

On the meromorphic continuation of degree two  
*L*-functions

Richard Taylor <sup>1</sup>  
Department of Mathematics,  
Harvard University,  
Cambridge,  
MA 02138,  
U.S.A.

December 4, 2001

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9702885

# Introduction

In this paper we extend the results of [Tay4] from the ordinary to the crystalline, low weight case. The underlying ideas are the same. However this extension allows us to prove the meromorphic continuation and functional equation for the  $L$ -function of any regular (i.e. distinct Hodge numbers) rank two “motive” over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We avoid having to know what is meant by “motive” by working instead with systems of  $l$ -adic representations satisfying certain conditions which will be satisfied by the  $l$ -adic realisations of any “motive”.

More precisely by a *rank 2 weakly compatible system of  $l$ -adic representations*  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  we shall mean a 5-tuple  $(M, S, \{Q_p(X)\}, \{\rho_\lambda\}, \{n_1, n_2\})$  where

- $M$  is a number field;
- $S$  is a finite set of rational primes;
- for each prime  $p \notin S$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $Q_p(X)$  is a monic degree 2 polynomial in  $M[X]$ ;
- for each prime  $\lambda$  of  $M$  (with residue characteristic  $l$  say)

$$\rho_\lambda : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow GL_2(M_\lambda)$$

is a continuous representation such that, if  $l \notin S$  then  $\rho_\lambda|_{G_l}$  is crystalline, and if  $p \notin S \cup \{l\}$  then  $\rho_\lambda$  is unramified at  $p$  and  $\rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_p)$  has characteristic polynomial  $Q_p(X)$ ; and

- $n_1, n_2$  are integers such that for all primes  $\lambda$  of  $M$  (lying above a rational prime  $l$ ) the representation  $\rho_\lambda|_{G_l}$  is Hodge-Tate with numbers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , i.e.  $\rho_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{ac} \cong (M_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{ac})(-n_1) \oplus (M_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{ac})(-n_2)$  as  $M_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{ac}$ -modules with  $M_\lambda$ -linear,  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{ac}$ -semilinear  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -actions.

We call  $\mathcal{R}$  *regular* if  $n_1 \neq n_2$  and  $\det \rho_\lambda(c) = -1$  for one (and hence all) primes  $\lambda$  of  $M$ . We remark that if  $\mathcal{R}$  arises from a regular (distinct Hodge numbers) motive then one can use the Hodge realisation to check that  $\det \rho_\lambda(c) = -1$  for all  $\lambda$ . Thus we consider this oddness condition part of regularity. It is not difficult to see that either all the  $\rho_\lambda$  are absolutely reducible or all are absolutely irreducible. In the former (resp. latter) case we call  $\mathcal{R}$  *reducible* (resp. *irreducible*). Then we prove the following theorem.

We will call  $\mathcal{R}$  *strongly compatible* if for each rational prime  $p$  there is a Weil-Deligne representation  $\text{WD}_p(\mathcal{R})$  of  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  such that for primes  $\lambda$  of  $M$  not dividing  $p$ ,  $\text{WD}_p(\mathcal{R})$  is equivalent to the Frobenius semi-simplification of the

Weil-Deligne representation associated to  $\rho_\lambda|_{G_p}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is strongly compatible and if  $i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  then we define an  $L$ -function  $L(i\mathcal{R}, s)$  as the infinite product

$$L(i\mathcal{R}, s) = \prod_p L_p(i\text{WD}_p(\mathcal{R})^\vee \otimes |\text{Art}^{-1}|_p^{-s})^{-1}$$

which may or may not converge. Fix an additive character  $\Psi = \prod \Psi_p$  of  $\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\Psi_\infty(x) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}x}$ , and a Haar measure  $dx = \prod dx_p$  on  $\mathbb{A}$  with  $dx_\infty$  the usual measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and with  $dx(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ . If, say,  $n_1 > n_2$  then we can also define an  $\epsilon$ -factor  $\epsilon(i\mathcal{R}, s)$  by the formula

$$\epsilon(i\mathcal{R}, s) = \sqrt{-1}^{1+n_1-n_2} \prod_p \epsilon(i\text{WD}_p(\text{RS})^\vee \otimes |\text{Art}^{-1}|_p^{-s}, \Psi_p, dx_p).$$

(See [Tat] for the relation between  $l$ -adic representations of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and Weil-Deligne representations of  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , and also for the definition of the local  $L$  and  $\epsilon$ -factors.)

**Theorem A** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{R} = (M, S, \{Q_x(X)\}, \{\rho_\lambda\}, \{n_1, n_2\})/\mathbb{Q}$  is a regular, irreducible, rank 2 weakly compatible system of  $l$ -adic representations with  $n_1 > n_2$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

1. *For all rational primes  $p \notin S$  and for all  $i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the roots of  $i(Q_p(X))$  have absolute value  $p^{-(n_1+n_2)/2}$ .*
2.  *$\mathcal{R}$  is strongly compatible.*
3. *For all  $i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the  $L$ -function  $L(i\mathcal{R}, s)$  converges to a meromorphic function in  $\text{Re } s > 1 - (n_1 + n_2)/2$ ,  $L(i\mathcal{R}, s)$  has meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation*

$$(2\pi)^{-(s+n_1)} \Gamma(s+n_1) L(i\mathcal{R}, s) = \epsilon(i\mathcal{R}, s) (2\pi)^{s+n_2-1} \Gamma(1-n_2-s) L(i\mathcal{R}^\vee, 1-s).$$

For example suppose that  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  is a rigid Calabi-Yau 3-fold, where by rigid we mean that  $H^{2,1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = (0)$ . Then the zeta function  $\zeta_X(s)$  of  $X$  has meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation relating  $\zeta_X(s)$  and  $\zeta_X(4-s)$ . A more precise statement can be found in section six.

Along the way we prove the following result which may also be of interest. It partially confirms the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, see [FM].

**Theorem B** *Let  $l > 3$  be a prime and let  $2 \leq k \leq (l+1)/2$  be an integer. Let  $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})$  be a continuous irreducible representation such that*

- $\rho$  is ramified at only finitely many primes,
- $\det \rho(c) = -1$ ,
- $\rho|_{G_l}$  is crystalline with Hodge-Tate numbers 0 and  $1 - k$ .

Then the following assertions hold.

1. There is a Galois totally real field  $F$  in which  $l$  is unramified, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda$  of the field of rationality of  $\pi$  into  $\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that
  - $\rho_{\pi, \lambda} \sim \rho|_{G_F}$ ,
  - $\pi_x$  is unramified for all places  $x$  of  $E$  above  $l$ , and
  - $\pi_\infty$  has weight  $k$ .
2. If  $\rho$  is unramified at a prime  $p$  and if  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of  $\rho(\text{Frob}_p)$  then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{ac}$  and for any isomorphism  $i : \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$|i\alpha|^2 = p^{(k-1)/2}.$$

3. Fix an isomorphism  $i : \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ . There is a rational function  $L_{l,i}(X) \in \mathbb{C}(X)$  such that the product

$$L(i\rho, s) = L_{l,i}(l^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \neq l} i \det(1 - \rho_{I_p}(\text{Frob}_p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

converges in  $\text{Re } s > (k+1)/2$  and extends to a meromorphic function on the entire complex plane which satisfies a functional equation

$$(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(i\rho, s) = WN(\rho)^{k/2-s} (2\pi)^{s-k} \Gamma(k-s) L(i(\rho^\vee \otimes \epsilon^{k-1}), k-s),$$

where  $\epsilon$  denotes the cyclotomic character, where  $N(\rho)$  denotes the conductor of  $\rho$  (which is prime to  $l$ ), and where  $W$  is a complex number. ( $W$  is given in terms of local  $\epsilon$ -factors in the natural way. See section 6 for details.)

4. If  $k = 2$  further assume that for some prime  $p \neq l$  we have

$$\rho|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\rho$  occurs in the  $l$ -adic cohomology (with coefficients in some Tate twist of the constant sheaf) of some variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

For further discussion of the background to these results and for a sketch of the arguments we use we refer the reader to the introduction of [Tay4].

The first three sections of this paper are taken up generalising results of Wiles [W2] and of Wiles and the author [TW] to totally real fields. Previous work along these lines has been undertaken by Fujiwara [Fu] (unpublished) and Skinner and Wiles [SW2]. However the generalisation we need is not available in the literature, so we give the necessary arguments here. We claim no great originality, this is mostly a technical exercise. We hope, however, that other authors may find theorems 2.6, 3.2 and 3.3 of some use.

In the fourth and fifth sections we generalise some of our results from [Tay4] about a potential version of Serre's conjecture. This is the most original part of this paper. The main result is theorem 5.7. Finally in section six we combine theorems 3.3 and 5.7 to deduce the main results of this paper which we have summarised above.

## Notation

Throughout this paper  $l$  will denote a rational prime, usually assumed to be odd and often assumed to be  $> 3$ .

If  $K$  is a perfect field we will let  $K^{ac}$  denote its algebraic closure and  $G_K$  denote its absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K^{ac}/K)$ . If moreover  $p$  is a prime number different from the characteristic of  $K$  then we will let  $\epsilon_p : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  denote the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character and  $\omega_p$  the Teichmüller lift of  $\epsilon_p \bmod p$ . In the case  $p = l$  we will drop the subscripts and write simply  $\epsilon = \epsilon_l$  and  $\omega = \omega_l$ . We will let  $c$  denote complex conjugation on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

If  $K$  is an  $l$ -adic field we will let  $|\cdot|_K$  denote the absolute value on  $K$  normalised to take uniformisers to the inverse of the cardinality of the residue field of  $K$ . We will let  $I_K$  denote the inertia subgroup of  $G_K$ ,  $W_K$  denote the Weil group of  $K$  and  $\text{Frob}_K \in W_K/I_K$  an arithmetic Frobenius element. We will also let  $\text{Art} : K^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} W_K^{\text{ab}}$  denote the Artin map normalised to take uniformisers to arithmetic Frobenius elements. Please note these unfortunate conventions. We apologise for making them. (They are inherited from [CDT].) By an  $n$ -dimensional Weil-Deligne representation of  $W_K$  over a field  $M$  we shall mean a pair  $(r, N)$  where  $r : W_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(M)$  is a homomorphism with open kernel and where  $N \in M_n(M)$  satisfies

$$r(\sigma)Nr(\sigma)^{-1} = |\text{Art}^{-1}\sigma|_K^{-1}N$$

for all  $\sigma \in W_K$ . We call  $(r, N)$  Frobenius semi-simple if  $r$  is semi-simple. For

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  we define a character  $\omega_{K,n} : I_K \rightarrow (K^{ac})^\times$  by

$$\omega_{K,n}(\sigma) = \sigma(\sqrt[n]{l}) / \sqrt[n]{l}.$$

We will often write  $\omega_n$  for  $\omega_{\mathbb{Q}_l,n}$ . Note that  $\omega_{K,1} = \omega$ .

