

# $p$ -divisible groups

Michael Lipnowski

## Introduction

We'd like to understand abelian schemes  $A$  over an arbitrary base  $S$ , or at least over rings of integers and localizations and completions thereof. That's very difficult. As a first step, we consider its associated torsion group schemes. Let  $G_v = \ker(A \xrightarrow{p^v} A)$ ; we'll justify later that these are commutative finite flat groups schemes over  $S$ . They fit in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_v \xrightarrow{i_v} G_{v+1} \xrightarrow{p^v} G_{v+1},$$

where  $G_v \xrightarrow{i_v} G_{v+1}$  is the natural inclusion. Furthermore, the order of  $G_v$ , as a group scheme over  $S$ , is  $p^{2gv}$ , where  $g$  is the relative dimension of  $A$  over  $S$ . These are the characterizing properties of a  $p$ -divisible groups over  $S$  of height  $2g$ . This write up is devoted to some basic but important aspects of the structure theory of  $p$ -divisible groups. The most exciting thing discussed will be the relation between connected  $p$ -divisible groups and divisible formal Lie groups over  $R$ .

## Connection with Faltings' Proof

This section is *very* rough and intended for motivation only. Please take it with a grain of salt, since I don't properly understand the contents myself. Large parts might even be wrong.

The main goals of our seminar are proving (for a number field  $K$ ):

- *Weak Tate Conjecture.* The map

$$\{\text{Abelian varieties of dimension } g/K\} \xrightarrow{t} \{\text{Galois representations } G_K \rightarrow GL_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\}$$

has fibers consisting of isogeny classes.

- Isogeny classes are finite.

The key will be a careful analysis of Faltings height  $\hat{h}$ , namely that "Faltings height doesn't change much under isogeny". Though we haven't defined Faltings height yet, it will be roughly  $\text{volume}(A(K \otimes_Q \mathbb{C}))^{-1}$ , where this volume is normalized by a basis of Neron differentials (an integral structure on the 1-forms of  $A$ ). It will turn out that this height is controlled by discriminants of various  $p$ -divisible groups of  $A$ .

Consider an abelian varieties  $A/K$  of fixed dimension  $g$  with good reduction outside a fixed finite set  $\Sigma$  of places of  $K$ . By semi-stable reduction, there is a fixed finite field extension  $K'/K$ , depending only on  $g$  and  $\Sigma$  so that  $A \otimes_K K'$  has semi-stable reduction at places of bad reduction (a theorem to be discussed in the winter).

The change in height under isogenies  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  between \*semistable\* abelian varieties is therefore the main focus. Assume  $A$  has good reduction at  $v$ , an  $l$ -adic place of  $K$ , and  $f$  is an  $l$ -isogeny. Raynaud's computation of the Galois action on  $\det(\ker(f))/K'$  for  $l$ -torsion groups over  $l$ -adic integer rings gives an explicit expression for the change in Faltings height, when  $e(v) \leq l - 1$ . So while Raynaud's calculation of the discriminant applies for large  $l$ , relative to the absolute ramification of  $K'/\mathbb{Q}$ , it won't suffice for small  $l$ , in particular for small  $l$  of bad reduction. Tate's computation of the discriminant of constituents of a  $p$ -divisible groups over a complete, local, noetherian ring will be crucial to bypass this problem.

## Definitions

A  $p$ -divisible group of height  $h$  over a scheme  $S$  is an inductive system  $G = (\{G_v\}, G_v \xrightarrow{i_v} G_{v+1})_{v \geq 1}$  of group schemes over  $S$  satisfying the following:

- (i)  $G_v$  is a finite locally free commutative group scheme over  $S$  of order  $p^{hv}$ .
- (ii)  $0 \rightarrow G_v \xrightarrow{i_v} G_{v+1} \xrightarrow{p^v} G_{v+1}$  is an exact sequence of group schemes.

For ordinary abelian groups, these axioms imply that

$$G_v \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^v\mathbb{Z})^h \text{ and } \lim_{\rightarrow} G_v = (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^h.$$

A homomorphism of  $p$ -divisible groups  $f : G = (G_v, i_v) \rightarrow H = (H_v, i'_v)$  is a system of homomorphisms of group schemes  $f_v : G_v \rightarrow H_v$  which are compatible with the structure maps:  $i'_v f_v = f_{v+1} i_v$ .

Let  $i_{v,m} : G_v \rightarrow G_{m+v}$  denote the closed immersion  $i_{v+m-1} \circ \dots \circ i_{v+1} \circ i_v$ .

A diagram chase shows that  $G_{m+v} \xrightarrow{p^m} G_{m+v}$  can be factored uniquely through  $i_{v,m}$  via a map  $j_{m,v} : G_{m+v} \rightarrow G_v$  (so  $i_{m,v} \circ j_{m,v} = p^m$ ) and furthermore that

$$0 \rightarrow G_m \xrightarrow{i_{v,m}} G_{m+v} \xrightarrow{j_{m,v}} G_v$$

is exact. But since orders are multiplicative in exact sequences, a consideration of orders shows that

$$0 \rightarrow G_m \xrightarrow{i_{v,m}} G_{m+v} \xrightarrow{j_{m,v}} G_v \rightarrow 0$$

is exact (i.e. the closed immersion  $\text{coker}(i_{v,m}) \rightarrow G_v$  is an isomorphism).

Here are some examples of  $p$ -divisible groups.

- (a) The simplest  $p$ -divisible group over  $S$  of height  $h$  is the constant group:

$$(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^h = ((\mathbb{Z}/p^v)^h \xrightarrow{i_v} (\mathbb{Z}/p^{v+1})^h)$$

with  $i_v$  the natural inclusion (using multiplication by  $p$ ).

- (b) The  $p$ -divisible group of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_m/S$  is

$$\mathbb{G}_m(p) = (\mathbb{G}_m[p^v] \xrightarrow{i_v} \mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}])$$

where  $\mathbb{G}_m[p^v]$  is the  $p^v$ -torsion subgroup scheme of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and the  $i_v$  are the natural inclusions. To be precise, the inclusions

$$\mathbb{G}_m[p^v](T) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(T) : f^{p^v} = 1\} \rightarrow \{f \in \mathcal{O}(T) : f^{p^{v+1}} = 1\} = \mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}](T)$$

are functorial in  $S$ -schemes  $T$  and so defines a map  $i_v : \mathbb{G}_m[p^v] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}]$ .

- Over an affine  $\text{Spec } R \subset S$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_m[p^v]/R$  is just  $\text{Spec } R[x]/(x^{p^v} - 1)$ , which is free over  $R$  of rank  $p^v$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{G}_m[p^v]$  is finite flat over  $S$  of order  $p^v$ .
- 

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}] \xrightarrow{p^v} \mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}])(T) &= \{f \in \mathcal{O}(T) : f^{p^{v+1}} = 1 \text{ with } f^{p^v} = 1\} \\ &= \text{image}\{\mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}](T) \xrightarrow{i_v(T)} \mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}]\} \end{aligned}$$

functorially in  $S$ -schemes  $T$ . Thus,  $i_v$  is the kernel of  $\mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}] \xrightarrow{p^v} \mathbb{G}_m[p^{v+1}]$ .

It follows that  $\mathbb{G}_m(p)$  is a  $p$ -divisible group of height 1 over  $S$ .

- (c) What follows is the most important example, alluded to in the introduction.

Let  $A/S$  be an abelian scheme of relative dimension  $g$ . That is,  $A$  is a proper, smooth group scheme over  $S$  whose geometric fibers are connected of dimension  $g$ . The hypotheses imply that  $A$  has commutative multiplication.

