reduction is tamely ramified at  $v$ . Even better,

**Lemma.**  $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$  is a sum of two (tamely ramified) characters  $\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2$ .

*Proof.* The tame galois group is generated by  $\sigma = Frob_v$  and the group  $I_v$ . For every  $\tau \in I_v$ , we have the relation

$$\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^{Nv}. \quad (*)$$

By the Taylor-Wiles assumption on Frobenii,  $\bar{\rho}(\sigma)$  has distinct eigenvalues. By Hensel's lemma, we may lift  $\bar{\rho}(\sigma)$  so that  $\rho(\sigma)$  is diagonal, say  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ , with respect to some (possibly different) basis. With respect to this basis, express

$$\rho(\tau) = 1 + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

For some  $a, b, c, d \in m_Q$ . Apply  $\rho$  to (\*) and expand to get

$$1 + \begin{pmatrix} a & b\alpha\beta^{-1} \\ c\beta\alpha^{-1} & d \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^{Nv} \binom{Nv}{k} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^k.$$

Note that for  $k \geq 2$ , the top right and bottom left entries of the right side summands lie in  $m_Q(b, c)$ . Thus comparing with these entries on the left side,

$$b(\alpha\beta^{-1} - Nv), c(\beta\alpha^{-1} - Nv) \in m_Q(b, c).$$

But  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are residually distinct, by assumption. Then by the congruence property of TW places

$$\alpha\beta^{-1} - Nv, \beta\alpha^{-1} - Nv \neq 0 \pmod{p}$$

implying that both terms are units in  $R_Q$ . Thus,  $(b, c) \subset m_Q(b, c)$ . By Nakayama's Lemma, this implies that  $b = c = 0$ . Since  $\tau$  was arbitrary, the claim follows.  $\square$

## $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ Structure on $R_Q^\square$

We have just shown that  $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$  is a sum of two (tamely ramified) characters  $\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2$ . Choose one, say  $\eta$ .

We know that  $\eta|_{I_v}$  has pro- $p$  image. Also by class field theory, it determines a character  $\eta' : O_v^\times \rightarrow R_Q^{\square \times}$ . As the 1-units are pro- $v$ , this is really a map  $\eta' : (O_v/v)^\times \rightarrow R_Q^{\square \times}$  which factors through the maximal  $p$ -power quotient of  $(O_v/v)^\times$ . Call this maximal  $p$ -power quotient  $\Delta_v$ . Let  $\Delta_Q = \prod_{v \in Q} \Delta_v$ . Our choice of  $\eta$  defines an action of  $\Delta_Q$  on  $R_Q$ , thus giving  $R_Q$  the structure of an  $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -module.

We still haven't constructed the set of primes  $Q$ . Actually, we want to construct a family of such  $Q = Q_n$  of the following sort:

For fixed positive integers  $g, h$  satisfying  $\dim B^\square = 1 + h + l - g$  (remember that  $B^\square$  is the framed ring of Steinberg and ord-crust conditions),

- $|Q_n| = h$
- $Nv = 1 \pmod{p^n}$
- $R_{Q_n}^\square$  is topological generated by  $g$  elements over  $B^\square$ .

Note that the congruence condition  $Nv = 1$  ( $p^n$ ) means that  $\Delta_v$  is  $p$ -power cyclic of order divisible by  $p^n$ . Thus, after a choice of generators for these cyclic groups, the  $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -module structure on  $R_Q^\square$  is equivalently an  $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_h]]/((T_1 + 1)^{p^{a_1}} - 1, \dots, (T_h + 1)^{p^{a_h}} - 1)$ -module structure, where all  $a_i \geq n$ .

There are no obvious maps between the  $R_{Q_n}$ . But by the magic of the patching, we will find a subset of the  $R_{Q_n}$  which form a kind of inverse system with limit  $R_\infty^\square$ . We dream that by “letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ”, we’ll give  $R_\infty^\square$  the structure of a free  $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_h]]$ -module.

A couple remarks about these conditions:

- 1) The explicit values

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \dim H^1(G_{F, St \cup S_p}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \\ g &= h - [F : \mathbb{Q}] + |St| + |S_p| - 1 \end{aligned}$$

will suffice.

