

# Remarks on a conjecture of Fontaine and Mazur

Richard Taylor <sup>1</sup>  
Department of Mathematics,  
Harvard University,  
Cambridge,  
MA 02138,  
U.S.A.

May 23, 2000

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9702885

# Introduction

Fontaine and Mazur have made the following extremely influential conjecture (see [FM]).

**Conjecture A** *Suppose that*

$$\rho : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ac}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})$$

*is a continuous irreducible representation such that*

1.  *$\rho$  is ramified at only finitely many primes*
2. *and the restriction of  $\rho$  to the decomposition group at  $l$  is potentially semi-stable in the sense of Fontaine.*

*Then  $\rho$  occurs in the  $l$ -adic cohomology (with respect to a Tate twist of the constant sheaf) of some variety defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

We remark that it is now known that if  $\rho$  does occur in the  $l$ -adic cohomology of some variety defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  then (1) and (2) must hold. We also remark that it would follow from this conjecture that there is an integer  $w$  (depending on  $\rho$ ) such that for almost all  $p$  the eigenvalues of  $\rho(\text{Frob}_p)$  are algebraic and for each embedding into  $\mathbb{C}$  have absolute value  $p^{w/2}$ . Finally we remark that, combining this conjecture with conjectures of Langlands, one further expects that  $\rho$  has the same  $L$ -series as a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ , and so in particular its  $L$ -series has holomorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  (except for a possible pole at  $s = 1$  when  $n = 1$ ) and satisfies a functional equation (which can be made precise).

The case  $n = 1$  of the conjecture has been known to be true for some time. Besides this the only known cases are for  $n = 2$  where the methods of Wiles [W], Taylor-Wiles [TW] and Skinner-Wiles [SW1] have been used to verify some cases of the conjecture. Except for a couple of isolated examples (see [SBT] and [Dic]) these methods have been restricted to the case where  $\rho$  has pro-soluble image. The purpose of this paper is to verify the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture in a significant number of cases where the image of  $\rho$  is not pro-soluble. More precisely we prove the following theorem and its corollaries. (Here, and in the rest of this paper,  $c$  denotes complex conjugation and  $\epsilon$  the  $l$ -adic cyclotomic character.)

**Theorem B** *Let  $l$  be an odd prime and*

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})$$

*a continuous irreducible representation such that*

- $\rho$  is unramified at all but finitely many primes,
- $\det \rho(c) = -1$ ,
- and

$$\rho|_{G_l} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^n \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and some finitely ramified characters  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  for which  $(\epsilon^n \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1})(I_l)$  is not pro- $l$ .

Then there is a totally real field  $E$ , a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$  and a place  $\lambda$  of the field of coefficients of  $\pi$  above  $l$  such that  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$  (the  $\lambda$ -adic representation associated to  $\pi$ ) is equivalent to  $\rho$ .

Combining this with a result of Brylinski-Labesse [BL], Langlands' cyclic base change [L] and a theorem of Brauer we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary C** *Keep the assumptions of theorem B and choose an isomorphism  $i : \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ . For all but finitely many primes  $p$  the trace and determinant of  $\rho(\text{Frob}_p)$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}^{ac}$  and we have*

$$|i(\text{tr } \rho(\text{Frob}_p))| \leq 2p^{n/2}.$$

We define the  $L$ -function of  $\rho$  with respect to  $i$  to be

$$L(i\rho, s) = \frac{(1 - i\chi_{1, I_l}(\text{Frob}_l)/l^{s-n})^{-1} (1 - i\chi_{2, I_l}(\text{Frob}_l)/l^s)^{-1}}{\prod_{p \neq l} i \det(1 - \rho_{I_p}(\text{Frob}_p)/p^s)^{-1}},$$

except we drop the factor  $(1 - i\chi_{1, I_l}(\text{Frob}_l)/l^{s-n})^{-1}$  if  $n = 1$  and  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ . This converges uniformly absolutely for the real part of  $s$  sufficiently large. We also define the conductor  $N(\rho)$  to be the product

$$N(\chi_1)N(\chi_2) \prod_{p \neq l} N(\rho|_{G_p}),$$

except we replace  $N(\chi_1)$  by  $l$  if  $n = 1$  and  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  is unramified, and we drop the factor  $N(\chi_1)$  if  $n = 1$  and  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  is ramified. (Here  $N(\rho|_{G_p})$  (resp.  $N(\chi_i)$ ) is the usual conductor of  $\rho|_{G_p}$  (resp.  $\chi_i$ ).

The function  $L(i\rho, s)$  has unique meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation

$$N(\rho)^{s/2} (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(i\rho, s) = W N(\rho)^{(n+1-s)/2} (2\pi)^{s-1-n} \Gamma(n+1-s) L(i(\rho \otimes \epsilon^n (\det \rho)^{-1}), n+1-s),$$

where  $|W| = 1$ .

In particular this has the following consequence.

**Corollary D** *Suppose that  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  is an abelian variety,  $M$  is a number field with  $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$  and that  $j : \mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then the  $L$ -function of  $A$  (relative to an embedding  $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) has meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation*

$$N(A)^{s/2} (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(A, s) = W N(A)^{(2-s)/2} (2\pi)^{s-2} \Gamma(2-s) L(A^\vee, 2-s),$$

where  $N(A)$  denotes the conductor of  $A$  and where  $|W| = 1$ .

Alternatively combining theorem B with a result of Blasius and Rogawski [BR] and restriction of scalars, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary E** *Keep the assumptions of theorem B and if  $n = 1$  further assume that*

- *for some prime  $p \neq l$  we have*

$$\rho|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Then  $\rho$  occurs in the  $l$ -adic cohomology (with coefficients in some Tate twist of the constant sheaf) of some variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $n = 1$  then there exists a number field  $M$ , a prime  $\lambda$  of  $M$  above  $l$ , an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $[M : \mathbb{Q}]$  and an embedding  $\mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\rho$  is equivalent to the representation on the  $\lambda$ -adic Tate module of  $A$ .*

Combining this corollary with (a slight generalisation of) the main result of [Ram] we get the following theorem, which may lend some support to a very important conjecture of Serre (see [S2]).

**Theorem F** *Suppose that  $l$  is an odd prime and that*

$$\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}})$$

*is a continuous irreducible representation such that  $\det \bar{\rho}(c) = -1$  and*

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_l} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

*with  $\chi_1|_{I_l} \neq \chi_2|_{I_l}$ . Then there exists a number field  $M$ , a prime  $\lambda$  of  $M$  above  $l$ , an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $[M : \mathbb{Q}]$  and an embedding  $\mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\bar{\rho}$  is equivalent to the representation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on  $A[\lambda]$ .*

We remark that we have not tried to optimise the conditions in these results and some improvement is certainly possible.