Let  $[n] : A \rightarrow A$  denote multiplication by  $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ .

- For any geometric point  $s : \text{Spec } \Omega \rightarrow A$ , the fiber  $[n]_s : A_s \rightarrow A_s$  is a finite map. Thus,  $[n]$  is quasifinite and proper, and thus is finite. In particular,  $A[n]/S$  is finite.
- The structure map  $A \rightarrow S$  is flat and for any geometric point  $s$ ,  $[n]_s : A_s \rightarrow A_s$  is flat. Thus, by the fibral flatness theorem,  $[n]$  is flat. In particular,  $A[n]/S$  is flat.

Thus,  $A[n]/S$  is a finite flat group scheme. It is also finitely presented since  $A/S$  is, and so it is locally free over  $S$ .

Because all of its geometric fibers have order  $n^{2g}$ , by theory for abelian varieties over an algebraically closed field,  $A[n]/S$  has order  $n^{2g}$ .

Also, as explained for  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , the natural inclusion  $i_v : A[p^v] \rightarrow A[p^{v+1}]$  is the kernel of  $A[p^{v+1}] \xrightarrow{p^v} A[p^{v+1}]$ .

Thus,  $A(p) = (A[p^v], i_v)$  is a  $p$ -divisible group of height  $2g$  over  $S$ .

## Etale and Connected Groups

In topology, we know that for reasonable connected spaces  $S$  and a choice of base point  $\alpha \in S$ , there is a functorial bijection between coverings of  $S$  and actions of  $\pi_1(S, \alpha)$  on finite sets realized through the deck transformation action on the fiber over  $\alpha$ . Remarkably, the same correspondence often carries over algebraically.

Let  $S$  be connected and locally noetherian with geometric point  $\alpha : \text{Spec } \Omega \rightarrow S$ .

Let **Fet**/ $S$  denote the category of finite etale coverings of  $S$ .

There is a functor

$$D : \mathbf{Fet}/S \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

$$Y \mapsto Y(\alpha)$$

where  $Y(\alpha)$  denotes the set of geometric points of a finite etale covering  $Y \rightarrow S$  lying over  $\alpha$ . This functor has an automorphism group  $\pi = \pi_1(S, \alpha)$ , the fundamental group of  $S$  with basepoint  $\alpha$ . It is naturally a profinite group.

Let  $\mathbf{F}\pi\text{-Sets}$  denote the category of finite sets with a continuous action of  $\pi$ . By construction, each  $Y(\alpha)$  carries an action of  $\pi$ . Thus, we can view  $D$  as a functor

$$D : \mathbf{Fet}/S \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\pi\text{-Sets}.$$

$D$  has two good properties: it commutes with products and disjoint unions. This is especially useful in light of

**Theorem (Grothendieck).**  $D : \mathbf{Fet}/S \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\pi\text{-Sets}$  is an equivalence of categories.

We can describe the inverse equivalence in certain cases of interest.

- If  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$  for a field  $k$ , then a geometric point is an embedding  $\alpha : k \rightarrow \Omega$ .  $\mathrm{Aut}_k(\Omega)$  acts on  $Y(\alpha) = \mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathrm{Spec}\Omega, Y)$ . This action gives a map  $\mathrm{Aut}_k(\Omega) \rightarrow \pi$  which factors through  $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ . The induced map  $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k) \rightarrow \pi$  is an isomorphism. The reverse equivalence is given by

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Maps}_\pi(X, k^s)).$$

- If  $S = \mathrm{Spec}R$  is local henselian with closed point  $s$ , then  $Y \mapsto Y_s$  is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{F}\mathrm{Et}/S \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\mathrm{Et}/s.$$

Thus, we have the exact same description of the inverse equivalence in this case:

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Maps}_\pi(X, R^{sh})),$$

where  $R^{sh}$  is the strict henselization of  $R$  which is compatible with our choice of  $k^s/k$ .

We can restrict this equivalence to the subcategory  $\mathbf{FGet}/S$  of finite etale group schemes with maps of groups between them.

Let  $G/S$  be a group scheme with  $m, e, i$  denoting the multiplication, inversion, and identity morphisms respectively.  $G(\alpha)$  is a group whose underlying set carries an action of  $\pi$ . But we say much more!  $G \times_S G$  is also finite etale over  $S$ . Thus,  $\pi$  acts through the automorphism group of  $G(\alpha)$ . We denote  $\mathbf{F}\pi\text{-Gps}$  the category of finite groups with a continuous action of  $\pi$ .

Also, if we let  $D'$  denote the inverse equivalence to  $D$ , then  $H = D'(G(\alpha))$  is a finite etale group scheme over  $S$ . Indeed, because  $D$  commutes with products and is full, there is a morphism  $m$  lifting the multiplication of  $G(\alpha)$ . Similarly, there are morphisms  $e$  and  $i$  lifting inversion and the identity. They satisfy the appropriate commutativity because  $D$  is faithful. It follows that by restricting  $D$  to  $\mathbf{FGet}/S$  gives an equivalence of categories

$$\overline{D} : \mathbf{FGet}/S \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\pi\text{-Gps}.$$

This equivalence gives us a fairly complete understanding of finite etale  $S$ -groups. As a toy example, we can use this equivalence to prove that the only connected etale group is trivial. Because  $D$  is an equivalence of categories which commutes with disjoint unions, connected finite etale  $S$ -schemes  $Y$  are exactly those for which  $\pi$  acts transitively on  $Y(\alpha)$ . But as explained above, for  $G$  a finite etale group scheme,  $\pi$  acts on  $G(\alpha)$  as group automorphisms. In particular, it preserves the identity of  $G(\alpha)$ . Thus,  $\pi$  permutes  $G(\alpha)$  transitively iff  $G(\alpha)$  is a singleton, which implies that  $G$  is trivial.

Now let  $S = \mathrm{Spec}R$ , where  $R$  is a Henselian local ring. That way, the connected component  $G^0$  of the identity section lifts the identity component of the special fiber, so its formation commutes with products (and local henselian base change) and hence it is a finite flat group scheme over  $S$ . For any finite flat group scheme  $G$ , define  $G^{et} = G/G^0$ . Because its identity section is an open immersion, it is an etale group scheme. Further, if  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is any map with  $H$  etale, then  $G$  factors through  $H^0$ , which is trivial by our above discussion. Thus, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^0 \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{j} G^{et} \rightarrow 0.$$

with  $G^0 \xrightarrow{i} G, G \xrightarrow{j} G^{et}$  characterized by the following universal properties:

- If  $f : H \rightarrow G$  is any map from a connected finite flat group scheme over  $S$ , then  $f$  factors uniquely through  $i$ .
- If  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is any map to a finite etale group scheme over  $S$ , then  $f$  factors uniquely through  $j$ .

Thus,  $G \mapsto G^0, G \mapsto G^{et}$  are actually functors on finite flat commutative  $S$ -group schemes. Over a field, we can prove that these functors are exact as follows.