- 2) Our stipulation that  $\dim B^\square = 1 + h + l - g$  will only appear natural once we dive into the patching argument.
- 3) The  $g$  we will construct is actually the relative topological dimension of  $R_{Q_n}^\square$  over  $L^\square$ , which will certainly suffice.

## Construction of the TW Sets

From now on, we will assume that

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}} \text{ is absolutely irreducible.}$$

This cheaply implies the following apparently much stronger fact.

**Lemma.**  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_{p^n})}}$  is absolutely irreducible.

*Proof.* Our standing assumption is that  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$  is absolutely irreducible.

Note that  $H = G_{F(\zeta_{p^n})}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G = G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ . Thus, the restriction  $\bar{\rho}|_H$  is semisimple. Indeed, if  $W$  is an invariant subspace, then

$$\bigoplus_{G/H-1.H} gW$$

is an invariant complement.

Suppose  $\bar{\rho}|_H$  is not irreducible. Then it is the direct sum of two characters. Since  $V$ , as a  $G$ -module, is absolutely irreducible,  $G/H$  must permute these characters transitively. But  $G/H$  is a  $p$ -group, and so it cannot act transitively on a 2 element set (for any  $p > 2$ , which we have assumed). Thus, the two characters are the same.

This implies that every line in  $V$  is stabilized by  $H$ . But there are  $|\mathbb{P}(V)(k)| = |k| + 1$  of them. So the number of them is prime to  $p$ . Hence, some orbit of  $G/H$  on the set of  $k$ -lines in  $V$  has size prime to  $p$ . But the size of the orbit must also divide  $|G/H|$ , which is  $p$ -power. Hence, this orbit has size 1, i.e. there is an  $H$ -stable line which is  $G/H$ -stable. This line is then  $G$ -stable, contradicting the irreducibility of  $V$ .

The same argument carries out mutatis mutandis after first making a finite extension of the ground field  $k$  of  $V$ . Thus,  $\bar{\rho}|_H$  is indeed absolutely irreducible.  $\square$

We'll now prove our main lemma of interest.

**Theorem (DDT, Lemma 2.49).** *Let  $h = \dim H^1(G_{F,S \cup S_p}, ad^0(\bar{\rho}(1)))$ . For every  $n$ , we can construct a set  $Q_n$  of Taylor-Wiles places, i.e.*

- (1) For each  $v \in Q_n$ ,  $Nv = 1 \pmod{p^n}$ .
- (2) For each  $v \in Q_n$ ,  $\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$  has distinct  $k$ -rational eigenvalues.
- (3)  $|Q_n| = h$ .

*Proof.* An easy calculation shows that if  $\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$  is a Taylor-Wiles place, then  $\dim H^1(k_v, ad^0(\bar{\rho})(1)) = 1$ .

Indeed, for any  $\sigma$  in  $G_{F,S \cup S_p}$ , if  $\sigma$  has (generalized) eigenvalues  $\alpha, \beta$  then  $ad^0(\bar{\rho})(\sigma)$  has (generalized) eigenvalues  $1, \alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta\alpha^{-1}$ . Thus, if  $\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$  has distinct eigenvalues, the space  $ad^0(V)/(Frob_v - 1)ad^0(V)$  is one dimensional. Since a  $v$ -unramified cocycle is uniquely determined by its value on  $Frob_v$ , we get that  $\dim H^1(k_v, ad^0(\bar{\rho})(1)) = 1$ .

Thus, it suffices to show that the restriction map

$$H^1(G_{F,S \cup S_p}, ad^0(\bar{\rho})(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in Q_n} H^1(k_v, ad^0(\bar{\rho})(1))$$

is an isomorphism. Then equating dimensions shows that condition (3) is fulfilled.

To do this, it suffices to show that for any global cocycle  $\psi$  there exists a  $v = v_\psi$  satisfying (1) and (2) such that  $res_v(\psi) \neq 0$ . For then we could apply this to the elements of a basis (of size  $h$ ) for the left side, and the corresponding set of places  $\{v_\psi\}$  would consistute a TW set.

Instead we'll show that we can find  $\sigma \in G_{F,S \cup S_p}$  satisfying the following:

- (1')  $\sigma|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}} = 1$ .
- (2')  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(\sigma)$  has an eigenvalue other than 1.
- (3')  $\psi(\sigma) \notin (\sigma - 1)ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)$ .

