

# Group Schemes

Samit Dasgupta and Ian Petrow

December 8, 2010

We begin with an example of a group scheme.

**Example 1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Define

$$GL_n(R) = \{(x_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n} : \det(x_{ij}) \in R^*\} = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } R, G), \quad (1)$$

where

$$G = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[(x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n, y] / (\det(x_{ij})y - 1).$$

The second equality of (1) is given explicitly by

$$A = (a_{ij}) \in GL_n(R) \longleftrightarrow \varphi : \mathbb{Z}[(x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n, y] / (\det(x_{ij})y - 1) \rightarrow R$$

given by  $x_{ij} \mapsto a_{ij}$ ,  $y \mapsto \det(x_{ij})^{-1}$ .  $GL_n(R)$  is a group for each  $R$ , in a functorial way:

$$\text{mult} : GL_n(R) \times GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(R)$$

$$\text{inv} : GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(R).$$

come from the ring homs

$$\mathbb{Z}[(x_{ij}), y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[(x'_{ij}), y'] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[(x''_{ij}), y''] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[(x_{ij})', (x_{ij})'', y', y'']$$

defined by  $x_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n x'_{ik} x''_{kj}$ ,  $y \mapsto y' y''$ . And similarly for inversion.

**Definition 1.** Let  $S$  be a scheme. An  $S$ -group scheme is a scheme  $G$  over  $S$  together with an  $S$ -morphism  $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$  such that the induced law of composition  $G(T) \times G(T) \rightarrow G(T)$  makes  $G(T)$  a group for every  $S$ -scheme  $T$ .

(Note: here and below, all products are over  $S$ .) An equivalent definition is that an  $S$ -group scheme is a contravariant functor from the category of schemes over  $S$  to the category of groups such that the underlying functor to the category of sets is representable.

Another (and more explicit) definition is that an  $S$ -group scheme is a scheme  $G$  over  $S$  together with an  $S$ -morphism  $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$  such that

(a) (Associative Law) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G \times G) \times G & = G \times (G \times G) & \xrightarrow{id \times m} G \times G \\ \downarrow m \times id & & \downarrow m \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \end{array}$$

commutes.

(b) (Identity) There exists an  $S$ -morphism  $\epsilon : S \rightarrow G$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \times G & = G \times S & \xrightarrow{id \times \epsilon} G \times G \\ \downarrow \epsilon \times id & \searrow id & \downarrow m \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \end{array}$$

commutes.

(c) (Inverse) There exists an  $S$ -morphism  $inv : G \rightarrow G$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{inv \times id} & G \times G \\ \Delta \uparrow & & \downarrow m \\ G & \xrightarrow{\epsilon \circ \pi} & G \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{id \times inv} & G \times G \\ \Delta \uparrow & & \downarrow m \\ G & \xrightarrow{\epsilon \circ \pi} & G \end{array}$$

commute.

**Definition 2.** An  $S$ -group scheme  $G$  is commutative if  $G(T)$  is commutative for all  $S$ -schemes  $T$ .

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{pr_2 \times pr_1} & G \times G \\ & \searrow m & \downarrow m \\ & & G \end{array}$$

commuting.

**Definition 3.** A morphism of  $S$ -group schemes is an  $S$ -morphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  such that  $G(T) \rightarrow G'(T)$  defined by  $g \mapsto \varphi \circ g$  is a group homomorphism for all  $T$ . Equivalently,  $\varphi$  is a morphism of  $S$ -group schemes if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{\varphi \times \varphi} & G' \times G' \\ \downarrow m & & \downarrow m' \\ G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G' \end{array}$$

commutes.

Say  $S = \text{Spec } R$  affine, and  $G = \text{Spec } A$  is an affine  $R$ -scheme. We then have the corresponding ring maps  $\tilde{m} : A \rightarrow A \otimes_R A$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon} : A \rightarrow R$ , and  $i\tilde{m}v : A \rightarrow A$ . An  $R$ -algebra  $A$  together with these maps satisfying the commutative diagrams corresponding to (a), (b), and (c) above is called a Hopf algebra.