Suppose  $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{j} H \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of finite flat commutative groups over  $R$ . Then we have the following short exact triple of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K^0 & \xrightarrow{i^0} & G^0 & \xrightarrow{j^0} & H^0 \longrightarrow 0 \ (A^\bullet) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{i} & G & \xrightarrow{j} & H \longrightarrow 0 \ (B^\bullet) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{et} & \xrightarrow{i^{et}} & G^{et} & \xrightarrow{j^{et}} & H^{et} \longrightarrow 0 \ (C^\bullet) \end{array}$$

We readily check that  $i^0$  is the kernel of  $j^0$  and that  $j^{et}$  is the cokernel of  $i^{et}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a closed geometric point of  $S$ . Note that since  $K \xrightarrow{i} G$  is the functorial kernel of  $G \xrightarrow{j} H$ , we have that  $0 \rightarrow K(\alpha) \rightarrow G(\alpha) \rightarrow H(\alpha)$  is exact. But  $A(\alpha) = A^{et}(\alpha)$  for any finite flat commutative group scheme  $A$ . Thus, by the equivalence of categories,  $K^{et} \xrightarrow{i^{et}} G^{et}$  is the kernel of  $G^{et} \xrightarrow{j^{et}} H^{et}$  in the category of etale groups over  $S$ . But any map from a finite group  $A$  to the etale group  $G^{et}$  which is killed by  $j^{et}$  must factor uniquely through  $A^{et}$  and so uniquely through  $K^{et}$ . It follows that the bottom sequence is exact. By a comparison of orders, the top row must be left exact too.

- In general, any left exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{f} C$  is a left exact sequence of finite flat commutative group schemes over  $R$  with  $\text{order}(B) = \text{order}(A)\text{order}(C)$  is exact. The induced map  $\bar{f} : B/A \rightarrow C$  has kernel 0. Indeed,  $B \xrightarrow{q} B/A$  is faithfully flat, so if  $x \in \ker(\bar{f})(T)$ , then  $\tilde{x}$ , the base change of  $x$  via some faithfully flat  $T' \rightarrow T$ , has a preimage  $y \in B(T')$ . But then  $y \in \ker(f)(T') = A(T')$ , whence  $\tilde{x} = 0$  since the composition  $A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{q} B/A$  is zero. This implies that  $x = 0$ . Hence, the homomorphism  $\bar{f}$  is a closed immersion between two finite flat  $R$ -groups of the same order, and so is an isomorphism. Thus,  $f = \bar{f} \circ q$  is faithfully flat.

In conclusion, we see that  $G \mapsto G^0, G \mapsto G^{et}$  are exact functors.

Now let  $G = (G_v, i_v)$  be a  $p$ -divisible group. By the exactness proved above,  $G^0 := (G_v^0, i_v^0), G^{et} := (G_v^{et}, i_v^{et})$  are  $p$ -divisible groups. The connected etale sequence at finite level

$$0 \rightarrow G_v^0 \rightarrow G_v \rightarrow G_v^{et} \rightarrow 0$$

leads to the exact sequence of  $p$ -divisible groups

$$0 \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{et} \rightarrow 0$$

For finite groups  $G$  over a perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ , the connected etale sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{et} \rightarrow 0.$$

is particularly nice. The map  $G \rightarrow G^{et}$  admits a section. Indeed, over such  $k$ ,  $G^{red}$  is etale over  $k$ . Thus,  $G^{red} \times G^{red}$  is reduced, and so multiplication  $G^{red} \times G^{red} \rightarrow G$  factors through  $G^{red}$ , whence  $G^{red}$  is a closed subgroup scheme of  $G$ . Since  $G^{red}(\alpha) = G(\alpha) = G^{et}(\alpha)$  for a geometric point  $\alpha$ , we conclude  $G^{red} = G^{et}$ . The closed immersion  $G^{red} \rightarrow G$  is a section.

For finite groups over a general henselian local base,  $G \rightarrow G^{et}$  does not admit a section. But this much is true:

Call a  $p$ -divisible group  $G$  *ordinary* if the finite level terms of  $G^0$  are multiplicative, i.e. have etale Cartier duals.

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -divisible group over a perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $R$  be any henselian local ring with residue field  $k$ . Then  $G$  admits a unique lift  $\tilde{G}$  to  $R$  with split connected-etale sequence (necessarily lifting the connected-etale sequence of  $G$ ).*

This plays an important role in the deformation theory of abelian varieties.

## Etale $p$ -divisible groups

At this point, it seems worthwhile to mention that this equivalence of categories extends to etale  $p$ -divisible groups over a henselian local ring  $R$  with closed geometric point  $\alpha$ .

Suppose we have an etale  $p$ -divisible group  $G = (G_v, i_v)$  of height  $h$  over  $R$ . Each  $G_v(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^v\mathbb{Z}$ . We can form the inverse limit over multiplication by  $p$  maps

$$G(\alpha) := \lim_{\leftarrow} G_v(\alpha).$$

The multiplication by  $p$  maps are compatible with the action of  $\pi_1(R, \alpha)$ . Thus,  $G(\alpha)$  still carries a continuous action of  $\pi_1(R, \alpha)$ . Furthermore, since  $G_v(\alpha) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^v\mathbb{Z})^h$ , it follows that  $G(\alpha)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  module of rank  $h$ .

Furthermore, suppose  $M$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  module of rank  $h$  with a continuous action of  $\pi$ . Then the quotient  $M/p^vM$  is a group of order  $p^{vh}$  with a continuous action of  $\pi$  on it. Thus, by the equivalence of categories, there is an etale group over  $R$ , say  $G_v$ , corresponding to it. The Galois compatible multiplication map  $M/p^{v+1}M \xrightarrow{p^v} M/pM$ , which is induced by  $G_{v+1} \xrightarrow{p^v} G_1$ , has kernel  $M/p^vM \xrightarrow{i_v} M/p^{v+1}M$ . By the equivalence of categories, there is a corresponding map  $G_v \xrightarrow{i_v} G_{v+1}$ . Thus,  $G = (G_v, i_v)$  is an etale  $p$ -divisible group.

As a consequence of the above, we conclude

**Theorem.** *Let  $p\text{divEt}/R$  be the category of etale  $p$ -divisible groups over  $R$ , and let  $\mathbf{Free}\text{-}\pi \text{ Mod}/\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the category of free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  modules with a continuous action of  $\pi$ . The functor  $G \mapsto G(\alpha)$  is an equivalence of categories*

$$p\text{divEt}/R \rightarrow \mathbf{Free}\text{-}\pi \text{ Mod}/\mathbb{Z}_p(h).$$

carrying height to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  rank.

As usual, the most important instance of this is for abelian schemes.

- Let  $A/R$  be an abelian scheme. Then if  $l \neq p$ ,  $A(l)$  is an etale  $l$ -divisible group. The corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_l$  module is none other than  $T_l(A)$  with action of  $\pi_1(R, \alpha)$ .

Then enter Grothendieck, who proved an amazing result.

**Theorem (Grothendieck).** *Let  $R$  be a henselian dvr with fraction field  $K$ , and let  $l$  be a rational prime. An abelian variety  $A/K$  extends to an abelian scheme  $/R$  iff  $A(l)$  extends to an  $l$ -divisible group over  $R$ .*

This is proven through the theory of Neron models and the semi-stable reduction theorem. The deepest case is when  $l$  is the residue characteristic.

As an example of the power of this result, note that we can recover the classical Neron-Ogg-Shafarevic criterion.

