

# TURING'S "ORACLE": FROM ABSOLUTE TO RELATIVE COMPUTABILITY--AND BACK

Solomon Feferman  
Logic Seminar, Stanford, April 10, 2012

# Plan

1. “Absolute” computability: machines and recursion theory.
2. Relative computability: degrees of unsolvability
3. Uniform relative computability: partial recursive functionals
4. Computability/recursion theory generalized to arbitrary structures
5. Significance of notions of relative computability for actual computation

# I. “Absolute” effective computability

## Origins

- Explication of the concept of effective computability (1933-1937)
- Church, Herbrand-Gödel, Turing, Post, Kleene
- Turing machines (1936-1937)
- Equivalence of the definitions
- The Church-Turing Thesis
- Register machines (Shepherdson, Sturgis, 1963)

# ‘Theory of Computation’ or ‘Recursion Theory’?

- Theory of computation emphasizes rule directed processes
- Recursion theory emphasizes a principal form of rule
- Ironically, Theoretical Computer Science is more concerned with the rules than the processes
- Soare’s campaign (e.g., ‘c.e.’ instead of ‘r.e.’, etc.)

# Primitive Recursive Definition (Dedekind, Skolem)

- $\mathbb{N}$  = the natural numbers,  $n' = n+1 = sc(n)$
- Defining effectively computable  $f: \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by recursion equations.
- **Primitive recursion**: Explicit definition from 0,  $sc$  and previous functions, and
- for  $k \geq 0$  and given  $g, h$ , and for  $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ ,  
 $f(0, \underline{y}) = g(\underline{y})$ ,  $f(x', \underline{y}) = h(x, \underline{y}, f(x, \underline{y}))$

# General Recursive Definition (Herbrand-Gödel)

- E a **finite system of equations** in  $f$  and auxiliary function symbols
- $E \vdash s = t$  if  $(s = t)$  is derivable using substitution of numerals  $n^*$  for variables, and equals for equals.
- E **computes**  $f$  (say for  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ) if  $f(n) = m$  iff  $E \vdash f(n^*) = m^*$
- $f$  is **general recursive** if it is computable by some finite system of equations  $E$ .

# General Recursive and Partial Recursive Functions

- Theorem The general recursive functions are the same as the Turing computable functions.
- **Partial computable** and **partial recursive** functions  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow_p \mathbb{N}$  (in the following, typically for  $k = 1$ )
- $f(n) \downarrow, f(n) \simeq m$
- $E$  **computes partial recursive**  $f$  if whenever  $E \vdash f(n^*) = m^*$  and  $E \vdash f(n^*) = p^*$  then  $m = p$ .

## Enumeration of Partial Rec. Fns.

- Kleene's Normal Form Theorem Each partial recursive  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow_p \mathbb{N}$  is representable in the form  $f(x) \simeq U(\mu y.T(e, x, y))$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $U, T$  are primitive recursive,  $\mu y(\dots) = \min y(\dots)$ .
- Enumeration Theorem The function  $\{z\}(x) \simeq U(\mu y.T(z, x, y))$  is partial rec. and enumerates all unary partial rec. fns. for  $z = 0, 1, 2, \dots$   
(~Universal Turing machine)
- The Halting Problems  
 $H = \{(z, x) : \{z\}(x) \downarrow\}, \quad K = \{x : \{x\}(x) \downarrow\}$



# Decision Problems for $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

- $A$  is **recursive** (or **decidable**) if its characteristic fn.  $c_A$  is recursive
- The **decision problem for  $A$**  is **effectively unsolvable** if  $A$  is not recursive

# Some Effectively Unsolvable Problems

- H
- K
- The **Entscheidungsproblem** for 1st order predicate logic
- Hilbert's 10th problem (Diophantine equations)
- The Word Problem for groups

## Many-One Reduction and R.E. Sets

- $A \leq_m B$  iff for some general rec.  $f$ ,  
 $\forall x [x \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in B]$
- If  $A \leq_m B$  and  $A$  is not recursive then  $B$  is not recursive
- $A$  is **recursively enumerable (r.e.)** if  $A$  is  $\emptyset$  or the range of some (prim.) rec.  $f$
- If  $B$  is r.e. and  $A \leq_m B$  then  $A$  is r.e.