### Examples

(1) Additive group. Define  $\mathbb{G}_a(\text{Spec } B) = B$  under  $+$ .

$$\mathbb{G}_a = \text{Spec } R[x].$$

$$\tilde{m} : R[x] \rightarrow R[x] \otimes_R R[x] \simeq R[x', x'']$$

defined by  $x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \longleftarrow x' + x''$ , where  $x', x''$  correspond to  $1 \otimes x$  and  $x \otimes 1$ , respectively.

One can also define certain twisted versions of this group scheme. For example, if  $k$  is a characteristic  $p$  field, and  $a \in k$  is not a  $p$ th power in  $k$  then consider the group scheme  $G$  over  $\text{Spec } k$  defined by  $G(\text{Spec } B) = \{(x, y) \in B^2 : y^p = x - ax^p\}$ . The group scheme  $G$  is a twisted form of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ , that is to say, it is a group scheme (under usual addition due to “freshman’s binomial theorem,” which becomes isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$  after a base change (namely to  $k(a^{1/p})$ ).

(2) Multiplicative group. Define  $\mathbb{G}_m(\text{Spec } B) = B^*$ .

$$\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } R[x, y]/(xy - 1).$$

$$\tilde{m} : R[x, y]/(xy - 1) \rightarrow R[x', y', x'', y'']/(x'y' = 1, x''y'' = 1)$$

defined by  $\tilde{m}(x) = x'x''$  and  $\tilde{m}(y) = y'y''$ .  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is simply the  $n = 1$  case of the group scheme  $GL_n$ .

We can define twisted forms of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  as well. For example, the group scheme over  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined by the equation  $x^2 - 7y^2 = 1$  (using the usual multiplication in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$  to define the group structure) is a group scheme not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , but it becomes isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_m$  after a base change to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$ .

**Exercise 1.** Let  $D$  = any  $R$ -algebra that is finite free as an  $R$ -module. Show there is an affine  $R$ -group scheme, denoted  $\mathbb{D}^*$  such that  $\mathbb{D}^*(B) = (D \otimes_R B)^*$  for all commutative  $R$ -algebras  $B$ .

(3)  $n$ -th roots of unity. Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Define

$$\mu_n = \text{Spec } R[x]/(x^n - 1).$$

We have

$$\mu_n(B) := \{b \in B : b^n = 1\} \subset \mathbb{G}_m(B).$$

The inclusion  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  corresponds to

$$\frac{R[x, y]}{(xy - 1)} \rightarrow \frac{R[x]}{(x^n - 1)}$$

defined by  $x \mapsto x$  and  $y \mapsto x^{n-1}$ . Similarly, for positive integers  $m, n$ , we have a natural map  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_{mn}$  corresponding to

$$R[x]/(x^{mn} - 1) \longrightarrow R[x]/(x^n - 1), \quad x \mapsto x$$

(inducing the identity map  $\mu_n(B) \rightarrow \mu_{mn}(B)$ ).

(4) Diagonalizable group schemes. Let  $X$  be a commutative group. Let  $R[X] := \bigoplus_{x \in X} Rx$ , group algebra of  $X$  over  $R$ . It is a commutative  $R$ -algebra. Define  $D(X) := \text{Spec}(R[X])$ , so

$$D(X)(\text{Spec } B) = \text{Hom}_{R\text{-alg}}(R[X], B) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gps}}(X, B^*).$$

The group law is given by pointwise multiplication. Note the special cases  $D(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$ ,  $D(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mu_n$ . Furthermore, under these isomorphisms the natural maps  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  induce the natural maps  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_{mn}$  discussed in (3) above.

**Digression:** Kernels, cokernels, and base change. Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a morphism of  $S$ -group schemes. A kernel of  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism of  $S$ -group schemes  $\alpha : H \rightarrow G$  such that for all  $S$ -schemes  $T$ ,

$$0 \longrightarrow H(T) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} G(T) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} G'(T)$$

is exact. It is unique up to unique isomorphism by universal property non-sense. We write  $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'$ . We can give an explicit construction:  $H = G \times_{G'} S$ . Indeed, the universal property for fibered product and that for kernel are the same. At the level of  $T$  points, we have

$$H(T) = \{(g, s) \in G(T) \times S(T) : \varphi_*(g) = \epsilon'_*(s)\}.$$

Now  $S(T) = \{\pi_T : T \rightarrow S\}$ , where  $\pi_T$  is the structure map of the  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , and  $\epsilon'_*(\pi_T) = \epsilon_T$ , the identity in  $G(T)$ . So we have that

$$H(T) = \{g \in G(T) : \varphi_*(g) = id_{G'(T)}\}$$

as desired. An example of a kernel is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

where the last map is given

$$\text{Spec } R[x, x^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Spec } R[x, x^{-1}]$$

given by  $x \mapsto x^n$ .