Now suppose that  $K/\mathbb{Q}_l$  is a finite unramified extension, that  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring of integers of a finite extension of  $K$  with maximal ideal  $\lambda$  and that  $2 \leq k \leq l-1$ . Let  $\mathcal{MF}_{K,\mathcal{O},k}$  denote the abelian category whose objects are finite length  $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathcal{O}$ -modules  $D$  together with a distinguished submodule  $D^0$  and Frobenius  $\otimes 1$ -semilinear maps  $\varphi_{1-k} : D \rightarrow D$  and  $\varphi_0 : D^0 \rightarrow D^0$  such that

- $\varphi_{1-k}|_{D^0} = l^{k-1}\varphi_0$ , and
- $\text{Im } \varphi_{1-k} + \text{Im } \varphi_0 = D^0$ .

Also let  $\mathcal{MF}_{K,\mathcal{O}/\lambda^n,k}$  denote the full subcategory of objects  $D$  with  $\lambda^n D = (0)$ . If  $D$  is an object of  $\mathcal{MF}_{K,\mathcal{O},k}$  we define  $D^*[1-k]$  by

- $D^*[1-k] = \text{Hom}(D, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ ;
- $D^*[1-k]^0 = \text{Hom}(D/D^0, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ ;
- $\varphi_{1-k}(f)(z) = f(l^{k-1}x + y)$ , where  $z = \varphi_{1-k}(x) + \varphi_0(y)$ ;
- $\varphi_0(f)(z) = f(x \bmod D^0)$ , where  $z \equiv \varphi_{1-k}(x) \bmod (\varphi_0 D^0)$ .

There is a fully faithful,  $\mathcal{O}$ -length preserving, exact,  $\mathcal{O}$ -additive, covariant functor  $\mathbb{M}$  from  $\mathcal{MF}_{K,\mathcal{O},k}$  to the category of continuous  $\mathcal{O}[G_K]$ -modules with essential image closed under the formation of sub-objects. (See [FL], especially section 9. In the notation of that paper  $\mathbb{M}(D) = \underline{U}_S(D^*)$ , where  $D^*$  is  $D^*[1-k]$  with its filtration shifted by  $k-1$ . The reader could also consult section 2.5 of [DDT], where the case  $k=2$  and  $K=\mathbb{Q}_l$  is discussed.)

If  $K$  is a number field and  $x$  is a finite place of  $K$  we will write  $K_x$  for the completion of  $K$  at  $x$ ,  $k(x)$  for the residue field of  $x$ ,  $\varpi_x$  for a uniformiser in  $K_x$ ,  $G_x$  for a decomposition group above  $x$ ,  $I_x$  for the inertia subgroup of  $G_x$ , and  $\text{Frob}_x$  for an arithmetic Frobenius element in  $G_x/I_x$ . We will also let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote the integers of  $K$ ,  $\mathfrak{d}_K$  the different of  $K$  and  $k(x)$  denote the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  at  $x$ . If  $S$  is a finite set of places of  $K$  we will write  $K_S^\times$  for the subgroup of  $K^\times$  consisting of elements which are units outside  $S$ . We will write  $\mathbb{A}_K$  for the adèles of  $K$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  for  $\prod_x |\cdot|_{F_x} : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$ . We also use  $\text{Art}$  to denote the global Artin map, normalised compatibly with our local normalisations.

We will write  $\mu_N$  for the group scheme of  $N^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. We will write  $W(k)$  for the Witt vectors of  $k$ . If  $G$  is a group,  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\rho$  a representation of  $G$ , then we will let  $\rho^H$  (resp.  $\rho_H$ ) denote the representation of  $G/H$  on the  $H$ -invariants (resp.  $H$ -coinvariants) of  $\rho$ . We will also let  $\rho^{\text{ss}}$  denote the semisimplification of  $\rho$ ,  $\text{ad } \rho$  denote the adjoint representation and  $\text{ad}^0 \rho$  denote the kernel of the trace map from  $\text{ad } \rho$  to the trivial representation.

Suppose that  $A/K$  is an abelian variety with an action of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  for some number field  $M$  over a perfect field  $K$ . Suppose also that  $X$  is a finite index  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -submodule of a free  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -module. If  $X$  is free with basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  then by  $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X$  we shall simply mean  $A^r$ . Note that for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X)[\mathfrak{a}] \cong A[\mathfrak{a}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X.$$

In general if  $Y \supset X \supset \mathfrak{a}Y$  with  $Y$  free and  $\mathfrak{a}$  a non-zero principal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  prime to the characteristic of  $K$  then we will set

$$(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X) = (A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathfrak{a}Y) / (A[\mathfrak{a}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X / \mathfrak{a}Y).$$

This is canonically independent of the choice of  $Y \supset X$  and again we get an identification

$$(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X)[\mathfrak{a}] \cong A[\mathfrak{a}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X.$$

If  $X$  has an action of some  $\mathcal{O}_M$  algebra then  $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X$  canonically inherits such an action. We also get a canonical identification  $(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X)^\vee \cong A^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \text{Hom}(X, \mathcal{O}_M)$ . Suppose that  $\mu : A \rightarrow A^\vee$  is a polarisation which induces an involution  $c$  on  $M$ . Note that  $c$  equals complex conjugation for every embedding  $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose also that  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_M}(X, \mathcal{O}_M)$  is  $c$ -semilinear. If for all  $x \in X - \{0\}$ , the totally real number  $f(x)(x)$  is totally strictly positive then  $\mu \otimes f : A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X \rightarrow (A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X)^\vee$  is again a polarisation which induces  $c$  on  $M$ .

If  $\lambda$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  prime to the characteristic of  $K$  we will write  $\bar{\rho}_{A,\lambda}$  for the representation of  $G_K$  on  $A[\lambda](K^{ac})$ . If  $\lambda$  is prime we will write  $T_\lambda A$  for the  $\lambda$ -adic Tate module of  $A$ ,  $V_\lambda A$  for  $T_\lambda A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  for the representation of  $G_K$  on  $V_\lambda A$ . We have a canonical isomorphism  $T_\lambda(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X) \xrightarrow{\sim} (T_\lambda A) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} X$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is a totally real field. By an ordered invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -module we shall mean an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -module  $X$  together with a choice of connected component  $X_x^+$  of  $(X \otimes M_x) - \{0\}$  for each infinite place  $x$  of  $M$ . If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a fractional ideal in  $M$  then we will denote by  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  the invertible ordered  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -module  $(\mathfrak{a}, \{(M_x^\times)^0\})$ , where  $(M_x^\times)^0$  denotes the connected component of 1 in  $M_x^\times$ . By an  $M$ -HBAV over a field  $K$  we shall mean a triple  $(A, i, j)$  where

- $A/K$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $[M : \mathbb{Q}]$ ,
- $i : \mathcal{O}_M \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/K)$
- and  $j : (\mathfrak{d}_M^{-1})^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}(A, i)$  is an isomorphism of ordered invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -modules.

Here  $\mathcal{P}(A, i)$  is the invertible  $\mathcal{O}_M$  module of symmetric (i.e.  $f^\vee = f$ ) homomorphisms  $f : (A, i) \rightarrow (A^\vee, i^\vee)$  which is ordered by taking the unique connected component of  $(\mathcal{P}(A, i) \otimes M_x)$  which contains the class of a polarisation. (See section 1 of [Rap].)

If  $\lambda$  is a prime of  $M$  and if  $x \in \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1}$  then  $j(x) : A \rightarrow A^\vee$  gives rise to an alternating pairing

$$e_{j,x,0} : T_\lambda A \times T_\lambda A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l(1).$$

This corresponds to a unique  $\mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}$ -bilinear alternating pairing

$$e_{j,x} : T_\lambda A \times T_\lambda A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{d}_{M,\lambda}^{-1}(1),$$

which are related by  $e_{j,x,0} = \text{tr} \circ e_{j,x}$ . The pairing  $x^{-1}e_{j,x}$  is independent of  $x$  and gives a perfect  $\mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}$ -bilinear alternating pairing

$$e_j : T_\lambda A \times T_\lambda A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}(1),$$

which we will call the  $j$ -Weil pairing. (See section 1 of [Rap].) Again using the trace, we can think of  $e_j$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}$ -linear isomorphism

$$\tilde{e}_j : T_\lambda A \otimes \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(T_\lambda A, \mathbb{Z}_l(1)).$$

More precisely

$$\tilde{e}_j(a \otimes y)(b) = \text{tr}(ye_j(a, b)) = e_{j,x,0}(x^{-1}ya, b).$$

The same formula (for  $x \in \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1} - \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}_M^{-1}$ ) gives rise to an  $\mathcal{O}_{M,\lambda}$ -linear isomorphism

$$\tilde{e}_j : A[\mathfrak{a}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathfrak{d}_M^{-1} \longrightarrow A[\mathfrak{a}]^\vee,$$

which is independent of  $x$  and which we will refer to as the  $j$ -Weil pairing on  $A[\mathfrak{a}]$ .

Suppose that  $F$  is a totally real number field and that  $\pi$  is an algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  with field of definition (or coefficients)  $M \subset \mathbb{C}$ . (That is  $M$  is the fixed field of the group of automorphisms  $\sigma$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\sigma\pi = \pi$ . By the strong multiplicity one theorem this is the same as the fixed field of the group of automorphisms  $\sigma$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  with

$\sigma\pi_x \cong \pi_x$  for all but finitely many places  $x$  of  $F$ .) We will say that  $\pi_\infty$  has weight  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})}$  if for each infinite place  $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the representation  $\pi_\tau$  is the  $(k_\tau - 1)^{\text{st}}$  lowest discrete series representation of  $GL_2(F_x) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (or in the case  $k_\tau = 1$  the limit of discrete series representation) with central character  $a \mapsto a^{2-k_\tau-2w_\tau}$ . Note that  $k_\tau + 2w_\tau$  must be independent of  $\tau$ . If  $\pi_\infty$  has weight  $((k, \dots, k), (0, \dots, 0))$  we will simply say that it has weight  $k$ . In some cases, including the cases that  $\pi_\infty$  is regular (i.e.  $k_\tau > 1$  for all  $\tau$ ) and the case  $\pi_\infty$  has weight 1, it is known that  $M$  is a CM number field and that for each rational prime  $l$  and each embedding  $\lambda : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  there is a continuous irreducible representation

$$\rho_{\pi, \lambda} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(M_\lambda)$$

canonically associated to  $\pi$ . For any prime  $x$  of  $F$  not dividing  $l$  the restriction  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}|_{G_x}$  depends up to Frobenius semi-simplification only on  $\pi_x$  (and  $\lambda$ ). (See [Tay1] for details.) We will write  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}|_{W_{F_x}}^{ss} = \text{WD}_\lambda(\pi_x)$ , where  $\text{WD}_\lambda(\pi_x)$  is a semi-simple two-dimensional representation of  $W_{F_x}$ . If  $\pi_x$  is unramified then  $\text{WD}_\lambda(\pi_x)$  is also unramified and  $\text{WD}_\lambda(\pi_x)(\text{Frob}_x)$  has characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 - t_x X + (\mathbf{N}x)s_x$$

where  $t_x$  (resp.  $s_x$ ) is the eigenvalue of

$$\left[ GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_x}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_x}) \right]$$

(resp. of

$$\left[ GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_x}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_x}) \right])$$

on  $\pi_x^{GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_x})}$ . An explicit description of some other instances of  $\text{WD}_\lambda(\pi_x)$  may be found in section 4 of [CDT].