**Theorem.** *Let  $p$  be the residue characteristic of  $R$ . Choose any  $l \neq p$  be a prime,  $A$  an abelian variety over  $K$ . Then  $A$  has good reduction at  $l$  iff the Galois representation on  $T_l(A)$  is unramified at  $l$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A(l)$  admits a prolongation to  $R$ , then it is etale since each  $A[l^n]$  has order a power of  $l$ , which is prime to the residue characteristic. But by the equivalence of categories for etale  $l$ -divisible groups,  $A(l)$  admits a prolongation iff the action of  $\pi_1(K, \alpha)$  on the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -module, which is none other than  $T_l(A)$ , factors through  $\pi_1(R, \alpha)$ , i.e. iff the Galois action on  $T_l(A)$  is unramified.  $\square$

# Serre-Tate Equivalence for Connected p-divisible groups and Divisible Formal Groups

Let  $R$  be a complete, noetherian, local ring with residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . And let  $\mathcal{A} = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  be the power series ring over  $R$  in  $n$  variables.

**Definition.** An  $n$  dimensional commutative formal Lie group  $\Gamma$  over  $R$  is a pair of maps  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_R \mathcal{A}$  (the completed tensor product being given the max-adic topology),  $e : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$  such that  $m$  is coassociative and cocommutative with  $e$  as a counit. Concretely,  $e$  is given by  $X_i \mapsto 0$  and  $m$  is given by a family  $f(Y, Z) = (f_i(Y, Z))$  of  $n$  power series in  $2n$  variables such that

- (i)  $X = f(X, 0) = f(0, X)$ .
- (ii)  $f(X, f(Y, Z)) = f(f(X, Y), Z)$ .
- (iii)  $f(X, Y) = f(Y, X)$ .

There is a much more functorial interpretation of formal Lie groups over  $R$  which is convenient for many purposes. Namely, a *formal group over  $R$*  is a group object in the category of formal schemes over  $R$  and a *formal Lie group over  $R$*  is formally smooth formal group over  $R$ . In other words, a formal group is a “pro-representable” functor  $F : \mathbf{Profinite\ Artinian}/R \rightarrow \mathbf{Gps}$ , and a formal Lie group is one for which  $F(B) \rightarrow F(B/I)$  is surjective for any finite artinian  $R$ -algebra  $B$  and any square zero ideal  $I \subset B$ . It’s a non-trivial fact that the latter condition implies that the pro-representing object is a power series ring over  $R$ . Some interesting examples:

- Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $k$  with identity  $e$ .

Let  $T$  be a finite artinian  $k$ -scheme. Call  $x \in G(T)$  small if  $x$  is supported at  $e$ . The small  $T$ -points form a subgroup of  $G(T)$  because  $e \cdot e = e, e^{-1} = e$ . Thus, restricting  $G$  to small points determines a functor

$$\widehat{G} : \mathbf{Profinite\ Artinian}/k \rightarrow \mathbf{Gps}.$$

Also, any small point factors through an artinian quotient of  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{G,e})$ . Thus,  $\widehat{G}$  is pro-represented by  $\mathrm{Spf}_k(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{G,e}})$ .

In the case where  $G$  is smooth over  $k$ , one can see that  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{G,e}}$  is a power series ring in  $n = \dim G$ -variables and so is formally smooth, i.e.  $\widehat{G}$  is a formal Lie group.

- We show how to recover the formal group law, as originally defined, from the functor on small artinian points. Take  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ , for concreteness.

We simply take the canonical inclusion  $i_n : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{G,e}/m_e^n) \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{G,e}/m_e^n) \subset G \times_k G$ , compose with the two projection maps, and multiply the two of them together using the functor  $\widehat{G}$ .

Knowing abstractly that the formal group multiplication is given by a power series, the result of the above computation of  $\mathrm{proj}_1 \circ i_n * \mathrm{proj}_2 \circ i_n$ ,  $*$  denoting multiplication in  $G(G \times_k G)$ , tells us what the coefficients are up to order  $n$ . In the case of  $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathrm{Spec} k[Z, Z^{-1}]$ , reparameterizing with  $Z = 1 + T$ , (so that  $X \mapsto 0$  is the counit) we get

$$f(X, Y) = X + Y + XY + \geq \text{nth powers}.$$

Similarly, we can recover the inversion.

Back to the original set up, as in the first definition of formal Lie groups. Let

$$X * Y := f(X, Y) = X + Y + \text{higher powers}.$$

Define  $[p]_\Gamma(X) = X * \dots * X$  ( $p$  times), the homomorphism corresponding to multiplication by  $p$  in  $\Gamma$ . We call  $\Gamma$  *divisible* if  $[p]^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is finite free.

Let  $I = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be the augmentation ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Consider  $A_v = \mathcal{A}/[p^v]_\Gamma^*(I)$ . This is a finite flat  $R$  module. Thus, since  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the Hopf algebra axioms “at finite level”, each  $\Gamma_{p^v} = \text{Spec}(A_v)$  is a group scheme with multiplication induced by  $m$ . We claim that any finite (locally) free map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  has  $\text{rank} = \text{rank}_R \mathcal{A}/\phi(I)$ .

- Indeed, let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{A}$  over itself via  $\phi$ . We can even assume that  $a_1 = 1$ .

$$\text{Let } \bar{a}_i = a_i \bmod \phi(I).$$

- If we can find a relation,

$$r_1 \bar{a}_1 + \dots + r_n \bar{a}_n = 0 \bmod \phi(I)$$

for some  $r_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , then

$$\phi(r_1)a_1 + \dots + \phi(r_n)a_n = \phi(i) \implies \phi(r_1 - i)a_1 + \dots + \phi(r_n)a_n = 0$$

for some  $i \in I$  (remember that  $\phi$  is an  $R$ -algebra map). This implies that

$r_1 = i \in I \cap R = \{0\}$ ,  $r_2 = \dots = r_n = 0$  because the  $a_i$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{A}_\phi$ . Thus, the  $\bar{a}_i$  are linearly independent over  $R$ .

- By assumption, we can express any  $a \in A$  as

$$a = \phi(b_1)a_1 + \dots + \phi(b_n)a_n$$

for some  $b_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . Write each  $b_* = r_* + i_*$  for  $r_* \in R, i_* \in I$ . Then

$$\bar{a} = r_1 \bar{a}_1 + \dots + r_n \bar{a}_n.$$

Thus, the  $\bar{a}_i$  also generate  $\mathcal{A}/\phi(I)$  as an  $R$ -module.

Our claim is thus true:  $\phi$  has  $\text{rank} = \text{rank}_R \mathcal{A}/\phi(I)$ .

In particular, since “free over itself of degree ?” is multiplicative in ? with respect to composition of maps,

$$\text{rank}_R \mathcal{A}/[p^v](I) = (\text{rank}_R \mathcal{A}/[p](I))^v.$$

But each  $A_v = \mathcal{A}/\psi^v(I)$  is a local ring. Thus, each  $\Gamma_{p^v}$  is connected and so has order a power of  $p$ . Let  $p^h$  be the order of  $\Gamma_p$ . Then by the above,  $\Gamma_{p^v}$  has order  $p^{hv}$ .

But  $\Gamma_{p^v}$  represents  $\Gamma[p^v]$ , the  $p^v$ -torsion functor of  $\Gamma$ . Thus, the canonical inclusion

$i_v : \Gamma_{p^v} \rightarrow \Gamma_{p^{v+1}}$ , realized through Yoneda via the functorial inclusion  $\Gamma[p^v] \subset \Gamma[p^{v+1}]$  is the kernel of  $\Gamma_{p^{v+1}} \xrightarrow{[p^v]} \Gamma_{p^{v+1}}$ .

Thus,  $\Gamma(p) = (\Gamma_{p^v}, i_v)$  is a *connected p-divisible group*. Thought of in terms of the functor of small artinian points, it is clear that the association  $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma(p)$  is functorial. The following remarkable fact is true:

**Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a complete, local, noetherian ring with maximal ideal  $m$  and residue field  $k = R/m$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma(p)$  is an equivalence between the category of divisible commutative formal Lie groups and the category of connected  $p$ -divisible groups.