Let us briefly describe the proof of theorem B. Let  $\bar{\rho}$  denote a reduction of  $\rho$ . The case where  $\bar{\rho}$  is reducible is the main result of [SW1]. The case where  $\bar{\rho}$  is irreducible but soluble follows from the results of [L], [Tu], [W], [TW], [Dia1] and [SW3]. In this paper we treat the case where  $\bar{\rho}$  has insoluble image. By the methods of [W] and [TW] and their extension to totally real fields by Diamond ([Dia2]), Fujiwara ([F]) and Skinner and Wiles ([SW2] and [SW3]), the key point here is to prove that  $\bar{\rho}|_{\text{Gal}(E^{ac}/E)}$  is modular for some totally real field  $E$ .

To describe how we do this, let us for simplicity assume that  $\bar{\rho}$  has cyclotomic determinant. We find totally real fields  $E$  and  $M$ , a rational prime  $p$  and an abelian variety  $A/E$  such that

- $p$  and  $l$  are unramified in  $E$ ,
- $\dim A = [M : \mathbb{Q}]$ ,
- there is an embedding  $i : \mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/E)$ ,
- there is a prime  $\lambda|l$  of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  such that  $A[\lambda](E^{ac})$  is equivalent to  $\bar{\rho}|_{\text{Gal}(E^{ac}/E)}$  as a  $\text{Gal}(E^{ac}/E)$ -module,
- $A$  has good ordinary reduction at all primes of  $\mathcal{O}_E$  above  $p$ ,
- there is a prime  $\wp$  of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  above  $p$  such that the action of  $\text{Gal}(E^{ac}/E)$  on  $A[\wp](E^{ac})$  is of the form  $\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L^{ac}/L)}^{\text{Gal}(E^{ac}/E)} \theta$  for some totally imaginary quadratic extension  $L/E$  not contained in  $E(\zeta_p)$  and some character  $\theta$  of  $\text{Gal}(L^{ac}/L)$ .

Given such  $E, M, p$  and  $A$  the above mentioned results of Diamond, Fujiwara and Skinner and Wiles show that the  $\wp$ -adic Tate module of  $A$  is modular and hence that  $\bar{\rho}$  is modular.

Having made a suitable choice for  $M$  and  $p$  the problem of finding a suitable  $E$  and  $A$  comes down to a problem of constructing points on certain Hilbert-Blumental modular varieties over totally real fields in which  $p$  and  $l$  are unramified. To this end we employ the following general criterion of Moret-Bailly [M] which reduces the problem to local problems at  $\infty, l$  and  $p$ .

**Theorem G (Moret-Bailly)** *Let  $K$  be a number field and  $S$  a finite set of places of  $K$ . There is a unique maximal extension  $K_S/K$  (inside a given algebraic closure of  $K$ ) in which all places of  $S$  split completely. (For example,*

$\mathbb{Q}_{\{\infty\}}$  is the maximal totally real field.) Suppose that  $X/\mathrm{Spec} K$  is a geometrically irreducible smooth quasi-projective scheme and that, for all  $v \in S$ ,  $X(K_v)$  is non-empty. Then  $X(K_S)$  is Zariski dense in  $X$ .

## Notation

Throughout this paper  $l$  will be an odd rational prime.

If  $K$  is a perfect field we will let  $K^{ac}$  denote its algebraic closure and  $G_K$  denote its absolute Galois group  $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{ac}/K)$ . If moreover  $p$  is a prime number different from the characteristic of  $K$  then we will let  $\epsilon_p : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  denote the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character and  $\omega_p$  the Teichmüller lift of  $\epsilon_p \bmod p$ . In the case  $p = l$  we will drop the subscripts and write simply  $\epsilon = \epsilon_l$  and  $\omega = \omega_l$ . If  $K$  is a local field we will let  $W_K$  denote the Weil group of  $K$ . If  $K$  is a number field and  $x$  is a finite place of  $K$  we will write  $G_x$  for a decomposition group above  $x$ ,  $I_x$  for the inertia subgroup of  $G_x$  and  $\mathrm{Frob}_x$  for an arithmetic Frobenius element in  $G_x/I_x$ . We will also let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote the integers of  $K$ ,  $\mathfrak{d}_K$  the different of  $K$  and  $k(x)$  denote the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  at  $x$ . We will let  $c$  denote complex conjugation on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We will write  $\mu_N$  for the group scheme of  $N^{\mathrm{th}}$  roots of unity. We will write  $W(k)$  for the Witt vectors of  $k$ . If  $G$  is a group,  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\rho$  a representation of  $G$ , then we will let  $\rho^H$  (resp.  $\rho_H$ ) denote the representation of  $G/H$  on the  $H$ -invariants (resp.  $H$ -coinvariants) of  $\rho$ .

Suppose that  $A/K$  is an abelian variety with an action of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  for some number field  $M$  over a perfect field  $K$ . Suppose also that  $X$  is a finite index  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -submodule of a free  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -module. If  $X$  is free with basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  then by  $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X$  we shall simply mean  $A^r$ . Note that for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X)[\mathfrak{a}] \cong A[\mathfrak{a}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X.$$

In general if  $Y \supset X \supset \mathfrak{a}Y$  with  $Y$  free and  $\mathfrak{a}$  a non-zero ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  then we will set

$$(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X) = (A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathfrak{a}Y) / (A[\mathfrak{a}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X / \mathfrak{a}Y).$$

This is canonically independent of the choice of  $Y \supset X$  and again we get an identification

$$(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X)[\mathfrak{a}] \cong A[\mathfrak{a}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X.$$

If  $X$  has an action of some  $\mathcal{O}_M$  algebra then  $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X$  canonically inherits such an action. We also get a canonical identification  $(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X)^\vee \cong$

$A^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Suppose that  $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$  is a polarisation which induces an involution  $c$  on  $M$ . Note that  $c$  equals complex conjugation for every embedding  $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose also that  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is  $c$ -semilinear for the action of  $\mathcal{O}_M$ . If for all  $x \in X - \{0\}$ , the totally real number  $f(x)(x)$  is totally strictly positive then  $\lambda \otimes f : A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X \rightarrow (A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X)^\vee$  is again a polarisation.

If  $\lambda$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  prime to the characteristic of  $K$  we will write  $\bar{\rho}_{A, \lambda}$  for the representation of  $G_K$  on  $A[\lambda](K^{ac})$ . If  $\lambda$  is prime we will write  $T_\lambda A$  for the  $\lambda$ -adic Tate module of  $A$ ,  $V_\lambda A$  for  $T_\lambda A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\rho_{A, \lambda}$  for the representation of  $G_K$  on  $V_\lambda A$ . We have a canonical isomorphism  $T_\lambda(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X) \xrightarrow{\sim} (T_\lambda A) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X$ .

Suppose that  $F$  is a totally real number field and that  $\pi$  is an algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  with field of definition (or coefficients)  $M \subset \mathbb{C}$ . In some cases, including the cases that  $\pi_\infty$  is regular and the case  $\pi_\infty$  is weight  $(1, \dots, 1)$ , then it is known that  $M$  is a CM number field and that for each prime  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  there is a continuous irreducible representation

$$\rho_{\pi, \lambda} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(M_\lambda)$$

canonically associated to  $\pi$ . (See [Ta1] for details.) We may always conjugate  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$  so that it is valued in  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{M, \lambda})$  and then reduce it to get a continuous representation  $G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}_M/\lambda)$ . If for one such choice of conjugate the resulting representation is irreducible then it is independent of the choice of conjugate and we will denote it  $\bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda}$ .