Now, cokernels are a much more difficult topic, just as in the case of sheaves. Given  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  injective between commutative group schemes, the functor  $T \mapsto G'(T)/\varphi G(T)$  need not be representable, so a naive definition of cokernel is not sufficient. Cokernels will be discussed in the talks by Simon and Mike.

Next we discuss base change. Let  $U, T$  be  $S$ -schemes.  $U_T := U \times_S T$  is the “base change from  $S$  to  $T$  of  $U$ ”. Every  $T$ -scheme  $V$  is an  $S$ -scheme

$$\begin{array}{c} V \\ \downarrow \\ T \\ \downarrow \\ S \end{array}$$

and  $U_T(V) = U(V)$ . i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ U_T & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

$\exists!$

by the universal property of fibered product. Therefore, if  $G$  is an  $S$ -group scheme, then  $G_T$  is a  $T$ -group scheme. Most of the group schemes defined so far are base changes from group schemes over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

We now return to examples of group schemes.

(5) Constant group scheme. Let  $X$  be a set. The constant  $S$ -scheme  $X_S$  is by definition

$$X_S := \coprod_{x \in X} S_x$$

of copies of  $S$  indexed by  $X$ . Given an  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , an element  $f \in X_S(T)$  is determined by the collection of subsets  $U_x = f^{-1}(S_x) \subset T$  for  $x \in X$ . The  $U_x$  are open, disjoint, and cover  $T$ , and  $f|_{U_x}$  is the unique  $S$ -morphism  $U_x \rightarrow S_x \simeq S$ . So to  $f$  we correspond the function  $\varphi : T \rightarrow X$  determined by  $\varphi(U_x) = x$ . Therefore

$$X_S(T) = \{\text{locally constant functions } \varphi : T \rightarrow X\}.$$

In particular, if  $T$  is nonempty and connected, then  $X_S(T) = X$ . If  $X$  is a group,  $X_S$  is a group scheme under pointwise multiplication of locally constant functions.

**Exercise 2.** If  $S = \text{Spec } R$  is affine and  $X$  is a finite group, describe  $X_S = \text{Spec } A$  for an  $R$ -algebra  $A$ , and give the Hopf algebra structure on  $A$ .

**Exercise 3.** If  $G$  is an  $S$ -group scheme, then there is a contravariant functor from schemes over  $S$  to abelian groups that sends an  $S$ -scheme  $T$  to the group

of  $T$ -group scheme homomorphisms from  $G_T$  to  $(\mathbb{G}_m)_T$ . If this functor is representable, then the representing  $S$ -group scheme is called the character group of  $G$ . Show that if  $X$  is a finite, commutative group, then there is a canonical pairing  $D(X)_S \times X_S \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)_S$  that identifies each of  $D(X)_S$ ,  $X_S$  with the character group of the other.

(6) An abelian scheme  $A/S$  is an  $S$ -group scheme  $A \rightarrow S$  that is proper, flat, finitely presented, and has smooth and connected geometric fibers. As its name suggests, an abelian scheme is always commutative. Note: the function  $s \mapsto \dim A_s$  (where  $s$  is a point of  $S$  and  $A_s$  is the fiber over it) is locally constant on  $S$ , and we usually assume that it is in fact constant, denoted  $g$ . The case of dimension  $g = 1$  is the familiar case of elliptic curves.

For all  $n > 1$ , consider the multiplication by  $n$  morphism  $[n] : A \rightarrow A$ . The kernel is a group scheme denoted  $A[n]$ .

$$0 \longrightarrow A[n] \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0.$$

**Definition 4.** Let  $S$  be a locally noetherian scheme. An  $S$ -scheme  $X$  is finite and flat if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is locally free of finite rank as an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. The rank is a locally constant function on  $S$ , called the order of  $X$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian scheme. Let  $n > 1$  be an integer and let  $A$  be an abelian scheme over  $S$  of dimension  $g$ . Then  $A[n]$  is a finite flat  $S$ -group scheme of order  $n^{2g}$ .