## R. E. Sets (cont'd)

- The r.e. sets  $A$  are just those definable in the form  $\forall x[x \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists y R(x, y)]$  where  $R$  is (prim.) rec
- The unsolvable prob's above (H, K, etc.) are all r.e.
- If  $T$  is an effectively presented formal system then the set of Gödel nrs. of theorems of  $T$  is r.e.
- Every recursive set is r.e.
- Fact: If  $A$  is an r.e. set then  $A \leq_m K$
- $\{z : \{z\} \text{ is total}\}$  is not r.e. ( $\forall x \exists y T(z, x, y)$ )

## 2. Relative Effective Computability

- ‘Oracle’ computability (Turing 1939).  $A$  is effectively computable from  $B$  if it is computable by a machine which may call on an “oracle” for  $B$ .
- Write  $f \leq g$  if  $f$  is computable from an oracle for  $g$ , and  $A \leq B$  if  $c_A \leq c_B$
- Can define  $f \leq g$  iff for system of eqns.  $E$   
 $f(n) = m \Leftrightarrow E \cup \text{Diag}(g) \vdash f(n^*) = m^*$ , where  
 $\text{Diag}(g)$  is the set of all true  $g(j^*) = k^*$ .

# Degrees of Unsolvability

- Post (1944): Define  $A \equiv B \Leftrightarrow A \leq B \ \& \ B \leq A$ ,
- $\text{deg}(A) = \{B : A \equiv B\}$ ,  $\text{deg}(A) \leq \text{deg}(B)$  iff  $A \leq B$
- $\underline{0} = \text{deg}(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\underline{0}' = \text{deg}(\mathbb{K})$
- Fact: If  $A$  is r.e. then  $\text{deg}(A) \leq \underline{0}'$

# Post's Problem and Degree Theory

- Post's Problem Do there exist r.e.  $A$  with  $\underline{0} < \text{deg}(A) < \underline{0}'$ ?
- Yes! (Friedberg and Muchnik, independently, 1956)  
Construct  $A, B$  r.e. of incomparable degrees
- The priority method
- Structures of degrees of r.e. sets and degrees of arbitrary sets are both very complicated.

### 3. Uniform Relative Computability over $\mathbb{N}$

- Define  $f \leq g$  (via  $e$ ) if  $f$  is computed from  $E \cup \text{Diag}(g)$  where  $e = \#(E)$ .
- In degree theory  $f, g$  are given (or sought for) and ask whether there exists  $e$  s.t.  $f \leq g$  (via  $e$ )
- Alternatively, fix  $e$  and define  $f$  as a **uniform (partial) recursive function of  $g$**  for all  $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  via  $e$ ; in general  $f$  is partial even for  $g$  total.



# Partial Recursive Functionals

- Defn. A finite system of equations  $E$  determines a **partial recursive functional**  $f = F(g)$  if for all **partial**  $g$  and  $n, m, p$ ,  
if  $E \cup \text{Diag}(g) \vdash f(n^*) = m^*, f(n^*) = p^*$  then  $m = p$ .
- Also write  $F(g, n)$  for  $(F(g))(n)$
- Lemma. If  $F$  is a partial rec. functional then it is  
(i) **monotonic** ( $g \subseteq h \Rightarrow F(g) \subseteq F(h)$ ), (ii) **continuous**  
( $F(g, n) = m \Rightarrow F(h, n) = m$  for some finite  $h \subseteq g$ ), and  
(iii) **effective** ( $g$  partial rec.  $\Rightarrow F(g)$  partial rec.)

# The Recursion Theorems

- First Recursion Theorem (Kleene 1952).  
For each partial rec. functional  $F$  there is a least solution to the equation  $f = F(f)$ , i.e.  $f(x) \simeq F(f, x)$  for all  $x$ .  
Moreover the least fixed point (**LFP**)  $f$  is partial recursive.
- Second Recursion Theorem (Kleene 1938). For each partial rec.  $f$  we can find an index  $e$  such that  $\{e\}(x) \simeq f(e, x)$  for all  $x$ .