## 1 A potential version of Serre's conjecture

Suppose that  $l$  is an odd prime and that  $k/\mathbb{F}_l$  is a finite extension. Suppose also that  $F$  is a totally real field and that

$$\bar{\rho} : G_F \longrightarrow GL_2(k)$$

is a continuous representation such that

- $\bar{\rho}$  has insoluble image,
- for every place  $v$  of  $F$  above  $l$  we have

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_v} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \chi_v^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \chi_v \end{pmatrix}$$

- and for every complex conjugation  $c$ ,  $\det \bar{\rho}(c) = -1$ .

For  $v$  a prime of  $F$  above  $l$  let  $\tilde{F}_v$  denote the smallest totally tamely ramified extension of  $F_v$  over which  $\chi_v$  becomes unramified.

Let  $\zeta$  denote a primitive  $\#k^\times$  root of unity and let  $N_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \sqrt{1-4l})$ . Note that  $l$  is unramified in  $N_0$  and that each prime of  $N_0$  above  $l$  has residue field isomorphic to  $k$ . Choose a prime  $\lambda_0$  of  $N_0$  above  $l$  and an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{N_0}/\lambda_0 \cong k$ . For  $v$  a prime of  $F$  above  $l$  set

$$\beta_v = \zeta^{b_v} \left( (1 + \sqrt{1-4l})/2 \right)^{[k(v):\mathbb{F}_l]}$$

with  $b_v$  chosen so that  $\beta_v \equiv \chi_v(\phi_v) \pmod{\lambda_0}$  for  $\phi_v \in G_{\tilde{F}_v}$  a lift of  $\text{Frob}_v$ . Let  $\tilde{\chi}_v$  denote the unique character from  $W_{F_v}$  to  $N_0^\times$  which,

- if  $\chi_v^2 \neq 1$ , takes  $\phi_v$  to  $\beta_v$  and on inertia is the Teichmuller lift of  $\chi_v$
- and, if  $\chi_v^2 = 1$ , is the Teichmuller lift of  $\chi_v$ .

Choose an odd prime  $p \neq l$  such that

- at all primes  $w$  of  $F$  above  $p$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$  is unramified and  $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_w)$  has distinct eigenvalues,
- $p$  splits completely in the Hilbert class field of  $N_0$ ,
- $p$  splits completely in  $(F^{ac})^{\ker(\epsilon^{-1} \det \bar{\rho})}$
- and  $p$  is coprime to  $\beta_v - \beta_v^c$  for all places  $v$  of  $F$  above  $l$ .

Also choose a prime  $\wp_0$  of  $N_0$  above  $p$ . For each place  $w$  of  $F$  above  $p$  choose  $\alpha'_w \in \mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{1-4l})/2]$  with norm  $p$  (possible because  $p$  splits completely in the Hilbert class field of  $\mathbb{Q}((1 + \sqrt{1-4l})/2)$ ) and set

$$\alpha_w = \zeta^{a_w} \alpha'_w$$

with  $a_w$  chosen so that  $\alpha_w$  is congruent modulo  $\lambda$  to an eigenvalue of  $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_w)$ .

**Lemma 1.1** *Let  $p$  be a rational prime,  $\mathcal{O}$  the integers of a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $K$  be a totally real field and  $L/K$  a totally imaginary quadratic extension in which every place of  $K$  above  $p$  splits. Let  $S$  be a finite set of finite places of  $K$  which split in  $L$  and suppose  $S$  contains all places of  $K$  above  $p$ . Let  $S_L$  be a set of places of  $L$  above  $S$  which contains exactly one place above every element of  $S$ .*

*Let  $\phi : G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$  be a continuous homomorphism*

- *which takes every complex conjugation to  $-1$*

- and which is of the form  $\epsilon_p^n$  times a finite order character for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Also for each  $x \in S_L$  let  $\bar{\psi}_x : G_{L_x} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$  be a continuous homomorphism.

Then there is a finite extension of the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}$  with integers  $\mathcal{O}'$  and residue field  $k'$ , and a continuous character  $\psi : G_L \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}')^\times$  such that

- for all  $x \in S_L$ ,  $\psi|_{G_{L_x}}$  is finitely ramified and reduces to  $\bar{\psi}_x$
- and  $\det \text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_K} \psi = \phi$ .

*Proof:* We can choose a character  $\psi_0 : G_L \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}')^\times$  such that

- $\epsilon_p^{-1} \det \text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_K} \psi_0$  has finite order
- and  $\psi_0|_{L_x}$  is finite order for all  $x \in S_L$ .

Looking for  $\psi$  of the form  $\psi_0^n \psi'$  we reduce to the case that  $n = 0$ . We may also suppose that  $S_L$  generates the class group of  $L$ .

For  $x \in S_L$  let  $\psi_x : L_x^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$  be the character corresponding by class field theory to the Teichmüller lift of  $\bar{\psi}_x$ . Let  $\phi'$  be the character of  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$  associated by class field theory to  $\phi$  times the quadratic character of  $G_K$  with kernel  $G_L$ . We must find a character  $\psi : \mathbb{A}_L^\times / L^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}')^\times$  which restricts to  $\phi'$  on  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$  and to  $\psi_x$  on  $L_x^\times$  for all  $x \in S_L$ . Let  $L_S^\times$  (resp.  $K_S^\times$ ) denote the subgroup of  $L^\times$  (resp.  $K^\times$ ) which is supported on  $S_L$  (resp.  $S$ ). Let  $T$  denote the set of finite places of  $K$  which are not in  $S$  and at which  $\phi'$  is ramified. For  $x \in T$  choose an extension  $\psi_x$  of  $\phi'|_{\mathcal{O}_{K,x}^\times}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{L,x}^\times$ . Let

$$\psi_0 : \left( \prod_{x \in S} L_x^\times \times \prod_{x \in T} \mathcal{O}_{L,x}^\times \right) / K_S^\times \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}')^\times$$

denote the unique character which

- coincides with  $\phi'$  on  $(\prod_{x \in S} K_x^\times \times \prod_{x \in T} \mathcal{O}_{K,x}^\times) / K_S^\times$ ,
- coincides with  $\psi_x$  on  $L_y^\times$  for  $y \in S_L$
- and which coincides with  $\psi_x$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{L,x}^\times$  for  $x \in T$ .

It suffices to find a continuous character

$$\psi : \left( \prod_{x \in S} L_x^\times \times \prod_{y \in T} \mathcal{O}_{L,y}^\times \times \prod_{y \notin S \cup T} (\mathcal{O}_{L,y}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{K,y}^\times) \right) / L_S^\times \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}')^\times$$

which extends  $\psi_0$ . Equivalently we must find a continuous character

$$\prod_{y \notin S \cup T} (\mathcal{O}_{L,y}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{K,y}^\times) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}')^\times$$

which coincides with  $\psi_0$  on  $L_S^\times / K_S^\times$ .