Our next collection of examples will take place in characteristic  $p$ . Consider a scheme  $S/\mathbb{F}_p$ , and the map  $\phi_p : S \rightarrow S$  that induces the identity map on the topological space of  $S$  and sends a local section  $h$  of  $\mathcal{O}_S$  to  $h^p$ . For example, we could take  $S = \text{Spec } k$  with  $k$  a field of characteristic  $p$ , and  $\phi_p = x \mapsto x^p$ .

Let  $X$  be a scheme over  $S$ . We define a scheme  $X^{(p)} := X \times_{S, \phi_p} S$ , where the map  $S \rightarrow S$  used in the fiber product is the map  $\phi_p$ . Concretely, if  $S = \text{Spec } k$ ,  $k$  a field of characteristic  $p$ , given  $X = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra, define a new  $k$ -algebra  $A^{(p)} = k \otimes_{\phi_p, k} A$ , i.e.  $bc^p \otimes x = b \otimes cx$ . Then  $X^{(p)} = \text{Spec } A^{(p)}$ . Even more concretely, if  $S = \text{Spec } R$  is affine and  $X$  is defined by certain polynomial equations over  $R$ , then  $X^{(p)}$  is defined by the same equations with each coefficient replaced by its  $p$ th power.

Furthermore, there is a natural  $S$ -morphism  $F_{X/S} : X \rightarrow X^{(p)}$  called the Frobenius map defined by the following diagram (i.e. from the universal property of the fiber product  $X^{(p)}$  along with the maps  $\phi_p : X \rightarrow X$  and the structure map  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & & & & \\
 \searrow^{F_{X/S}} & & \phi_p & & \\
 & X^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & X & \\
 \pi \swarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \pi \\
 & S & \xrightarrow{\phi_p} & S & \\
 \swarrow & & & & \\
 X & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

In the concrete description  $X = \text{Spec } A^{(p)}$  above, the Frobenius map  $F_{X/S} : X \rightarrow X^{(p)}$  is given by  $A^{(p)} \rightarrow A, c \otimes a \mapsto ca^p$ . In our “even more concrete” description, a point on  $X$  is mapped to the point on  $X^{(p)}$  in which each coordinate is raised to the  $p$ th power.

The formation of  $F_{X/S}$  is functorial in  $X$  over  $S$  and is compatible with the formation of products over  $S$ . It follows that if  $X$  is an  $S$ -group scheme, then  $X^{(p)}$  naturally has the structure of  $S$ -group scheme as well (by base change), and that the morphism  $F_{X/S}$  is a homomorphism of  $S$ -groups.

**Exercise 4.** Prove that the formation of  $X^{(p)}$  over  $S$  is naturally compatible with base change on  $S$ , and that in this way the formation of  $F_{X/S}$  is naturally compatible with base change on  $S$  as well. (Note:  $X$  is not necessarily an  $S$ -group scheme in this exercise.)

**Examples of the Frobenius morphism:**

- $G = \mathbb{G}_m/S, G^{(p)} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m/S, F_{\mathbb{G}_m/S} = [p] : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, \ker F_{\mathbb{G}_m/S} = \mu_p$ .
- $G = \mathbb{G}_a/S, G^{(p)} \simeq \mathbb{G}_a/S, F_{\mathbb{G}_a/S} : \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^p} \mathbb{G}_a$ . Define  $\alpha_p := \ker F_{\mathbb{G}_a/S}$ , so  $\alpha_p(B) = \{b \in B : b^p = 0\}$ . Over  $S = \text{Spec } R$ , we have  $\alpha_p = \text{Spec } R[x]/x^p$ .
- $\mu_p$  acts nontrivially on  $\alpha_p$  (restricting the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $\mathbb{G}_a$  by scaling), so we get a noncommutative  $k$ -group scheme  $\alpha_p \rtimes \mu_p$  of order  $p^2$ !
- In SGA3, Exposé VIIA, Sections 4.2–4.3, it is shown for any  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme  $S$  how to assign to any *flat* commutative  $S$ -group  $G$  an  $S$ -group map  $V_{G/S} : G^{(p)} \rightarrow G$  with the following properties: it is functorial in  $G$ , compatible with any base change on  $S$ , and satisfies  $V_{G/S} \circ F_{G/S} = [p]_G$  and  $F_{G/S} \circ V_{G/S} = [p]_{G^{(p)}}$ . Moreover, in the special case of finite locally free commutative  $S$ -groups to be discussed by Simon, there will be an operation  $G \rightsquigarrow G^\vee$  called *Cartier duality* under which  $V_{G/S}$  is Cartier dual to  $F_{G^\vee/S}$ . One can cheat and use this final description as a definition for that special case, but then it is a useless notion until one does real work to prove the desired good behavior for composition with relative Frobenius homomorphisms.