We may always conjugate  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$  so that it is valued in  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{M, \lambda})$  and then reduce it to get a continuous representation  $G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$ . If for one such choice of conjugate the resulting representation is irreducible then it is independent of the choice of conjugate and we will denote it  $\bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda}$ .

## 1 $l$ -adic modular forms on definite quaternion algebras

In this section we will establish some notation and recall some facts about  $l$ -adic modular forms on some definite quaternion algebras.

To this end, fix a prime  $l > 3$  and a totally real field  $F$  of even degree in which  $l$  is unramified. Let  $D$  denote the division algebra with centre  $F$  which ramifies exactly at the set of infinite places of  $F$ . Fix a maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_D$  in  $D$  and isomorphisms  $\mathcal{O}_{D,x} \cong M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  for all finite places  $x$  of  $F$ . These choices allow us to identify  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  with  $(D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty)^\times$ . For each finite place  $x$  of  $F$  also fix a uniformiser  $\varpi_x$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{F,x}$ . Also let  $A$  be a topological  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -algebra which is either an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ , the ring of integers in such an extension or a quotient of such a ring of integers.

Let  $U = \prod_x U_x$  be an open compact subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  and let  $\psi : (\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times / F^\times \rightarrow A^\times$  be a continuous character. Also let  $\tau : U_l \rightarrow \text{Aut}(W_\tau)$  be a continuous representation of  $A$  on a finite  $A$ -module  $W_\tau$  such that

$$\tau|_{U_l \cap \mathcal{O}_{F,l}^\times} = \psi|_{U_l \cap \mathcal{O}_{F,l}^\times}^{-1}.$$

We will write  $W_{\tau,\psi}$  for  $W_\tau$  when we want to think of it as a  $U(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$ -module with  $U$  acting via  $\tau$  and  $(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$  by  $\psi^{-1}$ .

We define  $S_{\tau,\psi}(U)$  to be the space of continuous functions

$$f : D^\times \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \longrightarrow W_\tau$$

such that

- $f(gu) = \tau(u_l)^{-1} f(g)$  for all  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  and all  $u \in U$ , and
- $f(gz) = \psi(z) f(g)$  for all  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  and all  $z \in (\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$ .

If

$$GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) = \prod_i D^\times t_i U(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\tau,\psi}(U) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_i W_{\tau,\psi}^{(U(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap t_i^{-1} D^\times t_i) / F^\times} \\ f &\longmapsto (f(t_i))_i. \end{aligned}$$

The index set over which  $i$  runs is finite.

**Lemma 1.1** *Each group  $(U(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap t_i^{-1} D^\times t_i) / F^\times$  is finite and, as we are assuming  $l > 3$  and  $l$  is unramified in  $F$ , the order of  $(U(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap t_i^{-1} D^\times t_i) / F^\times$  is not divisible by  $l$ .*

*Proof:* Set  $V = \prod_x \not\propto_{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{F,x}^\times$ . Then we have exact sequences

$$(0) \longrightarrow (UV \cap t_i^{-1} D^{\det=1} t_i) / \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow (U(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap t_i^{-1} D^\times t_i) / F^\times \longrightarrow (((\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times)^2 V \cap F^\times) / (F^\times)^2 \longrightarrow (0)$$

and

$$(0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times / (\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^2 \longrightarrow (((\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times)^2 V \cap F^\times) / (F^\times)^2 \longrightarrow H[2] \longrightarrow (0),$$

where  $H$  denotes the class group of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . We see that  $(((\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times)^2 V \cap F^\times) / (F^\times)^2$  is finite of 2-power order. Moreover  $UV \cap t_i^{-1} D^{\det=1} t_i$  is finite. For  $l > 3$  and  $l$  unramified in  $F$ ,  $D^\times$  and hence  $UV \cap t_i^{-1} D^{\det=1} t_i$  contain no elements of order exactly  $l$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2** *If  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra then*

$$S_{\tau, \psi}(U) \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{\tau \otimes_A B, \psi}(U).$$

If  $x \nmid l$ , or if  $x \mid l$  but  $\tau|_{U_x} = 1$ , then the Hecke algebra  $A[U_x \backslash GL_2(F_x) / U_x]$  acts on  $S_{\tau, \psi}(U)$ . Explicitly, if

$$U_x h U_x = \coprod_i h_i U_x$$

then

$$([U_x h U_x] f)(g) = \sum_i f(g h_i).$$

Let  $U_0$  denote  $\prod_x GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$ . Now suppose that  $\mathfrak{n}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and that, for each finite place  $x$  of  $F$  dividing  $\mathfrak{n}$ ,  $H_x$  is a quotient of  $(\mathcal{O}_{F,x} / \mathfrak{n}_x)^\times$ . Then we will write  $H$  for  $\prod_{x \mid \mathfrak{n}} H_x$  and we will let  $U_H(\mathfrak{n}) = \prod_x U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x$  denote the open subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  defined by setting  $U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x$  to be the subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  consisting of elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $c \in \mathfrak{n}_x$  and, in the case  $x \mid \mathfrak{n}$ , with  $ad^{-1}$  mapping to 1 in  $H_x$ .

If  $x \nmid \mathfrak{n}$  then we will let  $T_x$  denote the Hecke operator

$$\left[ U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \right]$$

and  $S_x$  the Hecke operator

$$\left[ U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \right].$$

If  $x|\mathfrak{n}$  and, either  $x \nmid l$  or  $x|l$  but  $\tau|_{U_H(\mathfrak{n})} = 1$ , then we will set

$$\langle h \rangle = \left[ U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{h} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \right]$$

for  $h \in H_x$  and  $\tilde{h}$  a lift of  $h$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{F,x}^\times$ ; and

$$\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x} = \left[ U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \right];$$

and

$$\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x} = \left[ U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \right];$$

and

$$S_{\varpi_x} = \left[ U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n}) \right].$$

For  $x|\mathfrak{n}$  we note the decompositions

$$U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x = \prod_{a \in k(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & \tilde{a} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x,$$

and

$$U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x = \prod_{a \in k(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ \varpi_x \tilde{a} & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x$$

and

$$U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} U_H(\mathfrak{n})_x,$$

where  $\tilde{a}$  is some lift of  $a$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{F,x}$ .

We will  $h_{\tau,A,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))$  denote the  $A$ -subalgebra of  $\text{End}_A(S_{\tau,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n})))$  generated by  $T_x$  for  $x \nmid l$  and by  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for  $x|\mathfrak{n}$  but  $x \nmid l$ . It is commutative. We will call a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $h_{\tau,A,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))$  *Eisenstein* if it contains  $T_x - 2$  and  $S_x - 1$  for all but finitely many primes  $x$  of  $F$  which split completely in some finite abelian extension of  $F$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and we will let  $\text{Sym}^{k-2}(A^2)$  denote the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k-2$  in two variables  $X$  and  $Y$  over  $A$  with a  $GL_2(A)$ -action via

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} f \right) (X, Y) = f \left( (X, Y) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = f(aX + cY, bX + dY).$$

Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_L$  algebra for some extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}_l$  containing the images of all embeddings  $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$ . Suppose that  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})}$  is such that  $k_\sigma + 2w_\sigma$  is independent of  $\sigma$ . We will write  $\tau_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A}$  for the representation of  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,l})$  on  $W_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A} = \bigotimes_{\sigma: F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}} \text{Sym}^{k_\sigma - 2}(A^2)$  via

$$g \longmapsto \bigotimes_{\sigma: F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}} (\text{Sym}^{k_\sigma - 2}(\sigma g) \otimes \det^{w_\sigma}(\sigma g)).$$

We will also write  $S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A, \psi}(U)$  for  $S_{\tau_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A, \psi}}(U)$ . Let  $S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A, \psi}^{\text{triv}}(U)$  denote (0) unless  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w}) = ((2, \dots, 2), (w, \dots, w))$ , in which case let it denote the subspace of  $S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A, \psi}(U)$  consisting of functions  $f$  which factor through the reduced norm. Set

$$S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A, \psi}(U_l) = \lim_{\rightarrow U^l} S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A, \psi}(U^l \times U_l).$$

It has a smooth action of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty, l})$  (by right translation). If  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w}) = ((k, \dots, k), (0, \dots, 0))$  then we will often write  $k$  in place of  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w})$ . Set

$$S_{2, A, \psi} = \lim_{\rightarrow U} S_{2, A, \psi}(U)$$

and

$$S_{2, A, \psi}^{\text{triv}} = \lim_{\rightarrow U} S_{2, A, \psi}^{\text{triv}}(U).$$

They have smooth actions of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ .

**Lemma 1.3** *Suppose that  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})}$  and  $w = k_\sigma - 1 + 2w_\sigma$  is independent of  $\sigma$ . Also suppose that  $\psi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})^\times$  is a continuous character satisfying  $\psi(a) = (\mathbf{N}a)^{1-w}$  for all  $a$  in a non-empty open subgroup of  $F_l^\times$ . Choose an isomorphism  $i : \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $i(\vec{k}, \vec{w}) = (i\vec{k}, i\vec{w}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$  by  $(i\vec{k})_\tau = \vec{k}_{i^{-1}\tau}$  and  $(i\vec{w})_\tau = \vec{w}_{i^{-1}\tau}$ . Also define  $\psi_i : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  by  $\psi_i(z) = i((\mathbf{N}z_l)^{w-1} \psi(z^\infty)) (\mathbf{N}z_\infty)^{1-w}$ . Then we have the following assertions.*

1.  $S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U_l)$  is a semi-simple admissible representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty, l})$  and  $S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U_l)^{U^l} = S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U_l \times U^l)$ .

2. There is an isomorphism

$$(S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U_l) / S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}^{\text{triv}}(U_l)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, i} \mathbb{C} \cong \bigoplus_{\pi} \pi^{\infty, l} \otimes \pi_l^{U_l}$$

where  $\pi$  runs over regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  such that  $\pi_\infty$  has weight  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w})$  and such that  $\pi$  has central character  $\psi_\infty$ .