As  $\psi_0$  has finite order it suffices to show that any finite index subgroup of  $L_S^\times / K_S^\times$  contains the preimage of some open subgroup of  $\prod_{y \notin S \cup T} \mathcal{O}_{L,y}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{K,y}^\times$ . Considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_S^\times / K_S^\times & \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} & L_S^\times \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{y \notin S \cup T} \mathcal{O}_{L,y}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{K,y}^\times & \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} & \prod_{y \notin S \cup T} \mathcal{O}_{L,y}^\times \end{array}$$

and recalling that  $L_S^\times$  is a finitely generated abelian group, we see that we only need prove that for any positive integer  $n$  the subgroup  $(L_S^\times)^n \subset L_S^\times$  contains the preimage of some open subgroup of  $\prod_{y \notin S \cup T} \mathcal{O}_{L,y}^\times$ . This is presumably well known, see for instance lemma 2.1 of [Ta2].  $\square$

Thus we may choose a quadratic extension  $L/F$  and a continuous character  $\psi : G_L \rightarrow (N_{0,\wp_0}^{ac})^\times$  such that

- $L$  is a totally imaginary field not contained in  $F$  adjoin a primitive  $p^{\text{th}}$  root of 1;
- each place  $v$  of  $F$  above  $l$  splits as  $v_1 v_1^c$  in  $L$  and  $\psi|_{W_{L_{v_1}}} = \tilde{\chi}_v$  in  $(\mathcal{O}_{N_0}/\wp_0)^{ac}$ ;
- each place  $w$  of  $F$  above  $p$  splits as  $w_1 w_1^c$  in  $L$  and  $\psi|_{G_{w_1}}$  is unramified and takes arithmetic Frobenius to a lift of  $\alpha_w \in \mathcal{O}_{N_0}/\wp_0$ ;
- and  $\det \text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_F} \psi = \epsilon_p$ .

Let  $\bar{\psi} : G_L \rightarrow ((\mathcal{O}_{N_0}/\wp_0)^{ac})^\times$  denote the reduction of  $\psi$ . Note that for any prime  $v$  of  $F$  above  $l$  we have  $\bar{\psi}|_{G_{v_1}} \neq \bar{\psi}^c|_{G_{v_1}}$  (as  $\beta_v - \beta_v^c$  is coprime to  $p$ ). Choose  $N/N_0$  be a Galois CM extension such that

- primes above  $l$  split in  $N/N_0$ ,
- primes above  $p$  are unramified in  $N/N_0$
- and there is a prime  $\wp$  above  $\wp_0$  such that  $\bar{\psi}$  has image in  $\mathcal{O}_N/\wp$ .

Let  $\lambda$  denote a prime of  $\mathcal{O}_N$  above  $\lambda_0$  and let  $M$  denote the maximal totally real subfield of  $N$ .

By an ordered invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -module we shall mean an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -module  $X$  together with a choice of connected component  $X_x^+$  of  $(X \otimes M_x) - \{0\}$  for each infinite place  $x$  of  $M$ . By the standard ordered invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_M^+$  we shall mean  $(\mathcal{O}_M, \{(M_x^\times)^0\})$ , where  $(M_x^\times)^0$  denotes the connected component of 1 in  $M_x^\times$ . By an  $M$ -HBAV over a field  $K$  we shall mean a triple  $(A, i, j)$  where

- $A/K$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $[M : \mathbb{Q}]$ ,
- $i : \mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/K)$
- and  $j : \mathcal{O}_M^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}(A, i)$  is an isomorphism of ordered invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -modules.

Here  $\mathcal{P}(A, i)$  is the invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$  module of symmetric (i.e.  $f^\vee = f$ ) homomorphisms  $f : (A, i) \rightarrow (A^\vee, i^\vee)$  which is ordered by taking the unique connected component of  $(\mathcal{P}(A, i) \otimes M_x)$  which contains the class of a polarisation. (See section 1 of [Rap].)

**Lemma 1.2** *For each place  $v$  of  $F$  above  $l$  we can find an  $M$ -HBAV  $(A_v, i_v, j_v)$  over  $F_v$  such that*

- $A_v$  either has potentially good ordinary reduction or potentially multiplicative reduction,
- the action of  $G_v$  on  $A_v[\lambda|_M]$  is equivalent to  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$
- and the action of  $G_v$  on  $A_v[\wp|_M]$  is equivalent to  $\bar{\psi}_{v_1} \oplus \bar{\psi}_{v_1^c}$ .

*Proof:* First suppose that  $\chi_v^2 = 1$ , so that (by twisting) we may suppose that  $\chi_v = 1$ . The extension  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  is described by a class in

$$\bar{q} \in H^1(G_v, k(\epsilon)) \cong F_v^\times / (F_v^\times)^l \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_l} k \cong F_v^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1} / \lambda \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1}.$$

We may choose

$$q_0 \in F_v^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \wp \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1} \subset F_v^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1}$$

such that  $q_0$  reduces to  $\bar{q} \in F_v^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1} / \lambda \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1}$ . Now set  $q = q_0 q_1$ , where we choose  $q_1 \in F_v^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \wp \lambda \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1}$  such that  $\text{tr}_{M/\mathbb{Q}}(av(q_1)) > -\text{tr}_{M/\mathbb{Q}}(av(q_0))$  for all totally positive elements  $a \in \mathcal{O}_M$ . According to section 2 of [Rap] there is a  $M$ -HBAV  $(A_v, i_v, j_v)/F_v$  such that  $A_v(F_v^{ac}) \cong ((F_v^{ac})^\times \otimes \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1}) / \mathcal{O}_M q$  as a  $\mathcal{O}_M[G_v]$ -module. This triple suffices to prove the lemma in this case.