# Recursive Functionals of Finite Type over $\mathbb{N}$

- Primitive rec. functionals of finite type over  $\mathbb{N}$   
(Gödel 1958)
- Partial rec. functionals of finite type over  $\mathbb{N}$   
(Kleene 1959)
- Theorem (Recursion in quantifiers, Kleene 1959).  
Let  $\underline{E}(g) = 0$  iff  $\exists n(g(n) = 0)$ , else 1.  
Then  $f$  is partial rec. in  $\underline{E}$  [ $f \leq \underline{E}$ ] iff  $f$  is  
hyperarithmetical.

## 4. Generalized Recursion Theory (g.r.t.)

- (a) Recursion over all ordinals (Takeuti 1960)
- (b) Recursion over admissible ordinals and admissible sets (Kripke, Platek, 1964). The least admissible ordinal is  $\omega$ ; the least admissible ordinal  $> \omega$  is the least non-recursive ordinal (“Church-Kleene”  $\omega_1$ ).
- (c) Degree theory on admissible ordinals (Sacks, Simpson, et al--generalization of the priority method)

## Generalized Rec.Theory (cont'd)

- Computability/Recursion Theory over arbitrary structures (many workers from 1961 on).
- Turing machines and register machines on arbitrary structures (Friedman 1971).
- Partial rec. functionals of finite type on arbitrary structures (Platek 1966).
- Type two LFP schemata, uniform over structures (Moschovakis 1984, 1989).
- “While” schemata (Tucker and Zucker 2000).

## 5. Significance of Notions of Relative Computability for Actual Computation

- Computational practice and the theory of computation
- Turing machines are not a good model of actual computers (desktop or mainframe)
- Register machines are a better model (RAMs)
- Church-Turing thesis is accepted in principle by computer scientists, without effect on practice

# Computational Theory and Practice

- Notions of **absolute** effective computability have **little** significance for practice
- Claim: The notions, but not the results, of **relative computability**, have **much greater** significance for practice
- Reasons: The requirements of efficiency, reliability, versatility and user-friendliness demand a **modular organization of hardware and software**.

# Examples

- **Built in functions and black boxes**, for example for Boolean, arithmetical and analytic functions. Programs for an  $f$  from such  $g$  give  $f \leq g$ , but programmer doesn't need to know how box for  $g$  works.
- **Functional programming languages**, e.g. Lisp, ML, Scheme, Miranda, Haskell, etc. Moreover, flowchart diagrams are implicitly functional.



## Examples (cont'd)

- **Abstract data types (ADTs)**, e.g. integers, booleans, reals, lists, arrays, trees, etc. ADTs are structures considered up to isomorphism, independent of representation.
- **“Hypercomputation”**: **Online and Interactive Computation** (cf. Soare 2009, and Nayebi presentation to come).

## Coda: What has degree theory done for the theory of computation?

- On the face of it, **complexity theory** is a form of degree theory
- P, NP, co-NP, Exp, etc. complexity classes, space, time forms
- Many open **separation problems**:  $P = (?)NP$ , etc.
- It has been observed that **recursion theoretic results generally relativize to any oracle**.
- **But relativized  $P = NP$  can go both ways** (Baker, Gill, Solovay 1975).

## Selected References

- J. Barwise (1975) *Admissible Sets and Structures*
- M. Davis (ed.)(1965), *The Undecidable. Basic papers on undecidable propositions, unsolvable problems and computable functions.*
- S. Feferman (1992), “Turing’s ‘oracle’: From absolute to relative computability--and back”, in *The Space of Mathematics* (J. Echeverria, et al., eds.)
- S. Feferman (2006), “Turing’s thesis”, in *Notices AMS* 53(#10)
- R. Herken (ed.) (1988), *The Universal Turing Machine. A half-century survey.*

## Selected References (cont'd)

- A. Hodges (1983), *Alan Turing. The Enigma*
- S. C. Kleene (1952), *Introduction to Metamathematics*
- M. Lerman (1983), *Degrees of Unsolvability*
- H. Rogers (1967), *Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability*
- G. E. Sacks (1990), *Higher Recursion Theory*
- R. I. Soare (2009), “Turing oracle machines, online computing, and three displacements in the theory of computation”, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 160.