3.  $S_{2, \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}$  is a semi-simple admissible representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  and

$$S_{2, \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}^U = S_{2, \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U).$$

4. There is an isomorphism

$$S_{2, \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, i} \mathbb{C} \cong \bigoplus_{\chi} \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}(\chi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\pi} \pi^\infty$$

where  $\pi$  runs over regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  such that  $\pi_\infty$  has weight 2 and such that  $\pi$  has central character  $\psi_\infty$ , and where  $\chi$  runs over characters  $(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times / F_{>>0}^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})^\times$  with  $\chi^2 = \psi$ .

*Proof:* We will explain the first two parts. The other two are similar. Let  $C^\infty(D^\times \backslash (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A})^\times / U_l, \psi_\infty)$  denote the space of smooth functions

$$D^\times \backslash (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A})^\times / U_l \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

which transform under  $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$  by  $\psi_\infty$ . Let  $\tau_\infty$  denote the representation of  $D_\infty^\times$  on  $W_{\tau_\infty} = W_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}} \otimes_i \mathbb{C}$  via

$$g \longmapsto \otimes_{\sigma: F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}} (\text{Symm}^{k_\sigma - 2}(i\sigma g) \otimes \det^{w_\sigma}(i\sigma g)).$$

Then there is an isomorphism

$$S_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{D_\infty^\times}(W_{\tau_\infty}^\vee, C^\infty(D^\times \backslash (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A})^\times / U_l, \psi_\infty))$$

which sends  $f$  to the map

$$y \longmapsto (g \longmapsto y(\tau_\infty(g_\infty)^{-1} \tau_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}}(g_l) f(g^\infty))).$$

Everything now follows from the Jacquet-Langlands theorem.  $\square$

There is a pairing

$$\text{Symm}^{k-2}(A^2) \times \text{Symm}^{k-2}(A^2) \longrightarrow A$$

defined by

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = (f_1(\partial/\partial Y, -\partial/\partial X) f_2(X, Y))|_{X=Y=0}.$$

By looking at the pairing of monomials we see that

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = (-1)^k \langle f_2, f_1 \rangle$$

and that if  $2 \leq k \leq l + 1$  then this pairing is perfect. Moreover if

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(A)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle uf_1, uf_2 \rangle \\ &= (f_1(a\partial/\partial Y - c\partial/\partial X, b\partial/\partial Y - d\partial/\partial X)f_2(aX + cY, bX + dY))|_{X=Y=0} \\ &= (f_1((\det u)\partial/\partial W, -(\det u)\partial/\partial Z)f_2(Z, W))|_{Z=W=0} \\ &= (\det u)^{k-2} \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z = aX + cY$  and  $W = bX + dY$ . This extends to a perfect pairing  $W_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A} \times W_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A} \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\langle ux, uy \rangle = (\mathbf{N} \det u)^{w-1} \langle x, y \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in W_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), A}$  and all  $u \in GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{Fl})$ . Here  $w = k_\sigma + 2w_\sigma - 1$ , which is independent of  $\sigma$ .

We can define a perfect pairing  $S_{k, A, \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n})) \times S_{k, A, \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n})) \rightarrow A$  by setting  $(f_1, f_2)$  equal to

$$\sum_{[x]} \langle f_1(x), f_2(x) \rangle \psi(\det x)^{-1} (\#(U_H(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap x^{-1}D^\times x)/F^\times)^{-1},$$

where  $[x]$  ranges over  $D^\times \setminus (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty)^\times / U_H(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$ . (We are using the fact that  $\#(U_H(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap x^{-1}D^\times x)/F^\times$  is prime to  $l$ .) The usual calculation shows that

$$([U_{H'}(\mathfrak{n}')gU_H(\mathfrak{n})]f_1, f_2)_{U_{H'}(\mathfrak{n}')} = \psi(\det g)(f_1, [U_H(\mathfrak{n})g^{-1}U_{H'}(\mathfrak{n}')]f_2)_{U_H(\mathfrak{n})}.$$

Now specialise to the case that  $A = \mathcal{O}$  is the ring on integers of a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We will write simply  $h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))$  for  $h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \mathcal{O}, \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))$ . It follows from lemma 1.3 and the main theorem [Tay1] that there is a continuous representation

$$\rho : G_F \longrightarrow GL_2(h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})$$

such that

- if  $x \nmid \mathfrak{nl}$  the  $\rho$  is unramified at  $x$  and  $\text{tr } \rho(\text{Frob}_x) = T_x$ ; and
- $\det \rho = \epsilon(\psi \circ \text{Art}^{-1})$ .

From the theory of pseudo-representations (or otherwise, see [C2]) we deduce that if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a non-Eisenstein maximal ideal of  $h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))$  then  $\rho$  gives rise to a continuous representation

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_F \longrightarrow GL_2(h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}})$$

such that

- if  $x \nmid nl$  the  $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is unramified at  $x$  and  $\text{tr } \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_x) = T_x$ ; and
- $\det \rho_{\mathfrak{m}} = \epsilon(\psi \circ \text{Art}^{-1})$ .

From the Chebotarev density theorem we see that  $h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is generated by  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for  $x \mid \mathfrak{n}$  but  $x \nmid l$  and by  $T_x$  for all but finitely many  $x \nmid \mathfrak{n}$ .

We will write  $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for  $(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} \bmod \mathfrak{m})$ . If  $\phi : h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow R$  is a map of local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras then we will write  $\rho_{\phi}$  for  $\phi \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . If  $R$  is a field of characteristic  $l$  we will sometimes write  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi}$  instead of  $\rho_{\phi}$ .

**Lemma 1.4** *Let  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w})$  be as above. Suppose that  $x \nmid \mathfrak{n}$  is a split place of  $F$  above  $l$  such that  $2 \leq k_x \leq l - 1$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a non-Eisenstein maximal ideal of  $h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))$  and if  $I$  is an open ideal of  $h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$  then  $(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \epsilon^{-w_x}) \bmod I|_{G_x}$  is of the form  $\mathbb{M}(D)$  for some object  $D$  of  $\mathcal{MF}_{F_x, \mathcal{O}, k_x}$  with  $D \neq D^0 \neq (0)$ .*

*Proof:* Combining the construction of  $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$  with the basic properties of  $\mathbb{M}$  listed in the section of notation, we see that it suffices to prove the following.

*Suppose that  $\pi$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  such that  $\pi_{\infty}$  is regular algebraic of weight  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w})$ . Let  $M$  denote the field of definition of  $\pi$ . Suppose that  $x$  is a split place of  $F$  above  $l$  with  $\pi_x$  unramified. Let  $M^{ac}$  denote the algebraic closure of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and fix an embedding  $\lambda : M^{ac} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$ . Let  $\tau : F \hookrightarrow M^{ac}$  be the embedding so that  $\lambda \circ \tau$  gives rise to  $x$ . Suppose that  $2 \leq k_{\tau} \leq l - 1$ . If  $I$  is a power of the prime of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  induced by  $\lambda$ , then  $(\rho_{\pi, \lambda} \otimes \epsilon^{-w_{\tau}})|_{G_x} \bmod I$  is of the form  $\mathbb{M}(D)$  for some object  $D$  of  $\mathcal{MF}_{F_x, \mathcal{O}_M, \lambda, k_{\tau}}$  with  $D \neq D^0 \neq (0)$ .*

By the construction of  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$  in [Tay1], our assumption that  $(\rho_{\pi, \lambda} \bmod \lambda)$  is irreducible, and the basic properties of  $\mathbb{M}$ , we see that it suffices to treat the case that  $\pi_y$  is discrete series for some finite place  $y$  (cf [Tay2]). Because  $2 \leq k_{\tau} \leq l - 1$ , it follows from [FL] that we need only show that  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$  is crystalline with Hodge-Tate numbers  $-w_{\tau}$  and  $1 - k_{\tau} - w_{\tau}$ . In the case  $\pi_y$  is discrete series for some finite place  $y$  this presumably follows from Carayol's construction of  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$  [C1] and Faltings theory [Fa], but for a definite reference we refer the reader to theorem VII.1.9 of [HT] (but note the different, more sensible, conventions in force in that paper).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5** *Suppose that  $x \nmid \mathfrak{n}$  is a split place of  $F$ . Suppose that  $(\vec{k}, \vec{w})$  is as above and that  $2 \leq k_x \leq l - 1$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a non-Eisenstein maximal ideal of  $h_{(\vec{k}, \vec{w}), \psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))$  then  $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{I_x} \sim \omega_2^{k_x-1+(l+1)w_x} \oplus \omega_2^{l(k_x-1)+(l+1)w_x}$  or*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega^{k_x+w_x-1} & * \\ 0 & \omega^{w_x} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof:* This follows easily from the above lemma together with theorem 5.3, proposition 7.8 and theorem 8.4 of [FL].  $\square$

The following lemma is well known.

**Lemma 1.6** *Suppose that  $x$  is a finite place of  $F$  and that  $\pi$  is an irreducible admissible representation of  $GL_2(F)$ . If  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are two characters of  $F^\times$ , let  $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$  denote the induced representation consisting of locally constant functions  $GL_2(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} g\right) = \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b)|a/b|_x^{1/2} f(g)$$

(with  $GL_2(F)$ -action by right translation). Let  $U_1$  (resp.  $U_2$ ) denote the subgroup of elements in  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  which are congruent to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bmod (\varpi_x)$$

(resp.

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \bmod (\varpi_x^2)).$$

1. If  $\pi^{U_1} \neq (0)$  then  $\pi$  is a subquotient of some  $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$  where the conductors of  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are  $\leq 1$ .
2. If the conductors of  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are  $\leq 1$  then

$$\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)^{U_1}$$

is two dimensional with a basis  $e_1, e_2$  such that

$$\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x} e_i = (\mathbf{N}x)^{1/2} \chi_i(\varpi_x) e_i$$

and

$$\langle h \rangle e_i = \chi_i(h) e_i$$

for  $h \in (\mathcal{O}_{F,x}/x)^\times$ .

3. If  $\pi^{U_2} \neq (0)$  then  $\pi$  is either cuspidal or a subquotient of some  $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$  where the conductors of  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are equal and  $\leq 1$ .
4. If  $\pi$  is cuspidal then  $\dim \pi^{U_2} \leq 1$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  acts as zero on  $\pi^{U_2}$ .
5. If  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  have conductor 1 then  $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)^{U_2}$  is one dimensional and  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  acts on it as 0.
6. If  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  have conductor 0 then  $\pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)^{U_2}$  is three dimensional and  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  acts on it with characteristic polynomial

$$X(X - (\mathbf{N}x)^{1/2}\chi_1(\varpi_x))(X - (\mathbf{N}x)^{1/2}\chi_2(\varpi_x)).$$

As a consequence we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.7** *Suppose that  $\xi : h_{k,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  and that  $x \nmid l$ .*

1. *If  $x(\mathfrak{n}) = 1$  and if  $\xi'$  is any extension of  $\xi$  to the subalgebra of  $\text{End}(S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}})$  generated by  $h_{k,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $\langle h \rangle$  for  $h \in H$ , then*

$$\xi(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}})|_{G_x} \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \chi_x \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\chi_x(\text{Art } \varpi_x) = \xi(\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x})$  and, for  $u \in \mathcal{O}_{F,x}^{\times}$ , we have  $\chi_x(\text{Art } u) = \xi'(\langle u \rangle)$ .