*Proof. (Tate)*

Step 1: Full Faithfulness

Let  $\Gamma$  be a divisible formal Lie group over  $R$ . Its coordinate ring  $\mathcal{A} = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  has maximal ideal  $M = m\mathcal{A} + I$ , where  $I = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . As above, we let  $[p] : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  correspond to multiplication by  $p$  in  $\Gamma$ .

First, note that the ideals  $m^v\mathcal{A} + [p^v](I)$  form a neighbourhood base of 0 in  $\mathcal{A}$ :

- $\mathcal{A}/(m^v\mathcal{A} + [p^v](I)) = A_v/m^v A_v$  is artinian. Thus, each  $m^v\mathcal{A} + [p^v](I)$  is open.
- $[p](X_i) = pX_i + \text{higher order}$ . Thus,  $[p](I) \subset pI + I^2 \subset (m\mathcal{A} + I)I = MI$ . So

$$[p^v](I) \subset M^v I,$$

and these neighbourhoods are arbitrarily small.

Thus,

$$\mathcal{A} = \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{A}/[p^v](I)$$

and so the functor is fully faithful.

Step 2: Reduction to  $R = k$

Let  $G = (G_v = \text{Spec}(A_v), i_v)$  be our connected  $p$ -divisible group with base change  $\overline{G} = (\overline{G}_v = \text{Spec}(\overline{A}_v), \overline{i}_v)$  to  $k$ . Let  $A = \lim_{\leftarrow} A_v$ ,  $\overline{A} = \lim_{\leftarrow} \overline{A}_v$ .

Choose an augmented topological isomorphism  $\overline{A} \simeq k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ . Pick liftings  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow A_v$  of the quotient maps  $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \twoheadrightarrow \overline{A}_v$ , and arrange these choices to be compatible with change in  $v$ . This can be arranged because  $A_{v+1} \rightarrow A_v$  is a surjection between finite free  $R$ -modules.

By Nakayama's Lemma, the mapping  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow A_v$  to a finite free  $R$ -module target is surjective, whence due to  $R$ -module splittings of the surjections  $A_{v+1} \twoheadrightarrow A_v$  we get two conclusions:

(i)  $\lim_{\leftarrow} A_v$  is topologically isomorphic as an  $R$ -module to a product of countably many copies of  $R$ , and (ii) the natural map  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \xrightarrow{f} \lim_{\leftarrow} A_v$  is *surjective* and splits in the sense of  $R$ -modules. By the construction of the countable product decomposition in (i) for the inverse limit as an  $R$ -module, it follows that the formation of the module splitting in (ii) is compatible with passage to the quotient modulo  $m$ . By the result assumed over the residue field,  $\ker(f) \otimes_R k = 0$ , i.e.  $m \ker(f) = \ker(f)$ . Since  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  is a noetherian,  $\ker(f)$  is a finitely generated  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ -module with  $M \ker(f) = (mR[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] + I) \ker(f) = \ker(f)$ . Thus by Nakayama,  $\ker(f) = 0$ .

Hence, our map of  $R$ -algebras

$$R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} A_v$$

is an isomorphism. This is even a topological isomorphism: its formation commutes with passage to quotients modulo any  $m^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ), and for artinian  $R$  the ideals  $a_v = \ker(R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \twoheadrightarrow A_v)$

are a system of *open* ideals (as each  $A_v$  has finite length), so a beautiful theorem of Chevalley [Matsumura, Exercise 8.7] (see hint in the back of the book!) gives the cofinality of the  $a_v$ 's. This is the desired topological aspect for the isomorphism.

As a consequence of the topological nature of the isomorphism, artinian points can be “read off” from the inverse limit description, and so we get a *functorial* formal group law on  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  (via the group laws on the  $G_v$ 's), with the formation of this group law commuting with passage to the residue field. Hence,  $[p]^*$  is *finite flat* (as this is assumed known after reduction over the residue field, and can be pulled up by  $m$ -adic completeness and the local flatness theorem). We likewise see that  $G_v$  is the  $p^v$ -torsion on our formal group law over  $R$  because this is true on the level of artinian points (by *construction* of the formal group law on the inverse limit).

Consequently, taking  $v = 1$  shows that the finite flat map  $[p]^*$  has degree  $p^h$ , completing the argument over  $R$  (granting the results over the residue field).

Thus, it suffices to prove the result for  $p$ -divisible groups over  $k$ , which is characteristic  $p$ .

### Step 3: Proof when $R = k$

The key is to use Frobenius  $F$  and Verschiebung  $V$ .

Consider the category of fppf sheaves of abelian groups over  $k$ , which contains finite groups and  $p$ -divisible groups as full subcategories.  $p$ -divisible groups can be characterized as those sheaves  $G$  for which  $G(B)$  is  $p$ -power torsion for all  $k$ -algebras  $B$ ,  $[p]$  is surjective, and each  $G[p^v]$  is representable by a finite commutative group schemes over  $k$ .

The functor  $G \mapsto G^{(p)}$  makes sense for finite groups, but also for  $p$ -divisible groups. This is because:

- $*^{(p)}$  is exact.
- It preserves the orders of objects represented by finite flat commutative group schemes objects.

In particular, for any  $p$ -divisible group  $G$ ,  $G^{(p)} = (G_v^{(p)}, i_v^{(p)})$  is a  $p$ -divisible group. We also get maps of  $p$ -divisible groups  $F : G \rightarrow G^{(p)}$  and  $V : G^{(p)} \rightarrow G$  defined by the usual Frob and Ver maps at finite level. These satisfy  $VF = [p]_G$ ,  $FV = [p]_{G^{(p)}}$ . Since  $[p] : G \rightarrow G$  is a surjective map of fppf sheaves of abelian groups, if  $G$  has height  $h$ , then so does  $G^{(p)}$  and  $F$  and  $V$  are both surjective with finite kernel of order  $\leq p^h$ .

Let  $H_v = \ker(G \xrightarrow{F^v} G^{(p)}) = \text{Spec}(B_v)$ .  $H_v \subset G_v$  and  $G_v \subset H_N$  for some large  $N$ , since any finite connected group is killed by a sufficiently high power of Frobenius. Thus,

$$\mathcal{A} = \lim_{\leftarrow} A_v = \lim_{\leftarrow} B_v.$$

Let  $I_v$  be the maximal ideal of  $B_v$ . Then  $I = \lim_{\leftarrow} I_v$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Suppose that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are elements of  $I$  whose images form a  $k$  basis for  $I_1/I_1^2$ . These elements also form a  $k$  basis for  $I_v/I_v^2$ .

- Indeed,  $H_1 \subset H_v$  is the kernel of  $F$  on  $H_v$ . Thus,  $I_v \rightarrow I_1$  is a surjective  $k$  map with kernel  $I_v^{(p)}$ , the ideal generated by  $p$ th powers of elements of  $I_v$ . Thus,

$$I_v/I_v^2 \cong I_v/(I_v^{(p)} + I_v^2) \cong I_1/I_1^2.$$

Consider the maps

$$u_v : k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow B_v; X_i \mapsto x_i.$$

By the above, these maps are surjective. The kernel contains  $(X_1^{p^v}, \dots, X_n^{p^v})$  because  $F^v$  kills  $H_v$ . Thus, the homomorphism

$$u_v : k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^{p^v}, \dots, X_n^{p^v}) \rightarrow B_v$$

is surjective.