Secondly suppose that  $\chi_v^2 \neq 1$ . By the theory of Honda and Tate we can find a simple ordinary abelian variety  $A_0/k(v)$  of dimension  $[\mathbb{Q}(\beta_v) : \mathbb{Q}]/2$  and an isomorphism  $i_0 : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta_v)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}(A_0/k(v))$  such that  $A_0[l](k(v)^{ac})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta_v)}/(\beta_v^c)$  and  $\beta_v$  is the Frobenius endomorphism of  $A_0/k(v)$ . Choose a polarisation  $\mu_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A_0^\vee$ . The corresponding Rosati involution must correspond to complex conjugation on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta_v)}$ . Set  $A_1 = A_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta_v)}} \mathcal{O}_N$ , an ordinary abelian variety of dimension  $[M : \mathbb{Q}]$  over  $k(v)$  with an embedding  $i_1 : \mathcal{O}_N \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A_1/k(v))$ . Then  $A_1[l](k(v)^{ac}) \cong \mathcal{O}_N/(\beta_v^c)$  and  $\beta_v$  is the Frobenius endomorphism. The polarisation  $\mu_0$  and the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_N \times \mathcal{O}_N &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} \\ (a, b) &\longmapsto \text{tr}_{N/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(ab^c) \end{aligned}$$

defines a polarisation  $\mu_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_1^\vee$  such that the  $\mu_1$ -Rosati involution acts as  $c$  on  $\mathcal{O}_N$ . The choice of  $\lambda_1$  makes  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_M}(A_1, A_1^\vee)$  isomorphic to a fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ . The symmetric elements then correspond to  $\mathfrak{a} \cap M$  with the order structure coming from the subset of totally positive elements. If we replace  $A_1$  by  $A_1/A_1[\mathfrak{b}]$  for some ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_N$  then  $\mathcal{P}(A_1, i_1)$  is replaced by  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}^c \cap M$  with the order structure coming from the totally positive elements. The norm map from the class group of  $N$  to the class group of  $M$  is surjective, because the Hilbert class field of  $M$  is contained in that of  $N$  and being totally positive is disjoint from  $N$  over  $M$ . Thus replacing  $A_1$  by  $A_1/A_1[\mathfrak{b}]$  for a suitable  $\mathfrak{b}$  we may suppose that  $(A_1, i_1|_{\mathcal{O}_M})$  extends to a  $M$ -HBAV  $(A_1, i_1|_{\mathcal{O}_M}, j_1)$ .

Let  $\tilde{\chi}'_v$  denote the unique continuous unramified extension of  $\tilde{\chi}_v|_{W_{\tilde{F}_v}}$  to a character

$$G_v \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{N,(\beta_v^c)}^\times \cong \mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times.$$

Serre-Tate theory tells us that liftings of the triple  $(A_1, i_1|_{\mathcal{O}_M}, j_1)$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}_v}$  are parametrised by the extensions of  $M_l/\mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\tilde{\chi}'_v)$  by  $\mu_{l^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{O}_M((\tilde{\chi}'_v)^{-1})$  as Barsotti-Tate groups over  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}_v}$ ; that is by a subgroup

$$H_f^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\tilde{\chi}'_v)^{-2})) \subset H^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\tilde{\chi}'_v)^{-2})).$$

(If  $\tilde{\chi}_v^2 \neq 1$  then

$$H_f^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\tilde{\chi}'_v)^{-2})) = H^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\tilde{\chi}'_v)^{-2})),$$

while if  $\tilde{\chi}_v^2 = 1$  then  $H_f^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\tilde{\chi}'_v)^{-2}))$  corresponds by Kummer theory to

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}_v}^\times)^\wedge \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M,l} \subset (\tilde{F}_v^\times)^\wedge \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M,l},$$

where  $X^\wedge$  denotes  $l$ -adic completion of  $X$ .) We will write  $(A_x, i_x, j_x)$  for the lift corresponding to an element  $x$  of the group  $H_f^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\tilde{\chi}'_v)^{-2}))$ . If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}_v/F_v)$  then

$$\sigma(A_x, i_x, j_x) = (A_{\sigma x}, i_{\sigma x}, j_{\sigma x}).$$

If  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_N$  then  $i_1(\gamma)$  lifts to a homomorphism from  $(A_x, i_x, j_x)$  to  $(A_y, i_y, j_y)$  if and only if  $\gamma\gamma^c = 1$  and  $\gamma^2 x = y$ , where we let  $\mathcal{O}_N$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}_{M,l}$  via the map  $\mathcal{O}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{N,\beta_v} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M,l}$ . Thus to give a triple  $(A, i, j)$  over  $F_v$  which restricts to some lift of  $(A_1, i_1, j_1)$  over  $\tilde{F}_v$  is the same as giving a character  $\psi : \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}_v/F_v) \rightarrow \mu_\infty(N)$  and an element  $x \in H_f^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\tilde{\chi}'_v)^{-2}))$  such that  $\sigma x = \psi(\sigma)^2 x$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}_v/F_v)$ , i.e. by continuous characters  $\chi' : G_v \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times$  with  $\chi'|_{W_{\tilde{F}_v}} = \tilde{\chi}_v|_{G_{\tilde{F}_v}}$  and elements

$$x \in H^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\chi')^{-2}))^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} \cap H_f^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon\tilde{\chi}_v^{-2})).$$

Note that

$$H^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\chi')^{-2}))^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} \cap H_f^1(G_{\tilde{F}_v}, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon\tilde{\chi}_v^{-2}))$$

is a subgroup of  $H^1(G_v, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\chi')^{-2}))$ , and equals  $H^1(G_v, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon(\chi')^{-2}))$  if  $(\chi'_v)^2 \neq 1$ .

Choose  $x \in H^1(G_v, \mathcal{O}_{M,l}(\epsilon\tilde{\chi}_v^{-2}))$  so that its  $\lambda$ -component

$$x_\lambda \in H^1(G_v, \mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}(\epsilon\tilde{\chi}_v^{-2}))$$

maps to the class of the extension  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  in  $H^1(G_v, \mathcal{O}_M/\lambda(\epsilon\tilde{\chi}_v^{-2}))$ . This is possible as  $H^2(G_v, \mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}(\epsilon\tilde{\chi}_v^{-2})) = (0)$  (as it is dual to  $H^0(G_v, M_\lambda/\mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}(\tilde{\chi}_v^2))$ ). Finally let  $(A_v, i_v, j_v)/K$  correspond to  $(\tilde{\chi}_v, x)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3** *For each place  $w$  of  $F$  above  $p$  there is an  $M$ -HBAV  $(A_w, i_w, j_w)$  over  $F_w$  such that*

- $A_w$  has good ordinary reduction,
- the action of  $G_w$  on  $A_w[\lambda|_M]$  is equivalent to  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_w}$
- and the action of  $G_w$  on both  $A_w[\wp|_M]$  is equivalent to  $\bar{\psi}_{w_1} \oplus \bar{\psi}_{w_1^c}$ .