Indeed, all three of the above conditions are open conditions in  $G_{F,S \cup S_p}$ . But by the Chebotarev density theorem, we any non-empty open set contains some  $Frob_v$ . This  $v$  will do.

Let  $F_0$  be the fixed field of the kernel of  $ad^0\bar{\rho}$  and let  $F_m = F_0(\zeta_{p^m})$ .

**Claim.**  $\psi(G_{F_n})$  is non-zero.

Later, we'll even show that its  $k$ -span is a non-zero  $Gal(F_n/F(\zeta_{p^n}))$ -submodule of  $ad^0\bar{\rho}$ . From this, we can leverage information from the irreducibility of  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_{p^n})}}$  just proven.

*Proof.* In this claim and what follows, assume  $n > 0$  so that the cyclotomic character is trivial when restricted to  $G_{F_n}$ . There is an inflation-restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_{F_n/F}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)) \xrightarrow{inf} H^1(G_F, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)) \xrightarrow{res} H^1(G_{F_n}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)).$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that the leftmost term is zero. For then,  $\psi|_{G_{F_n}}$  is a non-zero cohomology class, and so is certainly not identically 0.

We can sandwich the left most term in another inflation-restriction sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_{F_0/F}, (ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0}}) \xrightarrow{inf} H^1(G_{F_n/F}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)) \xrightarrow{res} H^1(G_{F_n/F_0}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0/F}}. (*)$$

where the action of  $g \in G_{F_0/F}$  on the third term is given by  $\eta \mapsto (h \mapsto g^{-1}\eta(ghg^{-1}))$ .

- Third term of (\*)

There is a restriction-corestriction sequence

$$H^1(G_{F_n/F_0}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)) \xrightarrow{res} H^1(G_{F_n/F_1}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)) \xrightarrow{cores} H^1(G_{F_n/F_0}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))$$

and the composition is multiplication by  $|G_{F_1/F_0}|$ . This number is  $\leq p-1$  and so is prime to  $p$ . Hence,  $res$  is injective. It also sends  $G_{F_0/F}$ -invariants to  $G_{F_0/F}$ -invariants. Thus, it suffices to show that  $H^1(G_{F_n/F_1}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0/F}}$  is zero.

- $G_{F_n/F_1}$  is naturally a subgroup of the commutative quotient  $G_{F(\zeta_{p^n})/F}$  of  $G_F$  (given just by restricting automorphisms to  $F(\zeta_{p^n})$ ). The conjugation action is compatible with this restriction. Thus the conjugation action on  $G_{F_n/F_1}$  is trivial since the latter quotient of  $G_F$  is abelian.

Note that  $G_{F_n/F_1}$  acts trivially on  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)$ . Hence,

$$H^1(G_{F_n/F_1}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0/F}} = Hom(G_{F_n/F_1}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0/F}} = Hom(G_{F_n/F_1}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0/F}}.$$

But  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)^{G_{F_0/F}} = 0$ .

Indeed, any  $G_{F(\zeta_{p^n})}$ -invariant element of  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)$  is equivalently a trace 0 intertwining operator  $V \rightarrow V(1)$  ( $V$  the underlying vector space of  $ad^0$ ). But  $n > 0$ , so the action of the cyclotomic character is trivial. So this is actually an intertwining operator  $V \rightarrow V$ . But  $V$  is an irreducible  $G_{F(\zeta_{p^n})}$ -module, and so any self-intertwining operator is scalar and so must be 0 by our trace 0 assumption ( $p > 3$  by our standing assumptions).

Hence, the third term of (\*) is 0.

- First term of (\*)

- $(ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0/F}}$  is trivial unless  $F_0 \supset F(\zeta_p)$ . This is because for any place  $v$  with  $Nv \neq 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$  fixes something but  $\chi_p(Frob_v) \neq 1$ . So, we assume that

$$G_{F_0/F} \rightarrow G_{F(\zeta_p)/F} \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular,  $G_{F_0/F}$  has a non-trivial quotient and so is not a non-abelian simple group.

- Since  $(ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0/F}}$  has  $p$ -power order, we also have an injection

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_{F_0/F}, (ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0}}) \xrightarrow{res} H^1(P, (ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))^{G_{F_0}}),$$

where  $P$  is the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G_{F_0/F}$ . Thus, we can assume that  $P$  is non-trivial, i.e. that  $p$  divides  $|G_{F_0/F}|$ .