But we can actually compute the dimension of  $B_v$  as a  $k$ -vector space! Indeed, we claim that the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H_1 \xrightarrow{i} H_{v+1} \xrightarrow{F} H_v^{(p)} \rightarrow 0.$$

are exact. That  $i$  is the kernel of  $H_{v+1} \xrightarrow{F} H_v^{(p)}$  is clear. Also, the identity  $FV = [p]_{G^{(p)}}$  implies that  $F : G \rightarrow G^{(p)}$  is surjective in the sense of fppf abelian sheaves (as this holds for  $[p]$  on the  $p$ -divisible group  $G^{(p)}$ ), so  $H_{v+1} \xrightarrow{F} H_v^{(p)}$  is surjective in that sheaf sense by pullback. But we know that for finite commutative  $k$ -groups, a homomorphism is faithfully flat iff it is surjective in the sheaf sense. Thus,  $H_{v+1} \xrightarrow{F} H_v^{(p)}$  is faithfully flat and so the above sequence is exact, as claimed. In particular, it follows that

$$\text{order}(H_v) = (\text{order}(H_1))^v.$$

But  $H_1$  is a finite group killed by  $F$  with an  $n$  dimensional lie algebra. We digress to discuss its structure.

**Lemma.** *Let  $H = \text{Spec}(R)$  be a finite commutative group scheme over  $k$  killed by  $F$  with  $n$  dimensional Lie algebra. Then as a scheme,*

$$H = \text{Spec}(k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)).$$

*Proof.* (Mumford, p. 139) Choose  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in m_e$  which generate the cotangent space  $m_e/m_e^2$ . Then the map

$$k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p) \rightarrow R; X_i \mapsto x_i$$

is surjective.

On the other hand, because it is a group scheme,  $R = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H)$  admits derivations  $D_i$  so that  $D_i(x_j) = 1 \bmod m_e$  (the proof of the existence of “enough invariant differentials” for not necessarily smooth finite flat group schemes is given in [Neron Models, p.100]). Then expanding monomials  $X_1^{a_1} \dots X_n^{a_n}, 0 \leq a_i < p$  by the Leibnitz rule, it is straightforward to see that there can be no lower order linear dependences over  $k$  (since  $\text{char}(k) = p$ ). The claim follows.  $\square$

It follows that  $\text{order}(H_v) = p^{nv}$ . On the other hand,  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^{p^v}, \dots, X_n^{p^v})$  is  $p^{nv}$ -dimensional. Thus,  $u_v$  is an isomorphism. Passing to the limit, we get

$$k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow \overline{A}$$

is an isomorphism, as desired.  $\square$

We interpret the Serre-Tate equivalence in more concrete terms for an abelian variety  $X/k$ , where  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ . The formal Lie group corresponding to  $X(p)^0$  is none other than the formal group of  $X/k$ !

- $X/k$  is smooth. Thus,  $\hat{X}$ , the completion of  $X$  along the identity section is a power series ring over  $k$ .

Recall that we defined  $\hat{X}$  to be the restriction of the functor  $X$  to small artinian local  $k$ -algebras.  $\hat{X}[p^v]$  is tautologically isomorphic to

$$T \mapsto X(T)[p^v] = X[p^v](T).$$

But any small artinian point lies of  $X[p^v]$  automatically lies in  $X[p^v]^0$  because it is supported at the identity. Thus,  $\hat{X}[p^v]$  and  $X[p^v]^0$  are canonically isomorphic. Since any small artinian point is  $p^v$ -torsion for some  $v$ , we get the functor isomorphism

$$\hat{X} = \varinjlim \hat{X}[p^v] \cong \varinjlim X[p^v]^0.$$

Hence,  $\hat{X}$  corresponds to  $X(p)^0$  via the Serre-Tate equivalence.

Life is better with the Serre-Tate equivalence in hand.

We define the *dimension* of  $G$  to be the dimension of the divisible formal Lie group associated to  $G^0$ .

We digress to discuss a cool result. It's a nice illustration of how to use the connected etale sequence.

**Claim.** *If  $(R, m)$  is a local noetherian ring with residue characteristic  $p > 0$ , then the “special fiber” functor from  $p$ -divisible groups over  $R$  to  $p$ -divisible groups over the residue field is faithful.*

The noetherian hypothesis is necessary here. Indeed, for the non-noetherian valuation ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_{p^\infty}]$ , there's the map  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(p)$  given by  $1/p^n \mapsto \zeta_{p^n}$  (for a  $p$ -power compatible system of choices of  $\zeta_{p^n}$ 's), and this map is an isomorphism on generic fibers but vanishes on the special fiber.

*Proof.* (Brian)

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be  $p$ -divisible groups over  $R$ , and  $f : G \rightarrow H$  a map whose special fiber  $f_0 : G_0 \rightarrow H_0$  vanishes. We want to prove that  $f = 0$ .

We start off knowing that  $f \otimes_R R/m = 0$ . Suppose that for any artinian quotient  $A$  of  $R$  that whenever  $I \subset A$  is an ideal with  $mI = 0$  and that  $f \otimes_R A/I = 0$  then  $f \otimes_R A = 0$  (\*). If we assume by induction that  $f \otimes_R R/m^n = 0$ , then applying (\*) with

$A = R/m^{n+1}, I = m^n \subset R/m^{n+1}, A/I = R/m^n$  shows that  $f \otimes_R R/m^{n+1} = 0$ . But

$f_v : G_v = \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow H_v = \text{Spec}(B)$  is the zero map precisely when the corresponding  $R$ -Hopf algebra map  $B \xrightarrow{f_v^*} A$  factors through  $B/J, J$  the augmentation ideal of  $B$ . By assumption,  $J \subset \ker(f_v^*) + m^n B$  for each  $n$ . By Krull's intersection theorem, this implies that  $f_v^*$  factors through  $B/J$ , i.e. that  $f_v = 0$ .

Since now we only need to prove the inductive step (\*), we can assume for an ideal  $I$  in  $R$  killed by  $m$  that  $f \text{ mod } I$  vanishes. I will prove that  $f \circ [p] = 0$ , so then  $f = 0$  (that this suffices uses

that  $[p]$  is surjective, which is only true at the level of  $p$ -divisible groups, not for finite flat group schemes).

Functoriality of the connected-étale sequence leads us to first consider the étale and connected cases separately, and then we'll be reduced to proving that there's no nonzero map from an étale  $p$ -divisible group over  $R$  to a connected one (which is false without a noetherian condition, by the above example).

The case of étale  $G$  and  $H$  is trivial. Indeed, the equivalence of categories for étale  $p$ -divisible groups says that any map  $f : G \rightarrow H$  corresponds to a  $\pi_1(R, \alpha)$ -equivariant map  $\tilde{f}$  of free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules with a continuous linear actions. But  $\tilde{f}_0$  is the same underlying map of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules (the difference being the Galois action, given through the isomorphism  $\pi_1(k, \bar{\alpha}) \rightarrow \pi_1(R, \alpha)$ ). So

$$f_0 = 0 \implies \tilde{f}_0 = 0 \implies \tilde{f} = 0 \implies f = 0.$$

For the connected case, we switch viewpoint to that of formal Lie groups, so  $f$  corresponds to a map of augmented  $R$ -algebras

$$f^* : R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \rightarrow R[[y_1, \dots, y_N]]$$

such that  $f^*(x_j)$  has all coefficients in  $I$  (since  $f \bmod I = 0$ ) with constant term 0. But then

$$(f \circ [p])^*(x_j) = [p]^*(f^*(x_j)) = [p]^*(\text{stuff with } I\text{-coefficients with constant term 0}),$$

yet every  $[p]^*(x_i)$  has linear terms with coefficient  $p$ . So, if we plug into it anything with  $I$ -coefficients (and no constant term!) then we get zero since  $pI = 0$  and  $I^2 = 0$  (as  $I$  is killed by the maximal ideal of  $R$ ).