2. *If  $x(\mathfrak{n}) = 2$  and  $H_x = \{1\}$  then either  $\xi(\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}) = 0$  or  $\xi(\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x})$  is an eigenvalue of  $\xi(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}})|_{G_x}(\sigma)$  for any  $\sigma \in G_x$  lifting  $\text{Frob}_x$ .*

We also get the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.8** 1. *If  $x \nmid l$ ,  $x(\mathfrak{n}) = 1$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}^2 - (\mathbf{N}x)\psi(\varpi_x) \notin \mathfrak{m}$  then*

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_x} \sim \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \chi_x \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\chi_x(\text{Art } \varpi_x) = \mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  and  $\chi_x(\text{Art } u) = \langle u \rangle$  for  $u \in \mathcal{O}_{F,x}^{\times}$ . In particular  $\langle h \rangle \in h_{k,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for all  $h \in H_x$ .

2. *If  $x \nmid l$ ,  $x(\mathfrak{n}) = 2$ ,  $H_x = \{1\}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x} \in \mathfrak{m}$  then  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x} = 0$  in  $h_{k,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .*
3. *If  $l$  is coprime to  $\mathfrak{n}$  and for all  $x|\mathfrak{n}$  we have  $x(\mathfrak{n}) = 2$ ,  $H_x = \{1\}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x} \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then the algebra  $h_{k,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is reduced.*

*Proof:* The first part follows from the previous lemma via a Hensel's lemma argument. For the second part one observes that by the last lemma  $\xi(\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}) = 0$  for all  $\xi : h_{k,\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$ . Hence by lemma 1.6 we have that  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x} = 0$  on  $S_{k,\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac},\psi}(U_H(\mathfrak{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . The third part follows from the second.  $\square$

## 2 Deformation rings and Hecke algebras I

In this section we extend the method of [TW] to totally real fields. This relies crucially on the improvement to the argument of [TW] found independently by Diamond [Dia] and Fujiwara (see [Fu], unpublished). Following this advance it has been clear to experts that some extension to totally real fields would be possible, the only question was the exact extent of the generalisation. Fujiwara has circulated some unpublished notes [Fu]. Then Skinner and Wiles made a rather complete analysis of the ordinary case (see [SW2]). We will treat the low weight, crystalline case. As will be clear to the reader, we have not tried to work in maximal generality, rather we treat the case of importance for this paper. We apologise for this. It would be very helpful to have these results documented in the greatest possible generality.

In this section and the next let  $F$  denote a totally real field of even degree in which a prime  $l > 3$  splits completely. (As the reader will be able to check without undue difficulty it would suffice to assume that  $l$  is unramified in  $F$ .) Let  $D$  denote the quaternion algebra centre  $F$  which is ramified at exactly the infinite places, let  $\mathcal{O}_D$  denote a maximal order in  $D$  and fix isomorphisms  $\mathcal{O}_{D,x} \cong M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  for all finite places  $x$  of  $F$ . Let  $2 \leq k \leq l - 1$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})^\times$  be a continuous character such that

- if  $x \nmid l$  is a prime of  $F$  the  $\psi|_{\mathcal{O}_{F,x}^\times} = 1$ ,
- $\psi|_{\mathcal{O}_{F,l}^\times}(u) = (\mathbf{N}u)^{2-k}$ , and
- $\epsilon(\psi \circ \text{Art})$  reduces to  $\det \bar{\rho}_\phi$ .

For each finite place  $x$  of  $F$  choose a uniformiser  $\varpi_x$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{F,x}$ . Suppose that  $\phi : h_{k, \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$  is a homomorphism with non-Eisenstein kernel, which we will denote  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the ring of integers of a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_l$  with maximal ideal  $\lambda$  such that

- $K$  contains the image of every embedding  $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$ ,
- $\psi$  is valued in  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ ,
- there is a homomorphism  $\tilde{\phi} : h_{k, \mathcal{O}, \psi}(U_0)_\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ , and
- all the eigenvalues of all elements of the image of  $\bar{\rho}_\phi$  are rational over  $\mathcal{O}/\lambda$ .

For any finite set  $\Sigma$  of finite places of  $F$  not dividing  $l$  we will consider the functor  $\mathcal{D}_\Sigma$  from complete noetherian local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras with residue field  $\mathcal{O}/\lambda$  to sets which sends  $R$  to the set of  $1_2 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_R)$ -conjugacy classes of liftings  $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(R)$  of  $\bar{\rho}_\phi$  such that

- $\rho$  is unramified outside  $l$  and  $\Sigma$ ,
- $\det \rho = \epsilon(\psi \circ \text{Art}^{-1})$ , and
- for each place  $x$  of  $F$  above  $l$  and for each finite length (as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module) quotient  $R/I$  of  $R$  the  $\mathcal{O}[G_x]$ -module  $(R/I)^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}(D)$  for some object  $D$  of  $\mathcal{MF}_{F_x, \mathcal{O}, k}$ .

This functor is represented by a universal deformation

$$\rho_\Sigma : G_F \longrightarrow GL_2(R_\Sigma).$$

(This is now very standard, see for instance appendix A of [CDT].)

Now let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of finite places of  $F$  not dividing  $l$  such that if  $x \in \Sigma$  then

- $\mathbf{N}x \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ ,
- $\bar{\rho}_\phi$  is unramified at  $x$  and  $\bar{\rho}_\phi(\text{Frob}_x)$  has distinct eigenvalues  $\alpha_x \neq \beta_x$ .

By Hensel's lemma the polynomial  $X^2 - T_x X + (\mathbf{N}x)\psi(\varpi_x)$  splits as  $(X - A_x)(X - B_x)$  in  $h_{k, \mathcal{O}, \psi}(U_0)_\mathfrak{m}$ , where  $A_x \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} = \alpha_x$  and  $B_x \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} = \beta_x$ . For  $x \in \Sigma$  we will let  $\Delta_x$  denote the maximal  $l$ -power quotient of  $(\mathcal{O}_F/x)^\times$ . We will let  $\mathfrak{n}_\Sigma = \prod_{x \in \Sigma} x$ ;  $\Delta_\Sigma = \prod_{x \in \Sigma} \Delta_x$ ;  $U_{0, \Sigma} = U_{\{1\}}(\mathfrak{n}_\Sigma)$ ; and  $U_{1, \Sigma} = U_{\Delta_\Sigma}(\mathfrak{n}_\Sigma)$ . We will let  $\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma$  denote the ideal of either  $h_{k, \psi}(U_{0, \Sigma})$  or  $h_{k, \psi}(U_{1, \Sigma})$  generated by

- $l$ ;
- $T_x - \text{tr } \bar{\rho}_\phi(\text{Frob}_x)$  for  $x \notin \Sigma$ ; and
- $U_{\varpi_x} - \alpha_x$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\Sigma$  satisfy the assumptions of the last paragraph.*

1. *If  $x \in \Sigma$  then  $\rho_\Sigma|_{G_x} \sim \chi_{\alpha_x} \oplus \chi_{\beta_x}$  where  $\chi_{\alpha_x} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_\Sigma}}$  is unramified and takes  $\text{Frob}_x$  to  $\alpha_x$ .*
2.  *$\chi_{\alpha_x} \circ \text{Art}|_{\mathcal{O}_{F,x}^\times}$  factors through  $\Delta_x$ , and these maps make  $R_\Sigma$  into a  $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_\Sigma]$ -module.*

3. The universal property of  $R_\Sigma$  gives rise to a surjection of  $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_\Sigma]$ -algebras

$$R_\Sigma \twoheadrightarrow h_{k,\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma}$$

under which  $\rho_\Sigma$  pushes forward to  $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma}$ .

*Proof:* The first part is proved in exactly the same manner as lemma 2.44 of [DDT]. The second part is the clear. The third part is clear because for  $x \notin \Sigma$  we have  $\text{tr } \rho_\Sigma(\text{Frob}_x) \mapsto T_x$  while for  $x \in \Sigma$  we have  $\chi_{\alpha,x}(\varpi_x) \mapsto \mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2** *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma-\{x\}})_{\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma-\{x\}}} &\longrightarrow S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma} \\ f &\longmapsto A_x f - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} f \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism which induces an isomorphism

$$\eta^* : h_{k,\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} h_{k,\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma-\{x\}})_{\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma-\{x\}}}.$$

*Proof:* The map  $\eta$  is well defined because  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x} \circ \eta = \eta \circ A_x$ . It is injective with torsion free cokernel because the composition of  $\eta$  with the adjoint of the natural inclusion  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma-\{x\}}) \hookrightarrow S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma})$  is  $lA_x - B_x \notin \mathfrak{m}_\Sigma$ . As  $\alpha_x/\beta_x \neq (\mathbf{N}x)^{\pm 1}$ , no lift of  $\bar{\rho}_\phi$  with determinant  $\epsilon(\psi \circ \text{Art}^{-1})$  has conductor at  $x$  exactly  $x$ . Thus

$$S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma} = (S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma-\{x\}}) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma-\{x\}}))_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma}.$$

As

$$\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}(f_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} f_2) = (T_x f_1 + (\mathbf{N}x)\psi(\varpi_x)f_2) - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} f_1$$

and the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_x & (\mathbf{N}x)\psi(\varpi_x) \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues  $A_x$  and  $B_x$  which are distinct mod  $\mathfrak{m}$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

We remark that  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})$  is a  $\Delta_\Sigma$ -module via  $h \mapsto \langle h \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.3** 1.  $\sum_{h \in \Delta_\Sigma} \langle h \rangle : S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})_{\Delta_\Sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma})$ .

2.  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_\Sigma]$ -module.

*Proof:* The second assertion follows from the first as we can compute that

$$\dim S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K = [U_{0,\Sigma} : U_{1,\Sigma}] \dim S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K.$$

(We use the fact that  $[U_{0,\Sigma} : U_{1,\Sigma}]$  is coprime to  $\#(U_{0,\Sigma}(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap x^{-1}D^\times x)/F^\times$  for all  $x \in (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty)^\times$ .)