- Finally, since  $F_0$  is the field cut out by  $ad^0\bar{\rho}$ ,  $G_{F_0/F}$  is isomorphic to the projective image of  $\bar{\rho}$ .

We can put these facts to good use in conjunction with an explicit characterization of finite subgroups of  $PGL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ .

List of Finite Subgroups  $H$  of  $PGL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  [ **EG, II.8.27** ]

- $H$  is conjugate to a subgroup of the upper triangular matrices.
- $H$  is conjugate to  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$  or  $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$  for some  $r \geq 1$ .
- $H$  is isomorphic to  $A_4, A_5, S_4$ , or  $D_{2r}, p \nmid r$  for  $r \geq 2$ . Furthermore, if  $H$  is isomorphic to  $D_{2r} = \langle s, t \mid s^2 = t^r = 1, sts = t^{-1} \rangle$ , then it is conjugate to the image of

$$s \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $r^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.

We can eliminate all of these possibilities, one by one.

- The projective image  $H$  cannot be conjugate to a subgroup of the upper triangular matrices, for then  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$  would not be absolutely irreducible.
- Our assumptions  $p > 5$  and  $p$  divides  $|G_{F_0/F}|$  preclude the possibilities  $H \cong A_4, A_5, S_4, D_{2r}, p \nmid r$ .
- $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$  is simple for  $p > 5$ . Thus, it cannot have a quotient, namely  $G_{F(\zeta_p)/F}$ , which is non-trivial.
- Suppose  $H = im(\bar{\rho}) \cong PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ . The only non-trivial quotient of  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$  is order 2. But  $G_{F_0/F}$  cannot have a quotient of order 2. If it did, there would be an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow im(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow im(ad^0(\bar{\rho})) \rightarrow 1,$$

with  $Z$  a central subgroup of  $GL_2(k)$  and  $im(ad^0(\bar{\rho}))$  either order 1 or 2. But then any pre-image  $A$  of the non-trivial element of  $im(ad^0(\bar{\rho}))$  and  $Z$  generate  $im(\bar{\rho})$ . But  $A$  has an invariant subspace (possibly after a quadratic extension). So that means  $im(\bar{\rho})$  does too, contradicting the absolute irreducibility of  $\bar{\rho}$ .

Since none of these are possible, we must have the first term of (\*) being 0 after all.

We conclude that the second term of (\*) is 0 as well, which is what we wanted; this proves that  $\psi(G_{F_n})$  is indeed non-zero.  $\square$

We can say more. For  $\tau, \tau' \in G_{F_n}, \sigma \in G_{F(\zeta_{p^n})}$ , repeated use of the cocycle relation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}) &= \psi(\sigma) + \psi(\tau\sigma^{-1}) \\ &= \psi(\sigma) + \sigma\psi(\tau) + \sigma\tau\psi(\sigma^{-1}) \\ &= \psi(\sigma) + \sigma\psi(\tau) + \sigma\psi(\sigma^{-1}) = \sigma\psi(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Note: the second last equality holds because  $\tau$  acts trivially on  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(G_{F_n})$ . Also,

$$\psi(\tau) + \psi(\tau') = \tau'\psi(\tau) + \psi(\tau') = \psi(\tau\tau').$$

Thus, the  $k$ -span of  $\psi(G_{F_n})$  is in fact a non-zero  $G_{F_n/F(\zeta_{p^n})}$ -submodule of  $ad^0\bar{\rho}$ .

Next, we'll find an element  $g \in G_{F_n/F(\zeta_{p^n})}$  such that  $\bar{\rho}(g)$  has distinct eigenvalues and which fixes an element of  $k \cdot \psi(G_{F_n})$ . We do this by the explicit classification of possible projective images, i.e. we'll show that for any subgroup  $H$  which could possibly be the projective image of  $\bar{\rho}$ , there is an element of  $H$  with distinct eigenvalues which fixes an element of  $k \cdot \psi(G_{F_n})$ .