So, the map  $f : G \rightarrow H$  factors uniquely through  $G \xrightarrow{j_G} G^{et}$ , the cokernel of  $G^0 \xrightarrow{i_G} G$ , say through  $f' : G^{et} \rightarrow H$ . Since  $j_H \circ f' = f^{et} \circ j_G = 0$ ,  $f'$  factors through  $i_H$ , the kernel of  $j_H$ . Say  $i_H \circ f'' = f'$ . It clearly suffices to prove that  $f'' = 0$ . Thus, we are reduced to prove that  $f = 0$  if  $G$  is étale and  $H$  is connected, and can assume  $f \bmod I = 0$ .

By replacing the artin local  $R$  with a strict henselization, we can assume  $G$  is constant, and then that  $G = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus,  $f$  corresponds to a sequence of  $p$ -power compatible elements in the groups  $\ker(H_n(R) \rightarrow H_n(R/I))$ . By viewing each coordinate ring  $\mathcal{O}_{H_n}$  as a quotient of the formal power series ring  $\mathcal{O}_H$ , it is clear that the kernel of each map  $H_n(R) \rightarrow H_n(R/I)$  is killed by  $[p]$ .  $\square$

Another fundamental quantity associated with finite group schemes  $H = \text{Spec}(B)$  is the *discriminant*:  $\text{disc}(H)$  is defined to be the ideal  $\text{disc}(B) \subset R$  of the finite free  $R$ -algebra  $B$ .

**Lemma.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow H' \rightarrow H \rightarrow H'' \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of finite flat  $R$ -groups of respective orders  $m', m, m''$ . Then*

$$\text{disc}(H) = (\text{disc}(H'))^{m''} (\text{disc}(H''))^{m'}.$$

*Proof.* See Rebecca's notes.  $\square$

Our next immediate goal will be to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem.** Let  $G = (G_v, i_v)$  be a  $p$ -divisible group of height  $h$  and dimension  $n$  over a complete local noetherian ring  $R$  with residue characteristic  $p$ . Then

$$\text{disc}(G_v) = (p^{nvp^{hv}}).$$

*Proof.* The preceding lemma allows us to simplify our computation of the discriminant in two ways:

- From the definition of  $p$ -divisible groups, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{i} G_{v+1} \xrightarrow{j} G_v \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, it suffices to compute the discriminant of  $G_1$ .

- If  $H$  is a finite etale group scheme, then  $\text{disc}(H) = 1$ . Thus, by the connected-etale sequence, our computation is reduced to the case of connected  $p$ -divisible groups.

So, if  $\Gamma$  is the divisible formal Lie group, with coordinate ring  $\mathcal{A}$ , associated to  $G$ , then  $G_1 = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}/[p]^*(I))$ .

But by an earlier discussion, if  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  denotes a basis for  $\mathcal{A}$ , viewed as a module over itself via another copy  $\mathcal{A}'$  of itself through  $[p]$ , then  $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}$  is an  $R$ -basis for  $\mathcal{A}/[p](I)$ . Since the discriminant is computed by the determinant of a matrix of traces, it is compatible with reduction. Thus, it will suffice to compute  $\text{disc}_{\mathcal{A}'/\mathcal{A}}$ .

Let  $\Omega, \Omega'$  be the modules of formal differentials of  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$  respectively. They are  $R$ -linearly spanned by the  $dX_i, dX'_i$  respectively.

The map  $[p] : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  induces  $d[p] : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ . A choice of bases for  $\mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}'$ ) determines generators  $\theta$  (resp.  $\theta'$ ) of  $\wedge^n \Omega$  (resp.  $\wedge^n \Omega'$ ). Thus,  $\wedge^n d\psi(\theta') = a\theta$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

In the appendix, we show that

$$\text{disc}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} = N_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(a).$$

Grant this fact for now. Choose a basis  $\omega_i$  of “translation invariant differentials”. The existence of such a basis is proven in [Conrad, Leiblich, p. 73], which follows a similar line of reasoning to [Neron Models, p. 100]. For our purposes, it will suffice to note that any invariant differential  $\omega$  satisfies the property that  $d\mu(\omega) = \omega \oplus \omega$ . Since  $[p]$  corresponds to multiplication by  $p$  in  $\Gamma$ ,

$$d[p](\omega'_i) = p\omega_i.$$

Using this particular basis of differentials to determine  $\theta$ , it follows that  $a$ , from above, equals  $p^n$ . Thus, from above, it follows that

$$\text{disc}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} = N_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(a) = (p^n)^{\text{rank}_{\mathcal{A}'/\mathcal{A}}} = (p^{np^h}).$$

□

# Duality for $p$ -divisible Groups

As explained earlier, for any  $p$ -divisible group  $G = (G_v, i_v)$  of height  $h$  over a commutative ring  $R$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{i_{1,v}} G_{v+1} \xrightarrow{j_{1,v}} G_v \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking Cartier duals gives the dual exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_v^\vee \xrightarrow{j_{1,v}^\vee} G_{v+1}^\vee \xrightarrow{i_{1,v}^\vee} \check{G}_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

The maps  $j_{1,v}^\vee$  are the kernels of  $G_{v+1}^\vee \xrightarrow{p^v} G_v^\vee$ . Also, since duality preserves the order of finite objects, we get that  $G^\vee = (G_v^\vee, j_{1,v}^\vee)$  is a  $p$ -divisible group of the same height  $h$ . We have the following important theorem relating  $G$  and  $G^\vee$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be a complete, local, noetherian ring with residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $n, n^\vee$  denote the dimensions of  $G, G^\vee$ . Then*

$$n + n^\vee = h.$$

*Proof.* The dimensions and heights of  $G, G^\vee$  are the same as the dimensions and heights of  $G_k, G_k^\vee$ . Thus, we are reduced to the case where  $R$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ .

In the abelian category of fppf abelian group sheaves over  $k$ , we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker F & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{F} & G^{(p)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & V \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{id} & G \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. The snake lemma then yields the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker F \longrightarrow \ker p \longrightarrow \ker V \longrightarrow 0. (*)$$

But we can compute the orders of each term in the exact sequence:

- $\ker p = G_1$  has order  $p^h$ .
- As explained in the proof of the Serre-Tate equivalence,  $\ker F$  (called  $H_1$  in that proof) has order  $p^n$ .
- By definition,  $V$  is the dual map to  $F$ . Thus, because duality is exact, the kernel of  $V$  is the dual of the cokernel of  $F$ .

$$F : G_1^\vee \rightarrow (G_1^{(p)})^\vee.$$

Since  $G_1^\vee$  and  $(G_1^{(p)})^\vee$  have the same order, and since orders are multiplicative in exact sequences, the cokernel of  $F$  the above map has the same order as its kernel, namely  $p^{\check{n}}$ .

By multiplicativity of orders applied to  $(*)$ , it follows that  $n + n^\vee = h$ , as claimed.  $\square$

Here are some basic instances of this great result.