*Proof:* This is proved in the same way as lemma 1.2 but is much easier so we leave the details to the reader.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4** *For each infinite place  $x$  of  $F$  there is an  $M$ -HBAV  $(A_x, i_x, j_x)$  over  $F_x$ .*

*Proof:* Choose an elliptic curve  $E/F_x$  and set  $A_x = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_M$ . Let  $i_x$  be the canonical action of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  on  $A_x$ . Finally  $A_x$  has a polarisation corresponding to the unique principal polarisation on  $E$  and the pairing  $\mathcal{O}_M \times \mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  which sends  $(a, b) \mapsto \text{tr}(ab)$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{P}(A_x, i_x) \cong \mathcal{O}_M^+$ .  $\square$

Let  $V_\lambda/F$  be the two dimensional  $(\mathcal{O}_M/\lambda)$ -vector space scheme corresponding to  $\bar{\rho}$  and fix an alternating isomorphism  $a_\lambda$  of  $V_\lambda$  with its Cartier dual. Also let  $V_\varphi$  be the two dimensional  $(\mathcal{O}_M/\varphi)$ -vector space scheme corresponding to  $\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_F} \bar{\psi}$  and fix an alternating isomorphism  $a_\varphi$  of  $V_\varphi$  with its Cartier dual. As in section 1 of [Rap] we see that there is a fine moduli space  $X/F$  for quintuples  $(A, i, j, m_\lambda, m_\varphi)$  where  $(A, i, j)$  is an  $M$ -HBAV,  $m_\lambda : V_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} A[\lambda]$  and  $m_\varphi : V_\varphi \xrightarrow{\sim} A[\varphi]$  such that  $a_\lambda$  corresponds to the  $j(1)$ -Weil pairing on  $A[\lambda]$  and  $a_\varphi$  corresponds to the  $j(1)$ -Weil pairing on  $A[\varphi]$ . (To define the moduli problem over a general base one must proceed as in section 1 of [Rap]. To see that the moduli space is fine note that  $\ker(GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}) \twoheadrightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}_M/\lambda))$  has no element of finite order other than the identity.) As in section 1 of [Rap] one can see that  $X$  is smooth and one can describe for any infinite place  $x$  of  $F$  the complex manifold  $X(F \otimes_{F_x} \mathbb{C})$  as a quotient of the product of  $[M : \mathbb{Q}]$  copies of the upper half complex plane and deduce that  $X$  is geometrically connected.

It follows from lemmas 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 that for any place  $x$  of  $F$  above  $l, p$  or infinity we have  $X(F_x) \neq \emptyset$ . (Note that  $\rho|_{G_x}$  and  $(\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_F} \bar{\psi})|_{G_x}$  are reducible and so any alternating isomorphisms of  $V_\lambda \times F_x$  or  $V_\varphi \times F_x$  with its Cartier dual are equivalent.) Applying a theorem of Moret-Bailly [M], which we recalled in the introduction (theorem G), we obtain a totally real field  $E/F$  in which every place above  $l$  and  $p$  split completely and a  $M$ -HBAV  $(A, i, j)/E$  such that

- the representation of  $G_E$  on  $A[\lambda]$  is equivalent to  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_E}$
- and the representation of  $G_E$  on  $A[\varphi]$  is equivalent to  $(\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_F} \bar{\psi})|_{G_E}$ .

Note that  $(\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_F} \bar{\psi})|_{G_E}$  is absolutely irreducible, because for any place  $x$  of  $E$  above  $p$  the restriction of  $\bar{\psi}$  to the two places of  $LE$  above  $x$  are different. Also note that  $A$  has semi-stable reduction at any prime  $x$  of  $E$  above  $p$ , because  $A[\lambda]$  is unramified at  $x$  and  $\ker(GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}) \twoheadrightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}_M/\lambda))$  has no element of finite order other than the identity. Finally note that  $T_\varphi A$  is ordinary at any prime  $x$  of  $E$  above  $p$ , because  $A$  is semistable at  $x$ ,  $E_x \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$  and the  $I_x$ -coinvariants of  $A[\varphi]$  are non-trivial.

In some cases we can conclude a little more.

**Lemma 1.5** *Suppose that  $v$  is an unramified place of  $F$  above  $l$  and that  $x$  is a place of  $E$  above  $v$ . Suppose also that  $\chi_v^2|_{I_v} = \epsilon^n|_{I_v}$  for some integer  $0 \leq n < l - 1$ . Suppose finally that if  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  is semisimple then  $n \neq 1$ . Then the representation of  $G_x$  on  $T_\lambda A \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$  has the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon(\chi'_v)^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \chi'_v \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\chi'_v$  is a tamely ramified lift of  $\chi_v$ .

*Proof:* To prove the lemma we may first replace  $A$  by  $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{O}_N$  and then replace  $A$  by a twist so that

- the representation of  $G_x$  on  $A[\lambda]$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\chi_2$  unramified and  $\chi_1|_{I_x} \sim \epsilon^{-n}$

- and the representation of  $G_x$  on  $A[\wp]$  has the form  $\psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$  with  $\psi_2$  unramified and  $\psi_1|_{I_x} = \omega^{-n}$ .

We must show that the representation of  $G_x$  on  $T_\lambda A \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi'_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi'_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\chi'_2$  is an unramified lift of  $\chi_2$ .

Looking at the action of  $G_x$  on  $T_\wp A$  we see that either  $A$  has multiplicative reduction over  $E_x$  or it has good reduction over  $E_x(\zeta_l)$ . If it has multiplicative reduction then  $\chi_1$  is unramified and the result is clear.

Suppose it has good reduction over  $E_x(\zeta_l)$ . We will also denote by  $A$  the Neron model of  $A$  over  $W(k(x)^{ac})[\zeta_l]$ . The only possible simple subquotients of the finite flat group scheme  $A[\lambda]/W(k(x)^{ac})[\zeta_l]$  are  $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_l$ . As there are no non-trivial extensions of  $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$  nor of  $\mu_l$  by  $\mu_l$  over  $W(k(x)^{ac})[\zeta_l]$  we see that there is a short exact sequence

$$(0) \longrightarrow \mu_l^{[\mathcal{O}_N/\lambda:\mathbb{F}_l]} \longrightarrow A[\lambda] \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{[\mathcal{O}_N/\lambda:\mathbb{F}_l]} \longrightarrow (0)$$

over  $W(k(x)^{ac})[\zeta_l]$ . (We are using connected-etale exact sequence and the fact that  $\text{Lie}(A[\lambda] \times \mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$  has dimension  $[\mathcal{O}_N/\lambda : \mathbb{F}_l]$ .) In particular  $A$  has ordinary reduction. If  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  is not semi-simple we are done.

So suppose  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  is semi-simple. Then  $I_x$  either acts on  $Lie(A[\lambda] \times \mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$  by  $\epsilon^{-n}$  or  $\epsilon^{-1}$ , according as  $A[\lambda]^0 \sim \epsilon\chi_1$  or  $\chi_2$  as  $G_x$ -modules. (See section 5 of [Ed].) If it acted by  $\epsilon^{-1}$  then it would also act by  $\omega^{-1}$  on some subquotient of  $A[\wp]$  (see appendix B of [CDT]). Hence  $\chi_1|_{I_x} = \omega$ , which we are assuming does not occur when  $A[\lambda]$  is semi-simple as a  $G_x$ -module.  $\square$

Because  $(\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_F} \psi)|_{G_E}$  is modular we may apply theorem 5.1 of [SW3] to deduce that  $T_\wp A$  is modular and hence that  $T_\lambda A$  is modular. Thus we have proved the following theorem in the case that  $\bar{\rho}$  has insoluble image. The case that  $\bar{\rho}$  has soluble image follows from known cases of the strong Artin conjecture (see [Tu], and [RT] for how to use congruences to ensure the regularity of  $\pi$ ).