- Note first that if we can prove the result for some subgroup  $H \subset H'$ , then it true for putative projective image  $H'$  as well. Also, the “exceptional” cases  $A_4$ ,  $S_4$ , and  $A_5$  all contain  $D_4$  and the projective image cannot be contained in an upper triangular subgroup (due to the absolute irreducibility of  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_{p^n})}}$ ). Thus, in view of the preceding classification of finite subgroups of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ , it suffices to check the following cases:

- $\underline{PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}$

$ad^0$  is simple under the action of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ . Thus,  $k.\psi(G_{F_n}) = ad^0$  and

$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  fixes  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in ad^0 = k.\psi(G_{F_n})$ . Since  $p > 5$ , we can certainly find  $\alpha \neq \alpha^{-1}$ .

- $\underline{D_4}$

$ad^0$  decomposes as  $V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ , where

$$V_1 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, V_2 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, V_3 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

$D_4$  acts as  $\pm 1$  on each  $V_i$ . Furthermore, by our explicit description of the image of dihedral groups, each non-trivial element has distinct eigenvalues (of  $\pm 1$ ). Since the only possible invariant subspaces of  $ad^0$  are then  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$  for some  $I \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ , it follows that some element  $h \in D_4$  with distinct eigenvalues fixes an element of  $k.\psi(G_{F_n})$ .

- $\underline{D_{2r}, r \text{ odd}}$

$ad^0$  decomposes as  $W_1 \oplus W_2$  where

$$W_1 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, W_2 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

$W_1$  is fixed by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  fixes  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Since  $ad^0 = W_i$  or  $W_1 \oplus W_2$ , it follows again that some  $h \in D_{2r}$  with distinct eigenvalues fixes an element of  $k.\psi(G_{F_n})$ .

Having found such a  $g$ , it must certainly fix a non-zero element of  $\psi(G_{F_n})$  itself, say  $\psi(\tau_0)$ .

- Indeed, as an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space, the  $k.\psi(G_{F_n})$  is isomorphic to  $k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \psi(G_{F_n})$ . But then if  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  forms a basis for  $k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we can express the fixed element  $m$  of  $k.\psi(G_{F_n})$  as  $m = k_1\psi(\tau_1) + \dots + k_n\psi(\tau_n)$ , where at least one  $\psi(\tau_i) \neq 0$ . If  $m$  is fixed by  $g$ , then

$$k_1((g-1)\psi(\tau_1)) + \dots + k_n((g-1)\psi(\tau_n)) = 0.$$

But linear independence implies that  $(g-1)\psi(\tau_i) = 0$ , which is what we wanted.

Choose a lift  $\sigma_0$  of  $g$  to the absolute Galois group.

For  $\tau \in G_{F_n}$ , we have

$$\psi(\tau\sigma_0) = \tau\psi(\sigma_0) + \psi(\tau) = \psi(\sigma_0) + \psi(\tau).$$

- If  $\psi(\sigma_0) \notin (\sigma_0 - 1)(ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))$ , then take  $\tau = 1$ .
- Otherwise, choose  $\tau = \tau_0$ . For this choice,  $\psi(\tau_0) \notin (\sigma_0 - 1)ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)$ . For suppose  $(\sigma_0 - 1)x = \psi(\tau_0) \neq 0$ . Applying  $\sigma_0 - 1$  to both sides, our construction of  $\tau_0$  gives

$$(\sigma_0 - 1)^2x = (\sigma_0 - 1)\psi(\tau_0) = 0.$$

But  $\sigma_0$  acting on  $ad^0$  is semisimple and has eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity 1 (since  $\bar{\rho}(\sigma_0)$  has distinct eigenvalues). Thus,  $(\sigma_0 - 1)x = 0$ , implying that  $\psi(\tau_0) = 0$ , contrary to our construction.

Thus, in both cases

$$\psi(\tau\sigma_0) \notin (\sigma_0 - 1)ad^0\bar{\rho}(1) = (\tau\sigma_0 - 1)ad^0\bar{\rho}(1).$$

So we've finally constructed the element  $\sigma = \tau\sigma_0$  that we sought in the first place.  $\square$

## Number of Topological Generators for $R_{Q_n \cup St \cup S_p}^{\square, \chi}$ over $L^\square$

We now have all of the pieces in place to compute the relative tangent space dimension of  $R_{Q_n \cup St \cup S_p}^{\square, \chi}$  over  $L^\square$ , both defined as in the introduction.