Here are two elementary special cases. Since  $[p] = 0$  on  $\mathbb{G}_a$  and the relative Frobenius of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  is faithfully flat, necessarily  $V_{\mathbb{G}_a/S} = 0$ . Thus, by functoriality  $V_{\alpha_p/S} = 0$  too. Since  $F_{\mathbb{G}_m/S} = [p]$  on  $\mathbb{G}_m$  (relative to its  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -structure that identifies it with its own Frobenius twist over  $S$ ), we have  $V_{\mathbb{G}_m/S} = \text{id}$ . hence, by functoriality  $V_{\mu_p/S}$  is the identity on  $\mu_p$ .

- If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of char  $p$ , the only commutative group schemes over  $k$  of order  $p$  are  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_k, \mu_p, \alpha_p$ . (In fact, all group schemes of order  $p$  over  $k$  are commutative, so these are the only ones.)

- Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , and  $E$  an elliptic curve over  $k$ . Consider the Frobenius map  $F_{E/k} : E \rightarrow E^{(p)}$ . By the previous remark,  $\ker F_{E/k}$  is one of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_k$ ,  $\mu_p$ , or  $\alpha_p$ . However, since  $F_{E/k}$  is a purely inseparable isogeny, the case  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_k$  is impossible. We have

$$\ker F_{E/k} \cong \begin{cases} \mu_p & E \text{ is ordinary} \\ \alpha_p & E \text{ is supersingular.} \end{cases}$$

- Let  $G_n = \ker F_{E/k}^n : E \rightarrow E^{(p^n)}$ , a connected  $k$ -subgroup scheme of order  $p^n$ . (One should be careful to note that  $F_p^n$  is an abuse of notation here, since it is the composition of Frobenius maps between different varieties, i.e.  $F_{E/k}^n = F_{E^{(p^{n-1})}/k} \circ \cdots \circ F_{E^{(p)}/k} \circ F_{E/k}$ ). Since  $E$  is a smooth curve, it has a unique infinitesimal closed subscheme supported at 0 with any desired length. Therefore

$$\varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}(G_n) \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{E,0}},$$

the formal group of  $E$ . (In general, the identity component of the  $p$ -divisible group of an abelian variety in characteristic  $p$  recovers its formal group; these concepts will be defined and discussed later).

- If  $R$  is an absolutely unramified  $p$ -adic DVR, there does not exist a finite flat commutative group scheme over  $R$  with special fiber  $\alpha_p$ . The takeaway point of this example is that ramification of the base DVR constrains the possibilities of finite flat commutative  $R$  groups. This is proven using Oort–Tate, and was generalized by Raynaud. This will be discussed later by Melanie and Rebecca.

We now explain how the Oort–Tate/Raynaud classification of finite flat group schemes of exponent  $p$  leads to a proof of the following famous theorem of Nagell and Lutz.

**Theorem 1** (Nagell–Lutz). *Suppose  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + Bx + C$  is an elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for any nontrivial  $P = (x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$ , we have  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

We will prove the Nagell–Lutz theorem using techniques from the theory of group schemes as much as possible; in particular, the Weierstrass model will only be used at the very end of the proof (to eliminate the possibility of non-integral 2-torsion points  $P$ ). We first prove a lemma using Raynaud’s classification as a black box.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $d = p^r$  be a prime power. If the constant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group scheme  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  has a connected finite flat model  $H$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  then necessarily  $d = p = 2$  and  $H \cong \mu_2$ .*

*Proof.* Raynaud’s results imply that for  $p > 2$ , there is *at most one* finite flat group scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  with a given generic fiber over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As the constant group scheme  $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$  provides an example, and is not connected, we are reduced to the case  $p = 2$ .