Using the duality introduced above it suffices to check that the natural map

$$S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{0,\Sigma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K/\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow (S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K/\mathcal{O})^{\Delta_\Sigma}$$

is an isomorphism. This is immediate from the definitions and the fact that  $l \nmid \#(U_{0,\Sigma}(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap x^{-1}D^\times x)/F^\times$  for all  $x \in (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty)^\times$ .  $\square$

As  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma}$  is a direct summand of  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})$ , we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.4** 1.  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma, \Delta_\Sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_0)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  compatibly with a map  $h_{k,\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma} \rightarrow h_{k,\psi}(U_0)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  sending  $T_x$  to  $T_x$  for  $x \notin \mathfrak{m}_\Sigma$ ,  $\langle h \rangle$  to 1 for  $h \in \Delta_\Sigma$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  to  $A_x$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ .

2.  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_{1,\Sigma})_{\mathfrak{m}_\Sigma}$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_\Sigma]$ -module.

Suppose that  $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}/\lambda^n)$  is a lifting of  $\bar{\rho}_\phi$  corresponding to some map  $R_\emptyset \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\lambda^n$ . If  $x$  is a place of  $F$  above  $l$  and if  $(\mathcal{O}/\lambda^n)^2 \cong \mathbb{M}(D)$  as a  $G_x$ -module, then we set

$$H_f^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \rho) = H^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \cap \text{Im}(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_{F_x}, \mathcal{O}/\lambda^n, k}}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow H^1(G_x, \text{ad} \rho)).$$

Exactly as in section 2.5 of [DDT] we see that

$$\text{Im}(\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_{F_x}, \mathcal{O}/\lambda^n, k}}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow H^1(G_x, \text{ad} \rho)) \cong (\mathcal{O}/\lambda^n)^2 \oplus H^0(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \rho).$$

If two continuous  $\mathcal{O}[G_x]$ -modules have the same restriction to  $I_x$ , then one is in the image of  $\mathbb{M}$  if and only if the other is. We conclude that the image of the composite

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}/\lambda^n, k}}^1(D, D) \longrightarrow H^1(G_x, \text{ad} \rho) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H^1(G_x, \mathcal{O}/\lambda^n)$$

is at least one dimensional (coming from unramified twists) and hence that

$$\#H_f^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_\phi) \mid \#(\mathcal{O}/\lambda^n) \#H^0(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_\phi).$$

We will let  $H_{\Sigma}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho)$  denote the kernel of the map

$$H^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \nmid n_{\Sigma} l} H^1(I_x, \text{ad}^0 \rho) \oplus \bigoplus_{x|l} H^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \rho) / H_f^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \rho).$$

The trace pairing  $(a, b) \mapsto \text{tr } ab$  gives a perfect duality on  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}$ . For  $x|l$  we will let  $H_f^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$  denote the annihilator in  $H^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$  of  $H_f^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi})$  under Tate local duality. We will also let  $H_{\Sigma}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$  denote the kernel of the restriction map from  $H^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$  to

$$\bigoplus_{x \nmid n_{\Sigma} l} H^1(I_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1)) \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in \Sigma} H^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1)) \oplus \bigoplus_{x|l} H^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}) / H_f^1(G_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$$

so that

$$H_{\Sigma}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1)) = \ker(H_{\emptyset}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \Sigma} H^1(G_x / I_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))).$$

A standard calculation (see for instance section 2.7 of [DDT]) shows that shows that

$$H_{\Sigma}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\Sigma}} / \mathfrak{m}_{R_{\Sigma}}^2, \mathcal{O} / \lambda),$$

so that  $R_{\Sigma}$  can be topologically generated by  $\dim H_{\Sigma}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi})$  elements as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra. A formula of Wiles (see theorem 2.19 of [DDT]) then tells us that  $R_{\Sigma}$  can be topologically generated as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra by

$$\#\Sigma + \dim H_{\Sigma}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$$

elements.

**Lemma 2.5** *Suppose that the restriction of  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi}$  to  $F(\sqrt{(-1)^{(l-1)/2} l})$  is irreducible. Then for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  we can find a set  $\Sigma_m$  of primes such that*

1.  $\#\Sigma_m = \dim H_{\emptyset}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$ ,
2.  $R_{\Sigma_m}$  can be topologically generated by  $\dim H_{\emptyset}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$  elements as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra,
3. if  $x \in \Sigma$  then  $\mathbf{N}x \equiv 1 \pmod{l^m}$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi}(\text{Frob}_x)$  has distinct eigenvalues  $\alpha_x$  and  $\beta_x$ .

*Proof:* By the above calculation we may replace the second requirement by the requirement that  $H_{\Sigma}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1)) = (0)$ . Then we may suppress the first requirement, because any set satisfying the modified second requirement and the third requirement can be shrunk to one which also satisfies the first requirement. (Note that for  $x$  satisfying the third requirement  $H^1(G_x/I_x, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$  is one dimensional.) Next, by the Chebotarev density theorem, it suffices to show that for  $[\gamma] \in H_{\emptyset}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$  we can find  $\sigma \in G_F$  such that

- $\sigma|_{F(\zeta_{lm})} = 1$ ,
- $\bar{\rho}_{\phi}(\sigma)$  has distinct eigenvalues, and
- $\gamma(\sigma) \notin (\sigma - 1)\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}$ .

Let  $F_m$  denote the extension of  $F(\zeta_{lm})$  cut out by  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$ . Finally it will suffice to show that

1.  $H^1(\text{Gal}(F_m/F), \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) = (0)$ ; and
2. for any non-trivial irreducible  $\text{Gal}(F_m/F)$ -submodule  $V$  of  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}$  we can find  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_m/F(\zeta_{lm}))$  such that  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(\sigma)$  has an eigenvalue other than 1 but  $\sigma$  does have an eigenvalue 1 on  $V$ .

(Given  $[\gamma] \in H_{\emptyset}^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}(1))$  the first assertion tells us that the  $\mathcal{O}/\lambda$ -span of  $\gamma G_{F_m}$  contains some non-trivial irreducible  $\text{Gal}(F_m/F)$ -submodule  $V$  of  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be as in the second assertion for this  $V$ . Then for some  $\sigma' \in G_{F_m}$  we will have

$$\gamma(\sigma'\sigma) = \gamma(\sigma') + \gamma(\sigma) \notin (\sigma - 1)\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}.)$$

Because  $l > 3$  is unramified in  $F$ , we see that  $[F(\zeta_l) : F] > 2$  and so, by the argument of the penultimate paragraph of the proof of theorem 2.49 of [DDT],  $H^1(\text{Gal}(F_m/F), \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) = (0)$ .

Suppose that  $V$  is an irreducible  $\text{Gal}(F_m/F)$ -submodule of  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}$  and write  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi} = V \oplus W$ . If  $W = (0)$  any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_m/F(\zeta_{lm}))$  with an eigenvalue other than 1 on  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{\phi}$  will suffice to prove the second assertion. Thus suppose that  $W \neq (0)$ . If  $\dim W = 1$  then  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi}$  is induced from a character of some quadratic extension  $E/F$  and any  $\sigma \notin G_E$  will suffice to prove the second assertion (as  $E$  is not a subfield of  $F(\zeta_{lm})$ ). If  $\dim W = 2$  then  $G_F$  acts on  $V$  via a quadratic character corresponding to some quadratic extension  $E/F$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi}$  is induced from some character  $\chi$  of  $G_E$ . Let  $\chi'$  denote the  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ -conjugate of  $\chi$ . Then any  $\sigma \in G_{E(\zeta_{lm})}$  with  $\chi/\chi'(\sigma) \neq 1$  will suffice to prove the second assertion. (Such a  $\sigma$  will exist unless the restriction of

$\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_\phi$  to  $E(\sqrt{(-1)^{(l-1)/2}l})$  is trivial in which case  $\bar{\rho}_\phi$  becomes reducible over  $F(\sqrt{(-1)^{(l-1)/2}l})$ , which we are assuming is not the case.)  $\square$

Combining lemma 2.5, corollary 2.4 and theorem 2.1 of [Dia] we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6** *Keep the notation and assumptions of the second and fourth paragraphs of this section and suppose that the restriction of  $\bar{\rho}_\phi$  to the absolute Galois group of  $F(\sqrt{(-1)^{(l-1)/2}l})$  is irreducible. Then the natural map*

$$R_\emptyset \longrightarrow h_{k,\psi}(U_0)_\mathfrak{m}$$

*is an isomorphism of complete intersections and  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_0)_\mathfrak{m}$  is finite free as a  $h_{k,\psi}(U_0)_\mathfrak{m}$ -module.*

### 3 Deformation rings and Hecke algebras II

In this section we use analogues of Wiles' arguments from [W2] to extend the isomorphism of theorem 2.6 from  $\emptyset$  to any  $\Sigma$ .

We will keep the notation and assumptions of the last section. ( $\Sigma$  will again be *any* finite set of finite places of  $F$  not dividing  $l$ .) Let  $\rho_{\tilde{\phi}} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O})$  denote the Galois representation corresponding to  $\tilde{\phi}$  (a chosen lift of  $\phi$ ). The universal property of  $R_\Sigma$  gives maps

$$R_\Sigma \twoheadrightarrow R_\emptyset \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \mathcal{O}.$$

We will denote the kernel by  $\wp_\Sigma$ . A standard calculation (see section 2.7 of [DDT]) shows that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\wp_\Sigma/\wp_\Sigma^2, K/\mathcal{O}) \cong H_\Sigma^1(G_F, (\text{ad}^0 \rho) \otimes K/\mathcal{O}),$$

where

$$H_\Sigma^1(G_F, (\text{ad}^0 \rho) \otimes K/\mathcal{O}) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} H_\Sigma^1(G_F, (\text{ad}^0 \rho) \otimes \lambda^{-n}/\mathcal{O}).$$

In particular we see that

$$\#\ker(\wp_\Sigma/\wp_\Sigma^2 \twoheadrightarrow \wp_\emptyset/\wp_\emptyset^2) = \#(H_\Sigma^1(G_F, (\text{ad}^0 \rho) \otimes K/\mathcal{O})/H_\emptyset^1(G_F, (\text{ad}^0 \rho) \otimes K/\mathcal{O}))$$

divides

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{x \in \Sigma} \#H^1(I_x, (\text{ad}^0 \rho) \otimes K/\mathcal{O})^{G_x} \\ &= \prod_{x \in \Sigma} \#H^0(G_x, (\text{ad}^0 \rho) \otimes K/\mathcal{O}(-1)) \\ &= \prod_{x \in \Sigma} \#\mathcal{O}/(1 - \mathbf{N}x)((1 + \mathbf{N}x)^2 \det \rho(\text{Frob}_x) - (\mathbf{N}x)(\text{tr } \rho \text{Frob}_x))\mathcal{O}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{n}'_\Sigma$  denote the product of the squares of the primes in  $\Sigma$  and set  $U_\Sigma = U_{\{1\}}(\mathfrak{n}'_\Sigma)$ . Let  $h_\Sigma = h_{k,\psi}(U_\Sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}'_\Sigma}$  and  $S_\Sigma = S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_\Sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}'_\Sigma}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}'_\Sigma$  is the maximal ideal of  $h_{k,\psi}(U_\Sigma)$  generated by

- $\lambda$ ,
- $T_x - \text{tr } \bar{\rho}_\phi(\text{Frob}_x)$  for  $x \nmid \mathfrak{n}'_\Sigma$ , and
- $U_{\varpi_x}$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ .

The Galois representation  $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}'_\Sigma}$  induces a homomorphism  $R_\Sigma \rightarrow h_\Sigma$  which takes  $\text{tr } \rho_\Sigma(\text{Frob}_x)$  to  $T_x$  for all  $x \nmid \mathfrak{n}'_\Sigma$ . Corollary 1.8 tells us that for  $x \in \Sigma$  we have  $U_{\varpi_x} = 0$  in  $h_\Sigma$  and that  $h_\Sigma$  is reduced. In particular the map  $R_\Sigma \rightarrow h_\Sigma$  is surjective.

From lemma 1.3, lemma 1.6 and the strong multiplicity one theorem for  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  we see that  $\dim(S_\Sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K)[\wp_\Sigma] = 1$ .

We can write

$$S_\Sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K = (S_\Sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K)[\wp_\Sigma] \oplus (S_\Sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K)[\text{Ann}_{h_\Sigma}(\wp_\Sigma h_\Sigma)].$$

We set

$$\Omega_\Sigma = S_\Sigma / (S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma] \oplus S_\Sigma[\text{Ann}_{h_\Sigma}(\wp_\Sigma h_\Sigma)]).$$

By theorem 2.4 of [Dia] and theorem 2.6 above, we see that

$$\#\Omega_\emptyset = \#\wp_\emptyset / \wp_\emptyset^2.$$

Let  $w_\Sigma \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  be defined by  $w_{\sigma,x} = 1_2$  if  $x \notin \Sigma$  and

$$w_{\Sigma,x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi_x^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $x \in \Sigma$ . Then  $w_\Sigma$  normalises  $U_\Sigma$ . We define a new pairing on  $S_{k,\mathcal{O},\psi}(U_\Sigma)$  by

$$(f_1, f_2)' = \left( \prod_{x \in \Sigma} \psi(\varpi_x) \right)^{-1} (f_1, w_\Sigma f_2).$$

Because  $(\ , \ )$  is a perfect pairing so is  $(\ , \ )'$ . Moreover the action of any element of  $h_{k,\psi}(U_\Sigma)$  is self adjoint with respect to  $(\ , \ )'$ , so that  $(\ , \ )'$  restricts to a perfect pairing on  $S_\Sigma$ . Choose a perfect  $\mathcal{O}$ -bilinear pairing on  $S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma]$ , let  $j_\Sigma$  denote the natural inclusion

$$j_\Sigma : S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma] \hookrightarrow S_\Sigma,$$

and let  $j_\Sigma^\dagger$  denote the adjoint of  $j_\Sigma$  with respect to  $(\ , \ )'$  on  $S_\Sigma$  and the chosen pairing on  $S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma]$ . Then one sees that

$$j_\Sigma^\dagger : \Omega_\Sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma]/j_\Sigma^\dagger S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma].$$

If  $x \notin \mathfrak{m}'_\Sigma$  then define

$$i_x : S_{k, \mathcal{O}, \psi}(U_\Sigma) \longrightarrow S_{k, \mathcal{O}, \psi}(U_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}})$$

by

$$i_x(f) = (\mathbf{N}x)\psi(\varpi_x)f - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} T_x f + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x^2 \end{pmatrix} f.$$

It is easy to check that  $i_x$  commutes with  $T_y$  for  $y \notin \mathfrak{m}'_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}$  and with  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_y}$  for  $y \in \Sigma$ . Moreover  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x} i_x = 0$  and so

$$i_x : S_\Sigma \longrightarrow S_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}.$$

Moreover  $i_x S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma] \subset S_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}[\wp_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}]$ . We will let  $i_x^\dagger$  denote the adjoint of  $i_x$  with respect to the pairings  $(\ , \ )'$  on  $S_\Sigma$  and  $S_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}$ . (We warn the reader that the former is not simply the restriction of the latter.) An easy calculation shows that  $i_x^\dagger$  equals

$$\psi(\varpi_x)(\mathbf{N}x)[U_\Sigma U_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}] - T_x[U_\Sigma \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}] + [U_\Sigma \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}]$$

and hence that

$$i_x^\dagger \circ i_x = \psi(\varpi_x)(\mathbf{N}x)(1 - \mathbf{N}x)(T_x^2 - (1 + \mathbf{N}x)^2 \psi(\varpi_x)).$$

The following key lemma is often referred to as Ihara's lemma.

**Lemma 3.1**  $S_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}/i_x S_\Sigma$  is  $l$ -torsion free.

*Proof:* It suffices to check that

$$i_x : S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(U_\Sigma)_{\mathfrak{m}'_\Sigma} \longrightarrow S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(U_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}})_{\mathfrak{m}'_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}}$$

is injective, or even that the localisation at  $\mathfrak{m}'_\Sigma$  of the kernel of

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(U_\Sigma)^3 &\longrightarrow S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(U_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}) \\ (f_1, f_2, f_3) &\longmapsto f_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} f_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x^2 \end{pmatrix} f_3 \end{aligned}$$

vanishes.

Let  $V$  denote the subgroup of elements  $u \in U_\Sigma$  with

$$u_x \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\varpi_x}.$$

We see that

$$V \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = U_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}$$

and that  $U_\Sigma$  is the subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  generated by  $V$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Thus the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} (0) \rightarrow S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(U_\Sigma) &\rightarrow S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(V) \oplus S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(V) \rightarrow S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(U_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}) \\ f &\mapsto \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} f, -f \right) \\ &\quad (f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} f_2 \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Hence it suffices to show that the localisation at  $\mathfrak{m}'_\Sigma$  of the kernel of

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(U_\Sigma)^2 &\longrightarrow S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}(V) \\ (f_1, f_2) &\longmapsto f_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} f_2 \end{aligned}$$

vanishes. However if  $(f_1, f_2)$  is in the kernel then  $f_1$  is invariant by the subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  generated by  $U_\Sigma$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} U_\Sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

i.e. by  $U_\Sigma SL_2(F_x)$ .

First suppose that  $k = 2$ . Then, by the strong approximation theorem, we see that  $f_1$  is invariant by right translation by any element of  $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ , so that  $f_1 \in S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}^{\text{triv}}(U_\sigma)$ . Any maximal ideal of  $h_{2, \psi}(U_\Sigma)$  in the support of  $S_{k, \mathcal{O}/\lambda, \psi}^{\text{triv}}(U_\sigma)$  is Eisenstein.

Now suppose that  $3 \leq k \leq l - 1$ . By the strong approximation theorem, given any  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$  and any  $u \in GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F, l})$  we can find a  $\delta \in D^\times \cap gU_\Sigma SL_2(F_x)g^{-1}$  such that

$$g_l^{-1} \delta g_l \equiv u \pmod{l}.$$

Then

$$f_1(g) = f_1(\delta g) = f_1(g(g^{-1}\delta g)) = f_1(gu) = u^{-1}f_1(g),$$

so that

$$f_1(g) \in \left( \bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,l} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\lambda} \text{Symm}^{k-2}((\mathcal{O}/\lambda)^2) \right)^{GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,l})} = (0).$$

Thus  $f_1 = 0$ .  $\square$

In particular we see that  $i_x S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma] = S_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}[\wp_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}}]$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}} &\cong S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma] / j_\Sigma^\dagger i_x^\dagger S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma] \\ &\cong S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma] / j_\Sigma^\dagger (1 - \mathbf{N}x)(\mathbf{N}x)(T_x^2 - (1 + \mathbf{N}x)^2 \psi(\varpi_x)) S_\Sigma[\wp_\Sigma], \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\#\Omega_{\Sigma \cup \{x\}} = \#\Omega_\Sigma \# \mathcal{O} / (1 - \mathbf{N}x)((\mathbf{N}x) \text{tr } \rho(\text{Frob}_x)^2 - (1 + \mathbf{N}x)^2 \det \rho(\text{Frob}_x)).$$

We conclude that

$$\#(\wp_\Sigma / \wp_\Sigma^2) | \#\Omega_\Sigma$$

for all  $\Sigma$  (which contains no prime above  $l$ ). Combining this with theorem 2.4 of [Dia] we see obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** *Keep the notation and assumptions of the second and fourth paragraphs of section 2 and suppose that the restriction of  $\bar{\rho}_\phi$  to the absolute Galois group of  $F(\sqrt{(-1)^{(l-1)/2}l})$  is irreducible. If  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of finite places of  $F$  not dividing  $l$  then the natural map*

$$R_\Sigma \longrightarrow h_\Sigma$$

*is an isomorphism of complete intersections and  $S_\Sigma$  is a free  $h_\Sigma$ -module.*

As an immediate consequence we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $l > 3$  be a prime and let  $2 \leq k \leq l - 1$  be an integer. Let  $F$  be a totally real field of even degree in which  $l$  splits completely. Let  $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}})$  be a continuous irreducible representation unramified outside finitely many primes and such that for each place  $x$  of  $F$  above  $l$  the restriction  $\rho|_{G_x}$  is crystalline with Hodge-Tate numbers 0 and  $1 - k$ . Let  $\bar{\rho}$  denote the reduction of  $\rho$  modulo the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}}$ . Assume that the restriction of  $\bar{\rho}$  to  $F(\sqrt{(-1)^{(l-1)/2}l})$  is irreducible and that there is a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda : M_\pi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that*

- $\bar{\rho}_{\pi,\lambda} \sim \bar{\rho}$ ,
- $\pi_x$  is unramified for every finite place  $x$  of  $F$ , and
- $\pi_\infty$  has weight  $k$ .

Then there is a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi'$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda' : M_{\pi'} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_1^{ac}$  such that  $\rho \sim \rho_{\pi',\lambda'}$  and  $\pi'_\infty$  has weight  $k$ .

*Proof:* We need only remark that  $\det \rho / \det \rho_{\pi,\lambda}$  has finite  $l$ -power order and so by twisting  $\pi$  we may suppose that  $\det \rho = \det \rho_{\pi,\lambda}$  (as  $l > 2$ ).  $\square$

## 4 potential version of Serre's conjecture

In this section we will prove the following result, which we will improve somewhat in section 5.