**Lemma (FFGS, 3.2.2).** *Let  $h^1(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0(V))$  denote the  $k$ -dimension of*

$$\ker(H^1(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0(V)) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in St \cup S_p} H^1(G_{F_v}, ad^0(V))).$$

*For  $v \in St \cup S_p$ , let  $\delta_v = \dim_k H^0(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, adV)$  and  $\delta_F = \dim_k H^0(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, adV)$ . Then  $R_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}^{\square, \chi}$  is the quotient of a power series ring over  $L^\square$  in*

$$g = h^1(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0(V)) + \sum_{v \in St \cup S_p} \delta_v - \delta_F.$$

*variables.*

*Proof.* Let our vector space  $V$  have fixed basis  $\beta$ .

An element of the relative tangent space corresponds to a deformation of  $V$  to a finite free  $k[\epsilon]$ -module  $\tilde{V}$  together with a choice of bases  $\tilde{\beta}_v$  lifting  $\beta$  such that for each  $v \in St \cup S_p$ , the pair  $(\tilde{V}|_{G_{F_v}}, \tilde{\beta}_v)$  is isomorphic to  $(V \otimes_k k[\epsilon], \beta \otimes_k 1)$ .

For fixed choices of bases, the space of such deformations is given by

$$\ker(H^1(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0(V)) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in St \cup S_p} H^1(G_{F_v}, ad^0(V))).$$

Given such a deformation,  $\tilde{V}$ , the space of possible choices for a bases is the space of  $G_{F_v}$  automorphisms of  $(V \otimes_k k[\epsilon], \beta \otimes_k 1)$ ; such an automorphism reduces to 1 mod  $(\epsilon)$  and so is of the form  $1 + \epsilon M$  for some  $G_{F_v}$ -equivariant  $M \in ad(V)$ , i.e.  $M \in H^0(G_{F_v}, adV)$ .

The same reasoning shows that two collections  $\{\beta_v\}_{v \in St \cup S_p}$  and  $\{\beta'_v\}_{v \in St \cup S_p}$  determine the same framed deformation if they differ by an element of  $H^0(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, adV)$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$



Now we compute this  $h^1$ , the dimension of a Selmer group, via the Wiles Product formula.

**Lemma (FFGS, 3.2.5).** *Set  $g = \dim_k H^1(G_{F, S_p \cup St}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1)), ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) - [F : \mathbb{Q}] + |St| + |S_p| - 1$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , there is a finite set of primes  $Q_n$  of  $F$  which is disjoint from  $St \cup S_p$  and such that*

- (1) *If  $v \in Q_n$ , then  $Nv = 1$  ( $p^n$ ) and  $\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$  has distinct eigenvalues.*
- (2)  *$|Q_n| = \dim_k H^1(G_{F, S_p \cup St}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ . Also,  $R_{Q_n}^\square$  is topologically generated by  $g$  elements as a  $B^\square$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* We define a set of local conditions to compute this relative dimension, the dimension of a Selmer group. Namely, let

$$H_{\mathcal{L}_v}^1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \in St \cup S_p \\ H^1(G_{F_v}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Write  $H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q_n}}^1$  (resp.  $H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q_n}^\perp}^1$ ) for the set of classes which restrict to  $H_{\mathcal{L}_v}^1$  (resp.  $H_{\mathcal{L}_v^\perp}^1$ ) for each  $v \in St \cup S_p \cup Q_n$ . (“ $\perp$ ” denoting the annihilator under Tate local duality).

The main result from the previous section shows that we can find a set of primes  $Q_n$  satisfying condition (1) and the first part of condition (2). Furthermore, any class in  $H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q_n}^\perp}^1$  restricts to 0 in  $H^1(G_{F_v}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ . By our choice of primes, this implies that  $H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q_n}^\perp}^1 = 0$ .

By the Wiles Product Formula, we get

$$|H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q_n}}^1| = \frac{H^0(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0 \bar{\rho})}{H^0(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1))} \prod_{v \in St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty} \frac{H_{\mathcal{L}_v}^1}{H^0(G_{F_v}, ad^0 \bar{\rho})}.$$

- Global terms

An element of  $H^0(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0 \bar{\rho})$  corresponds to a trace 0 self-intertwining operator of  $V$ . Since  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$  is absolutely irreducible, any self-intertwining operators are scalars. But the only trace 0 scalar matrix is 0 (for  $p > 2$ ).