When  $d = 2$ , uniqueness again follows from Raynaud under our connectedness hypothesis, and  $\mu_2$  provides an example. Hence it remains to eliminate the possibility  $d = 2^r$  with  $r > 1$ . The schematic closure method (to be discussed by Melanie) implies that all subgroups of the generic fiber inherit the hypothesis of admitting a connected finite flat model, so it suffices to rule out the case  $r = 2$ , i.e.  $d = 4$ .

To this end, suppose we have a connected finite flat group scheme  $H$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  with generic fiber  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . Applying the schematic closure method we obtain a short exact sequence of finite flat commutative  $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ -groups

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are connected with generic fibers  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, by the uniqueness established for  $r = 1$ , we see that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  must be isomorphic to  $\mu_2$ . To get a contradiction, we work over  $A = W(\mathbb{F}_2)$  and use the operation of Cartier duality, denoted  $D$ , to be discussed by Simon. This exact “duality” operation swaps  $\mu_n$  with  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $D(H_A)$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and in particular is étale (as that can be checked on the special fiber, where it follows from the étale property for outer terms in a short exact sequence, say by counting the number of geometric points). But  $A$  is a complete dvr with algebraically closed residue field, so finite étale  $A$ -schemes are constant. Hence,  $D(H_A)$  is constant. Yet its generic fiber over  $A[1/2]$  is  $D(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) = \mu_4$  which over  $A[1/2]$  is *not* constant. This is a contradiction as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Fix a prime  $p$  and let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Let  $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}_R^2$  be

$$\{(x, y, z) : zy^2 = x^3 + Ax^2z + Bxz^2 + Cz^3\},$$

and let  $W^{\text{sm}}$  be the open smooth locus. Let  $\epsilon = [0, 1, 0] \in W^{\text{sm}}(R)$ . Suppose that  $Q = [x, y, 1] \in W(\mathbb{Q})$  is a nontrivial torsion point such that one of  $x$  or  $y$  has denominator divisible by  $p$ . Thus,  $Q$  reduces to the reduction of  $\epsilon$  (denoted  $\bar{\epsilon}$ ) in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  since the origin of a Weierstrass cubic is its unique point on the line at infinity. In particular  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{P}^2(R)$  is contained in  $W^{\text{sm}}(R)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the Neron model of  $E$  over  $R$ . (Recall:  $\mathcal{E}$  is a separated, smooth scheme over  $R$  with general fiber  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q} = \text{Frac}(R)$ , such that for any scheme

$T$  over  $R$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -map  $T_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\phi} E$ , there is a unique extension to an  $R$ -morphism  $T \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{E}$ .)

By the Neron mapping property, there exists a unique  $\Psi : W^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  extending  $W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{sm}} \simeq E$ , and this map sends  $\epsilon \mapsto (\text{identity of } \mathcal{E}(R))$ , so  $Q \in W^{\text{sm}}(R)$  maps to a point  $\Psi(Q) \in \mathcal{E}(R)$  with reduction equaling the origin of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ .

Let  $N$  be the order of  $Q$ , and let  $d$  be a prime power fully dividing  $N$  (i.e.  $(d, N/d) = 1$ ). Let  $P = (N/d)\Psi(Q) \in \mathcal{E}(R)$ , with order  $d$  and reduction equaling

the origin of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . Define a morphism  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})_R \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{E}$  by  $a \mapsto aP$ . (More precisely, the map  $\Phi$  is defined as follows: recall  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})_R = \coprod_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } R$ , so a map  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})_R \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{E}$  corresponds to an assignment of an element of  $\mathcal{E}(R)$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ . We choose this element to be  $aP$ . The fact that  $dP = 0$  implies that this is a map of group schemes over  $R$ .)