- $\mathbb{G}_m(p)$  has height 1 and dimension 1. Its dual is  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which has height 1 and dimension 0.
- Consider an abelian scheme  $X$  of dimension  $g$  over  $R$ . A couple well known facts over fields are, remarkably, true over any base whatsoever (Faltings-Chai):
  - The dual abelian scheme  $X'/R$  always exists.
  - Duality of abelian schemes is compatible with Cartier duality, i.e.

$$X(p)^\vee = X'(p).$$

Composing this natural isomorphism with the Cartier duality pairing

$$X[p^v] \times X'[p^v] \xrightarrow{\sim} X[p^v] \times X[p^v]^\vee \rightarrow \mu_{p^v}.$$

gives the usual Weil pairing.

Via a generalization of the fact proved earlier about abelian varieties, the formal Lie group corresponding to  $X(p)^0$  under the Serre-Tate equivalence is  $\widehat{X}$ , the formal Lie group of  $X$ .

In particular, it follows that  $X(p), X'(p)$  both have height  $2g$  and dimension  $g$ .

The height of  $X(p)^0$  thus assumes values between  $g$  to  $2g$ . (It turns out that it can assume all of them.) For example, if  $X/R$  is an elliptic curve, then the height is 2 if the elliptic curve has supersingular reduction over the special fiber and 1 if it has ordinary reduction.

## Appendix

Using the notation from our partial proof of Tate's discriminant calculation, we want to prove that

$$\text{disc}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} = N_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(a).$$

The reference that Tate gives for this fact is inadequate. So during the Wiles Seminars for FLT, Brian devised a proof on his own. I include it here for completeness.

Suppose we have an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module isomorphism  $Tr : \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ , so  $Tr_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(x) = Tr(dx)$  for some  $d \in \mathcal{A}$  unique up to unit multiple (and independent of choice of  $Tr$  too).

We wish to compute  $(d)$ . If  $f_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) = [p](X_i) \in R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ ,  $[p]$  gives an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}'$  algebras

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}'[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/(F_i),$$

where  $F_i = f_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) - X'_i$  and  $\partial F_i / \partial X_j = \partial f_i / \partial X_j$ .

**Claim 2.** •  $(d) = (\det(\partial f_i / \partial X_j))$ .

- $\text{disc}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} = (N_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(\det(\partial f_i / \partial X_j)))$ .

If  $\theta$  denotes the  $\mathcal{A}'$ -module generator  $dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_n$  of  $\Omega$  (resp.  $\theta'$  denotes the  $\mathcal{A}'$ -module generator  $dX'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX'_n$  of  $\Omega'$ ), then

$$\wedge^n d[p] : \wedge^n \Omega' \rightarrow \wedge^n \Omega; \theta' \mapsto \det(\partial f_i / \partial X_j) \theta,$$

so  $(a) = (\det(\partial f_i / \partial X_j))$ .

By claim 2(i), it follows that

$$\text{disc}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} = (N_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(a)) = ((p^{vn})^{p^{vh}}) = (p^{vnp^{vh}})$$

where the second last equality follows by choosing a basis of  $\Omega'$  consisting of invariant differentials to compute that  $a$  and  $p^{vn}$  differ by an  $\mathcal{A}^\times$ -multiple.

Claim 2(ii) falls into a more general framework.

**Claim 2''.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $R$  an  $A$ -algebra which is finite and free as an  $R$ -module. Assume  $\text{Hom}_A(R, A) \cong R$  as  $R$ -mods, and let  $\text{Tr}_{R/A} \rightarrow \tau \in R$  under such an isomorphism (so  $\tau$  is well defined up to  $R^\times$ ). Then*

$$\text{disc}_{R/A} = (N_{R/A}(\tau)).$$

*Proof.* Say  $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ae_i$  with  $\pi_i$  the  $i$ th coordinate projection. By definition,  $\text{disc}_{R/A}$  is generated by  $\det(\text{Tr}_{R/A}(e_i e_j))$ . Let  $\text{Hom}_A(R, A) \cong R$  take  $f_0$  to 1, and choose  $r_i \in R$  such that  $\pi_i \leftrightarrow r_i$  so that  $\pi_i(x) = f_0(r_i x)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{R/A}(\tau) &= \det(\pi_j(\tau e_i)) \\ &= \det(f_0(r_j \tau e_i)) \\ &= \det(f_0(\tau(r_j e_i))) \\ &= \det(\text{Tr}_{R/A}(r_j e_i)). \end{aligned}$$

But  $\{r_i\}$  forms an  $A$ -basis of  $R$  because  $\{\pi_i\}$  forms an  $A$ -basis of  $\text{Hom}_A(R, A)$ . Thus,  $r_j = \sum_l a_{jl} e_l$  for some  $(a_{ij}) \in GL_n(A)$ . Then

$$(\text{Tr}_{R/A}(r_j e_i)) = \left( \sum_l a_{jl} \text{Tr}_{R/A}(e_l e_i) \right) = (a_{jl})(\text{Tr}_{R/A}(e_l e_i)).$$

Therefore,  $N_{R/A}(\tau) = \text{unit} \cdot \det(\text{Tr}_{R/A}(e_i e_j))$ , as desired.  $\square$

Finally, claim 2(i), and our original assumption that  $\mathcal{A} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ , are special cases of the next result, where we first observe that  $\{F_i\}$  is a regular sequence in  $\mathcal{A}'[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ .

**Claim 2'.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a complete local noetherian ring,*

$$\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

*non-zero with  $\{f_i\}$  a regular sequence in  $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]$ . Then one has an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}', \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{O}'$$

*as  $\mathcal{O}'$ -modules where  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}'/\mathcal{O}} \mapsto \overline{\det(\partial f_i / \partial T_j)}$ .*

*Proof.* For this, we use Tate's results in appendix 3 to Mazzur-Roberts (see references). His theorem A.3 there (with  $R = \mathcal{O}$ ,  $A = \mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]$ ,  $f_i = f_i$ ,  $C = \mathcal{O}'$ ) gives an explicit isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}', \mathcal{O})$  as  $\mathcal{O}'$ -modules with  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{O}'/\mathcal{O}} \mapsto \beta(d)$  where

$$\beta : \mathcal{O}'[[T_1, \dots, T_n]] \mapsto \mathcal{O}'; T_i \mapsto \overline{T_i}$$

and  $f_i(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \sum_j b_{ij}(T_j - \overline{T_j})$ ,  $d = \det(b_{ij})$ .

But  $b_{ij} = \partial f_i / \partial T_j = \sum_l (T_l - \overline{T_l}) \cdot \text{stuff}$ , so

$$\beta(d) = \det(\partial f_i / \partial T_j)(\overline{T_1}, \dots, \overline{T_n}) = \overline{\det(\partial f_i / \partial T_j)}.$$

□

## References

- Bosch, Lutkebohmert, Raynaud. *Neron Models*. (1990)
- Conrad, B. *Different Formulations of Gorenstein and Complete Intersection Conditions*. Wiles Seminar (1994).
- Conrad, B. *Shimura-Taniyama Formula*.
- Conrad, B., Leiblich. *Galois Representations Arising from  $p$ -divisible groups*.
- Matsumura. *Commutative Ring Theory*.
- Mazur, Roberts. *Local Euler Characteristics*. Inventiones math. 9, 201-234 (1970).
- Mumford. *Abelian Varieties*.
- Tate. *Finite Flat Group Schemes*, in “Modular Forms and Fermat’s Last Theorem” (1995).
- Tate.  *$p$ -divisible Groups*.