**Theorem 1.6** *Suppose that  $l$  is an odd prime and that  $k/\mathbb{F}_l$  is a finite extension. Suppose also that  $F$  is a totally real field and that*

$$\bar{\rho} : G_F \longrightarrow GL_2(k)$$

*is a continuous irreducible representation such that*

1. *for every place  $v$  of  $F$  above  $l$  we have*

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_v} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi_v^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \chi_v \end{pmatrix}$$

2. *and for every complex conjugation  $c$  we have  $\det \bar{\rho}(c) = -1$ .*

*Then there is a finite Galois totally real extension  $E/F$  in which every prime of  $F$  above  $l$  splits completely, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$  and a place  $\lambda'$  of the field of coefficients of  $\pi$  above  $l$  such that  $\bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda'} \sim \bar{\rho}|_{G_E}$ .*

*Moreover  $E$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\lambda'$  may be chosen so that the following holds. If  $x$  is an unramified prime of  $E$  above  $l$  such that*

- $\chi_x^2|_{I_x} = \epsilon^n|_{I_x}$
- *and  $\bar{\rho}(I_x)$  does not consist of scalar matrices,*

*then*

$$\rho_{\pi, \lambda'}|_{G_x} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon(\chi'_x)^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \chi'_x \end{pmatrix}$$

*where  $\chi'_x$  is a tamely ramified lift of  $\chi_x$ .*

**Corollary 1.7** *Suppose that  $l$  is an odd prime and that  $k/\mathbb{F}_l$  is a finite extension. Suppose also that  $F$  is a totally real field and that*

$$\bar{\rho} : G_F \longrightarrow GL_2(k)$$

*is a continuous irreducible representation such that for every complex conjugation  $c$  we have  $\det \bar{\rho}(c) = -1$ . Then there is a finite Galois totally real extension  $E/F$  in which every prime of  $F$  above  $l$  is unramified with inertial degree at most 2, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$  and a place  $\lambda'$  of the field of coefficients of  $\pi$  above  $l$  such that  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda'} \sim \bar{\rho}|_{G_E}$ .*

*Moreover let  $T$  denote the set of unramified primes  $v$  of  $F$  above  $l$  such that  $\bar{\rho}(I_v)$  does not consist of scalar matrices and*

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_v} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{v,1} & * \\ 0 & \chi_{v,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

*with  $(\chi_2 \chi_1^{-1})|_{I_v} = \epsilon^n|_{I_v}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/(l-1)\mathbb{Z}$ . Then we may choose  $E$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\lambda'$  so that for any place  $x$  of  $E$  above a place  $v \in T$  we have*

$$\rho_{\pi, \lambda'}|_{G_x} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi'_{x,1} & * \\ 0 & \chi'_{x,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

*where  $\chi'_{x,2}$  is a tamely ramified lift of  $\chi_{v,2}$ .*

## 2 Applications

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $l$  be an odd prime and*

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})$$

*a continuous irreducible representation such that*

- *$\rho$  is unramified at all but finitely many primes,*
- *$\det \rho(c) = -1$ ,*
- *and*

$$\rho|_{G_l} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^n \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

*for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and some finitely ramified characters  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  for which  $(\epsilon^n \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1})(I_l)$  is not pro- $l$ .*

Then there is a finite Galois totally real extension  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ , a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$  and a place  $\lambda'$  of the field of coefficients of  $\pi$  above  $l$  such that  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda'} \sim \rho$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\bar{\rho}$  denote a reduction of some conjugate of  $\rho$  which is valued in  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^{ac}})$ . If  $\bar{\rho}$  is reducible then the theorem follows from theorem A of [SW1]. If  $\bar{\rho}$  is induced from a character of a real quadratic field then  $\bar{\rho}$  is modular (of weight 1) and so the theorem follows from theorem 5.1 of [SW3]. Otherwise  $\bar{\rho}$  remains irreducible on restriction to any totally real field. In this case the theorem follows from combining corollary 1.7 with theorem 5.1 of [SW3].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** *Keep the assumptions of theorem 2.1 and choose an isomorphism  $i : \mathbb{Q}^{ac} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ . For all but finitely many primes  $p$  the trace and determinant of  $\rho(\text{Frob}_p)$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}^{ac}$  and we have*

$$|i(\text{tr } \rho(\text{Frob}_p))| \leq 2p^{n/2}.$$

We define the  $L$ -function of  $\rho$  with respect to  $i$  to be

$$L(i\rho, s) = \frac{(1 - i\chi_{1, I_1}(\text{Frob}_l)/l^{s-n})^{-1}(1 - i\chi_{2, I_1}(\text{Frob}_l)/l^s)^{-1}}{\prod_{p \neq l} i \det(1 - \rho_{I_p}(\text{Frob}_p)/p^s)^{-1}},$$

except we drop the factor  $(1 - i\chi_{1, I_1}(\text{Frob}_l)/l^{s-n})^{-1}$  if  $n = 1$  and  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ . This converges uniformly absolutely for the real part of  $s$  sufficiently large. We also define the conductor  $N(\rho)$  to be the product

$$N(\chi_1)N(\chi_2) \prod_{p \neq l} N(\rho|_{G_p}),$$

except we replace  $N(\chi_1)$  by  $l$  if  $n = 1$  and  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  is unramified, and we drop the factor  $N(\chi_1)$  if  $n = 1$  and  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  is ramified. (Here  $N(\rho|_{G_p})$  (resp.  $N(\chi_i)$ ) is the usual conductor of  $\rho|_{G_p}$  (resp.  $\chi_i$ ).

The function  $L(i\rho, s)$  has unique meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation

$$N(\rho)^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(i\rho, s) = WN(\rho)^{(n+1-s)/2}(2\pi)^{s-1-n}\Gamma(n+1-s)L(i(\rho \otimes \epsilon^n(\det \rho)^{-1}), n+1-s),$$

where  $|W| = 1$ .

*Proof:* We will simply sketch the proof. the first assertion follows on combining theorem 2.1 with theorem 3.4.6 of [BL]. This implies the uniform absolute convergence of the L-function in some right half-plane.

By Brauer's theorem (see for instance [S1], theorems 16 and 19 in sections 8.5 and 10.5 respectively), we may find field  $F_j \subset E$  such that  $\text{Gal}(E/F_j)$  is soluble, characters  $\chi_j : \text{Gal}(E/F_j) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}^{ac})^\times$  and integers  $n_j$  such that the trivial representation of  $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  has the form

$$\sum_j n_j \text{Ind}_{G_{F_j}}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \chi_j.$$

Let  $\chi_j$  also denote the corresponding character of  $\mathbb{A}_{F_j}^\times / F_j^\times$ . By the argument of the last paragraph of the proof of theorem 2.4 of [Ta2], we see that there is a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi_j$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{F_j})$  such that  $\rho|_{G_{F_j}} \sim \rho_{\pi_j, l}$ . Then

$$L(i\rho, s) = \prod_j L(\pi_j \otimes (\chi_j \circ \det), s)^{n_j}.$$

□

**Corollary 2.3** *Suppose that  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  is an abelian variety,  $M$  is a number field with  $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$  and that  $j : \mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then the L-function of  $A$  (relative to an embedding  $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) has meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation*

$$N(A)^{s/2} (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(A, s) = WN(A)^{(2-s)/2} (2\pi)^{s-2} \Gamma(2-s) L(A^\vee, 2-s),$$

where  $N(A)$  denotes the conductor of  $A$  and where  $|W| = 1$ .