Let  $G$  be the scheme theoretic image of  $\Phi$ . It is the smallest closed subscheme through which  $\Phi$  factors. The subscheme  $G$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -flat since  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  is Dedekind and  $\Phi$  has flat source, so by the subgroup property of the generic fiber it follows (as will be discussed by Melanie) that the scheme-theoretic image is a subgroup scheme. Furthermore,  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})_R$  is proper over  $R$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is separated over  $\bar{R}$ . Together these imply that  $G$  is the image of  $\Phi$  topologically and is a finite flat group scheme over  $R$  of order  $d$ , and  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Recall that by assumption,  $P$  reduces to the origin of the special fiber. The same is therefore true of all multiples, so  $G$  is local. In particular this implies that the prime power  $d$  must be a power of  $p$ , since otherwise  $d$  is a unit in  $R$  and the special fiber of  $G$  would be étale. By Lemma 1, a finite flat local group scheme over  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  with  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  can only occur when  $d = p = 2$  and  $G \simeq (\mu_2)_R$ . Therefore, we find that the order  $N$  of our original point  $Q$  must be 2. However,  $(x, y) \in E[2](\mathbb{Q})$  if and only if  $y = 0$  and  $x^3 + Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$  with  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . But then in fact  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

We now mention a curious open problem, based on the following theorem of Deligne (a proof of which is given in the Oort–Tate paper).

**Theorem 2** (Deligne). *If  $G$  is a finite flat commutative group scheme of order  $n$  over a locally noetherian scheme  $S$ , then  $G$  is killed by its order  $[n] = \epsilon \circ \pi : G \rightarrow G$ .*

The analogue for  $G$  non-commutative is not known, except in the case  $S = \text{Spec } k$ , or  $S = \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$  by work of René Schoof.

### Finite étale group schemes.

**Definition 5.** Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian scheme. A morphism  $Y \rightarrow S$  is finite étale if it is finite, flat and unramified, i.e. for all  $s \in S$ , the fiber  $Y_s = \text{Spec } A_s$  for a separable algebra  $A_s/k(s)$ , where  $k(s)$  is the residue field at  $s$ . ( $A_s \simeq \prod k_i$ ,  $k_i$  finite separable field extension of  $k(s)$ .)

Say  $S = \text{Spec } k$ . Let  $\pi = \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ . A  $\pi$ -set is a set  $M$  on which  $\pi$  acts with open stabilizers. (So a finite  $\pi$ -set is a finite set  $M$  on which  $\pi$  acts through a finite discrete quotient.) Define a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{finite étale } k\text{-schemes}\} &\longrightarrow \{\text{finite } \pi\text{-sets}\} \\ X = \text{Spec } A &\longmapsto X(k^{\text{sep}}) = \text{Hom}_k(A, k^{\text{sep}}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\sigma \in \pi$  acting on  $f : A \rightarrow k^{\text{sep}}$  by  $(\sigma f)(a) = \sigma(f(a))$ . (In the reverse direction  $Y \mapsto \text{Map}_{\pi}(Y, k^{\text{sep}})$ .) These functors induce an equivalence of categories

that restricts to an equivalence of categories between the category of finite étale commutative  $k$ -group schemes and finite  $\pi$ -modules.

More generally we get an equivalence of categories between the category of finite étale  $S$ -schemes and the category of finite  $\pi_1(S, \alpha)$ -sets, where  $\alpha$  is some geometric point of  $S$ . ( $\pi_1(S, \alpha) :=$  group of automorphisms of the functor  $Y \mapsto Y(\alpha)$  from (f. ét sch /  $S$ )  $\rightarrow$  (Sets). So  $\sigma \in \pi_1(S, \alpha)$  is a permutation  $\sigma_Y$  of  $Y(\alpha)$  for all  $Y$  that are functorial, i.e. given  $Y \rightarrow Y'$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & Y'(\alpha) \\ \downarrow \sigma_Y & & \downarrow \sigma_{Y'} \\ Y(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & Y'(\alpha) \end{array}$$

commutes.) The functor giving this equivalence of categories is just  $Y \mapsto Y(\alpha)$ . Again, this equivalence restricts to an equivalence between the categories of finite étale commutative  $S$ -group schemes and finite  $\pi_1(S, \alpha)$ -modules.