Similarly, an element of  $H^0(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$  corresponds to an intertwining operator  $V \rightarrow V(1)$  between irreducible  $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ -modules. Either they are not isomorphic, in which case only the 0 operator can intertwine them, or they are isomorphic, in which case the above paragraph applies.

- $v \in St \cup S_p$

$ad^0(V)$  is a summand of  $ad(V)$  for  $p > 2$ . So, the terms in the product corresponding to  $v \in St \cup S_p$  in the product formula contribute  $|k|^{1-\delta_v}$ .

- $v \in S_\infty$

- $v \in Q_n$

$$\frac{H^1(G_{F_v}, ad^0 \bar{\rho})}{H^0(G_{F_v}, ad^0 \bar{\rho})} = H^2((G_{F_v}, ad^0 \bar{\rho})) \times \text{local Euler characteristic}^{-1}.$$

The  $H^2$  term equals  $H^0(G_{F_v}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$  by Tate local duality. The local Euler characteristic, which equals  $[O_v : |ad^0(V)|_{O_v}]^{-1}$  by the local Euler characteristic formula, is 1 since  $|ad^0(V)|$  is prime to  $v \in Q_n$ . Hence, the product formula terms for  $v \in Q_n$  equal  $H^0(G_{F_v}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ .

Since  $\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$  had distinct eigenvalues, there is a 1-dimensional subspace of  $ad^0(V)$  fixed by  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$ . Since  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$  is unramified,  $H^0(G_{F_v}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1))$  is 1-dimensional.

- $S_\infty$

By one of our standing assumptions,  $\bar{\rho}$  is odd, i.e. for archimedean places  $v$ ,  $\overline{\rho(c)}$  can be represented as a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  with respect to some basis. Hence,  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(c)$  can be diagonalized to  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . But  $G_{F_v}$  is cyclic of order 2, generated by  $c$ . Hence, the space of cocycles is just  $\ker(ad^0\bar{\rho}(c) + 1)$ , which is 2-dimensional, and the space of coboundaries is  $im(ad^0\bar{\rho}(c) - 1)$ , which is 2-dimensional. Hence  $H^1(G_{F_v}, ad^0\bar{\rho}) = 0$ . Also,  $H^0(G_{F_v}, ad^0\bar{\rho})$  is the 1-eigenspace of  $ad^0\bar{\rho}(c)$ , and so is 1-dimensional.

Adding everything together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(G_{F, St \cup S_p \cup S_\infty}, ad^0(V)) &= \dim_k H^1_{\mathcal{L}_{Q_n}} \\ &= 0 + \sum_{v \in St \cup S_p} (1 - \delta_v) + \sum_{v \in Q_n} 1 + \sum_{v \in S_\infty} -1 \\ &= |St| + |S_p| - \sum_{v \in St \cup S_p} \delta_v + |Q_n| + [F : \mathbb{Q}] \\ &= |St| + |S_p| - \sum_{v \in St \cup S_p} \delta_v + \dim_k H^1(G_{F, St \cup S_p}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)) + [F : \mathbb{Q}] \end{aligned}$$

Combining with the previous lemma gives that

$$g = \dim_k H^1(G_{F, St \cup S_p}, ad^0\bar{\rho}(1)) + |St| + |S_p| + [F : \mathbb{Q}] - 1,$$

as desired. □

We can conclude that  $R_Q^\square$  is generated by  $g$  elements as a  $B^\square$  algebra as well. Thus, we are finally done our construction of TW primes.

## References

- DDT** H. Darmon, F. Diamon, R. Taylor. *Fermat's Last Theorem*. Current Developments in Mathematics 1 (1995), International Press, pp. 1-157.
- FFGS** M. Kisin. *Moduli of Finite Flat Group Schemes and Modularity*. Annals of Math. 170(3) (2009), 1085-1180.
- EG** B. Huppert. *Endliche Gruppen I*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 134 (1983), Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- S. Shah. *Framed Deformation and Modularity*. Harvard Undergraduate Thesis (2009). Available at <http://math.harvard.edu/theses/senior/shah/shah.pdf>