*Proof:* By the last corollary it suffices to find a prime  $\lambda$  of  $M$  such that  $T_\lambda A$  is ordinary at  $l$ . Fix a prime  $\mu$  of  $M$ . Using the Weil bound, we see that it suffices to find a prime  $l > 3$  which is unramified in  $M$ , at which  $A$  has good reduction, which does not divide the residue characteristic of  $\mu$  and such that

$$\text{tr } \rho_{A, \mu}(\text{Frob}_l) \neq 0.$$

The construction of such a prime  $l$  is a standard application of the Chebotarev density theorem. □

**Corollary 2.4** *Keep the assumptions of theorem 2.1 and if  $n = 1$  further assume that*

- for some prime  $p \neq l$  we have

$$\rho|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\rho$  occurs in the  $l$ -adic cohomology (with coefficients in some Tate twist of the constant sheaf) of some variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $n = 1$  then there exists a number field  $M$ , a prime  $\lambda$  of  $M$  above  $l$ , an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $[M : \mathbb{Q}]$  and an embedding  $\mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$\rho_{A,\lambda} \sim \rho.$$

*Proof:* The first part follows by combining theorem 2.1 with theorem 2.5.1 of [BR] and using restriction of scalars.

By theorem 2.1 and (for instance) theorem 4.12 (and proposition 2.5) of [H] there is a totally real field  $E$ , a number field  $N$ , a prime  $\lambda'$  of  $N$  above  $l$ , an abelian variety  $B/E$  of dimension  $[N : \mathbb{Q}]$  and an embedding  $\mathcal{O}_N \hookrightarrow \text{End}(B/E)$  such that

$$\rho_{B,\lambda'} \sim \rho|_{G_E}.$$

Let  $C$  denote the restriction of scalars from  $E$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $B$ . Then

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(C/\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong P \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i,$$

where all simple constituents of  $P$  are non-abelian and where  $M_i$  are finite extensions of  $N$ . We have a corresponding decomposition up to isogeny

$$C \sim A_P \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_i,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{M_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(A_i/\mathbb{Q})$ . Note that

$$V_{\lambda'} C \cong \text{Ind}_{G_E}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}} V_{\lambda'} B \cong X \oplus Y$$

where  $X \sim \rho$  but  $X$  is not equivalent to any subquotient of  $Y$ . By Faltings theorem  $(\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(C/\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})_{\lambda'}$  has a corresponding decomposition  $P_X \oplus P_Y$  where  $P_X \hookrightarrow \text{End}(X)$  and  $P_Y \hookrightarrow \text{End}(Y)$ . Thus for some choice of  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and some prime  $\lambda_i$  of  $M_i$  above  $\lambda'$  we have  $V_{\lambda_i} A_i = X$ . Take  $M = M_i$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  and  $A = A_i$ .  $\square$

Our final theorem results by combining the last with a beautiful result of Ramakrishna [Ram] (but see theorem 1.3 of [Ta2] for the precise formulation we are using here).

**Theorem 2.5** *Suppose that  $l$  is an odd prime and that*

$$\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}})$$

*is a continuous irreducible representation such that  $\det \bar{\rho}(c) = -1$  and*

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_l} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

*with  $\chi_1|_{I_l} \neq \chi_2|_{I_l}$ . Then there exists a number field  $M$ , a prime  $\lambda$  of  $M$  above  $l$ , an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $[M : \mathbb{Q}]$  and an embedding  $\mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\bar{\rho}$  is equivalent to the representation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on  $A[\lambda]$ .*

## References

- [BL] J.-L.Brylinski and J.-P.Labesse, *Cohomologie d'intersection et fonctions  $L$  de certaines variétés de Shimura*, Ann. Sci. ENS 17 (1984), 361-412.
- [BR] D.Blasius and J.Rogawski, *Motives for Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. 114 (1993), 55-87.
- [CDT] B.Conrad, F.Diamond and R.Taylor, *Modularity of certain potentially Barsotti-Tate Galois representations*, JAMS 12 (1999), 521-567.
- [Dia1] F.Diamond, *On deformation rings and Hecke rings*, Ann. Math. 144 (1996), 137-166.
- [Dia2] F.Diamond, *The Taylor-Wiles construction and multiplicity one*, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), 379-391.
- [Dic] M.Dickinson, *On the modularity of certain 2-adic Galois representations*, preprint.
- [Ed] S.Edixhoven, *The weight in Serre's conjectures on modular forms*, Invent. Math. 109 (1992), 563-594.
- [F] K.Fujiwara, *Deformation rings and Hecke algebras in the totally real case*, preprint.
- [FM] J.-M.Fontaine and B.Mazur, *Geometric Galois representations*, in "Elliptic curves, modular forms and Fermat's last theorem", International Press 1995.

- [H] H.Hida, *On abelian varieties with complex multiplication as factors of the Jacobians of Shimura curves*, Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), 726-776.
- [L] R.Langlands, *Base change for  $GL(2)$* , PUP 1980.
- [M] L.Moret-Bailly, *Groupes de Picard et problèmes de Skolem II*, Ann. Sci. ENS 22 (1989), 181–194.
- [Ram] R.Ramakrishna, *Deforming Galois representations and the conjectures of Serre and Fontaine-Mazur*, preprint.
- [Rap] M.Rapoport, *Compactifications de l'espace de modules de Hilbert-Blumental*, Comp. Math. 36 (1978), 255-335.
- [RT] J.Rogawski and J.Tunnell, *On Artin  $L$ -functions associated to Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. 74 (1983), 1-42.
- [S1] J.-P.Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Springer 1977.
- [S2] J.-P.Serre, *Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , Duke Math. J. 54 (1987), 179-230.
- [SBT] N.Shepherd-Barron and R.Taylor, *Mod 2 and mod 5 icosahedral representations*, JAMS 10 (1997), 281-332.
- [SW1] C.Skinner and A.Wiles, *Residually reducible representations and modular forms*, to appear Pub. Math. IHES.
- [SW2] C.Skinner and A.Wiles, *Base change and a problem of Serre*, preprint.
- [SW3] C.Skinner and A.Wiles, *Nearly ordinary deformations of irreducible residual representations*, preprint.
- [Ta1] R.Taylor, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. 98 (1989), 265-280.
- [Ta2] R.Taylor, *On icosahedral Artin representations II*, preprint.
- [Tu] J.Tunnell, *Artin's conjecture for representations of octahedral type*, Bull. AMS 5 (1981), 173-175.
- [TW] R.Taylor and A.Wiles, *Ring theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. 141 (1995), 553-572.
- [W] A.Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem*, Ann. of Math. 141 (1995), 443-551.