**Proposition 4.1** *Let  $l > 2$  be a prime. Suppose that  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$  is a continuous odd representation with  $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \sim \omega_2^{k-1} \oplus \omega_2^{l(k-1)}$  for some integer  $2 \leq k \leq l$ . Then there is a Galois totally real field  $F$  of even degree in which  $l$  splits completely, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda : M_\pi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_1^{ac}$  such that*

1.  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F} \sim \bar{\rho}_{\pi,\lambda}$ ;
2.  $\pi_\infty$  has weight 2; and
3. for each place  $x$  of  $F$  above  $l$ ,  $WD_\lambda(\pi_x)$  is tamely ramified and

$$(WD_\lambda(\pi_x)|_{I_x} \bmod \lambda) = \omega_2^{k-(l+1)} \oplus \omega_2^{lk-(l+1)}.$$

We remark that the key improvement of this over results in [Tay4] is the condition that  $l$  split completely in  $F$ . This may seem minor but it will be crucial for the arguments in section 5 and the proof of theorem 5.7. We now turn to the proof of the proposition.

Suppose that  $\bar{\rho}$  is valued in  $GL_2(k')$  for some finite field  $k' \subset \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$  and let  $k$  denote the unique quadratic extension of  $k'$  in  $\mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$ . We must have that  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l} = \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^{\mathbb{Q}} \theta$ . Set  $\bar{\mu} = \epsilon^{-1} \det \bar{\rho}$ , let  $N$  denote the minimum splitting field for  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\mathfrak{f}_\mu$  its conductor. Thus  $N$  is a cyclic totally real extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Choose an imaginary quadratic field  $M$  in which  $l$  remains prime and which contains

only two roots of unity. Let  $\delta_M$  denote the unique non-trivial character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times \mathbf{N}\mathbb{A}_M^\times$  and let  $\mathfrak{f}_M$  denote the conductor of  $\delta_M$ . Choose a totally real field  $E''$  such that  $E''M$  contains a primitive  $2\#k^\times$  root of unity  $\zeta$ . Note that the inertial degree of  $l$  in  $E''$  is even.

Choose a continuous character  $\chi_0 : M^\times (M_\infty^\times \times \prod_q \mathcal{O}_{M,q}^\times) \rightarrow (E''M)^\times$  which extends the canonical inclusion on  $M^\times$  (use, for instance, the finiteness of  $M^\times$ ) and let  $\mathfrak{f}_0$  denote the conductor of  $\chi_0$ . Also choose two distinct odd primes  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  such that for  $i = 1, 2$

- $\chi_0$  is unramified above  $p_1 p_2$ ;
- $\bar{\rho}$  is unramified at  $p_i$ , which is not equal to  $l$ ;
- $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_{p_i})$  has distinct eigenvalues; and
- $p_i$  splits in the Hilbert class field of  $M$ .

Set  $w = 2w_{E''M} \# (\mathcal{O}_M/l\mathfrak{f}_M\mathfrak{f}_\mu\mathfrak{f}_0\mathfrak{f}_0^c\mathcal{O}_M)^\times$ , where  $w_{E''M}$  denotes the number of roots of unity in  $E''M$ . Let  $S_1$  denote set of rational primes dividing  $\mathfrak{f}_M\mathfrak{f}_\mu\mathfrak{f}_0\mathfrak{f}_0^c$ , let  $S_2$  be a finite set of rational primes disjoint from  $S_1$  which split in  $M$  and such that the primes of  $M$  above  $S_2$  generate the class group of  $M$ , and set  $S_0 = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \{l, p_1, p_2\}$ . As in the proof of lemma 1.1 of [Tay4] we can find an open subgroup  $W_0$  of  $\prod_{q \notin S_0} \mathcal{O}_{M,q}^\times/\mathbb{Z}_q^\times$  such that  $W_0 \cap M_{S_0}^\times/\mathbb{Q}_{S_0}^\times \subset (M_{S_0}^\times/\mathbb{Q}_{S_0}^\times)^w$ . Let  $w'$  denote the index of  $W_0$  in  $\prod_{q \notin S_0} \mathcal{O}_{M,q}^\times/\mathbb{Z}_q^\times$ . Then we can choose a Galois (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) totally real field  $E'$  such that

- $E' \supset E''$ ;
- $E'$  contains a primitive  $ww'$  root of 1; and
- $\chi_0$  extends to a continuous character  $\chi_0 : \mathbb{A}_M^\times \rightarrow (E'M)^\times$ .

(If  $\tilde{\chi}_0 : \mathbb{A}_M^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is any extension of  $\chi_0$  then  $\tilde{\chi}_0 c(\tilde{\chi}_0) \prod_x \not\chi_\infty \mid |_x$  has finite order and is valued in  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$  and so is identically 1. Hence  $c(\tilde{\chi}_0) = \tilde{\chi}_0^{-1} \prod_x \not\chi_\infty \mid |_x$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_0$  is valued in a CM field.)

Let  $E$  denote the maximal totally real extension of  $E'$  which is unramified outside  $lp_1p_2$  and tamely ramified at these primes. Choose primes  $\wp_1$  and  $\wp_2$  of  $EM$  above  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  respectively. Also choose a prime  $\lambda$  of  $EM$  above  $l$  and an embedding  $k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{EM}/\lambda$  such that the composite of the Artin map  $I_l \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times$  with the natural map  $\mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{EM}/\lambda)^\times$  coincides with  $\omega_2^{-1} : I_l \rightarrow k^\times \subset (\mathcal{O}_{EM}/\lambda)^\times$ . Let  $\mu : \text{Gal}(N/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (EM)^\times$  be the unique character reducing modulo  $\lambda$  to  $\bar{\mu}$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  we can find  $\alpha_i \in (\wp_i \cap M)\mathcal{O}_{E''M}$  which

reduces modulo  $\lambda$  to an eigenvalue of  $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_{p_i})$  and which satisfies  $\alpha_i \alpha_i^c = p_i$ . (First choose  $\alpha'_i \in M \cap \wp_i$  satisfying  $\alpha'_i (\alpha'_i)^c = p_i$  and then multiply  $\alpha'_i$  by a suitable root of unity in  $E''M$ .)

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $\mathfrak{a}'$  denote the product of all primes of  $E$  above  $lp_1p_2$  and factor  $\mathfrak{a}'\mathcal{O}_{ME} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^c$ , where  $\wp_1\wp_2\lambda|\mathfrak{a}$ . There is a unit  $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_E^\times$  with  $\eta \equiv \zeta \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\bar{\zeta}$  denote the image of  $\zeta$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{E'}/(\mathfrak{a}' \cap \mathcal{O}_{E'}) = \mathcal{O}_{E'M}/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{O}_{E'M})$ . Let  $H$  denote the maximal totally real abelian extension of  $E$  which is unramified outside  $lp_1p_2$  and which is tamely ramified above each of these three primes. Thus  $H/E'$  is Galois and  $\text{Gal}(H/E)$  is the commutator subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(H/E')$ . In particular the transfer map  $\text{Gal}(E/E') \rightarrow \text{Gal}(H/E)$  vanishes. By class field theory we can identify  $(\mathcal{O}_{E'}/\mathfrak{a}')^\times/\mathcal{O}_{E'}^\times$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(E/E')$  and  $(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{a})^\times/\mathcal{O}_E^\times$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(H/E)$  in such a way that the natural map

$$(\mathcal{O}_{E'}/\mathfrak{a}')^\times/\mathcal{O}_{E'}^\times \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{a})^\times/\mathcal{O}_E^\times$$

corresponds to the transfer map on Galois groups and so is trivial. The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3** *There is a continuous character  $\chi : \mathbb{A}_M^\times \rightarrow (EM)^\times$  such that*

- $\chi|_{M^\times}$  is the canonical inclusion;
- $\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times}$  is the unique character of order prime to  $l$  with

$$\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times}(x) \equiv x^{l+1-k} \pmod{l}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times$ ;

- for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\chi$  is non-ramified above  $p_i$  and  $\chi|_{M_{\wp_i}}(p_i) = \alpha_i$ ; and
- $\chi|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \mu\delta_{M/\mathbb{Q}}|||^{-1}i_\infty$  where  $\delta_{M/\mathbb{Q}}$  is the unique non-trivial character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times\mathbf{N}\mathbb{A}_M^\times$ ,  $|||$  is the product of the usual absolute values and  $i_\infty$  is the projection onto  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ .

*Proof:* Note that  $\chi_0|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \nu\delta_{M/\mathbb{Q}}|||^{-1}i_\infty$ , where  $\nu$  is a finite order character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times\mathbb{R}^\times$  with conductor dividing  $\mathfrak{f}_0\mathfrak{f}_M$ . We look for  $\chi = \chi_0\chi_1$ . Thus we are required to find a finite order continuous character  $\chi_1 : \mathbb{A}_M^\times/M^\times \rightarrow (EM)^\times$  such that

- $\chi_1|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \mu\nu^{-1}$ , and

- $\chi_1$  has prescribed, finite order restriction to  $M_{\wp_1}^\times$ ,  $M_{\wp_2}^\times$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times$ , the latter compatible with  $\mu\nu^{-1}|_{\mathbb{Z}_l^\times}$  (because  $\bar{\mu}|_{\mathbb{Z}_l^\times}$  takes  $x$  to  $(x \bmod l)^{2-k}$ ).

Note that  $\mu\nu^{-1}$  has conductor  $\mathfrak{f}_M \mathfrak{f}_\mu \mathfrak{f}_0 \mathfrak{f}_0^c$ . Also note that for  $i = 1, 2$  the unit  $a_i = \alpha_i \chi_0(\varpi_{\wp_i})^{-1}$  satisfies  $a_i a_i^c = 1$  for all complex conjugations  $c$  and so is a root of unity. Thus the specified restrictions have orders dividing  $w_{E''M}$  in the first two cases and  $\#(\mathcal{O}_M / l \mathfrak{f}_M \mathfrak{f}_\mu \mathfrak{f}_0 \mathfrak{f}_0^c \mathcal{O}_M)^\times$  in the third case.

We can find a character

$$\chi_{1,S_0} : \prod_{q \in S_0} M_q^\times \longrightarrow (EM)^\times$$

with the desired restrictions to  $\prod_{q \in S_0} \mathbb{Q}_q^\times$ ,  $M_{\wp_1}^\times$ ,  $M_{\wp_2}^\times$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{M,l}^\times$ , and with order dividing  $w$ . As

$$\left( \prod_{q \in S_0} M_q^\times \times \prod_{q \notin S_0} \mathcal{O}_{M,q}^\times \right) / M_{S_0}^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_M^\times / M^\times M_\infty^\times,$$

it suffices to find a character

$$\chi_1^{S_0} : \prod_{q \notin S_0} \mathcal{O}_{M,q}^\times / \mathbb{Z}_q^\times \longrightarrow (EM)^\times$$

which coincides with  $\chi_{1,S_0}^{-1}$  on  $M_{S_0}^\times / \mathbb{Q}_{S_0}^\times$ . One can choose such a character which is trivial on  $W_0$  and so has order dividing  $w'$ .  $\square$

We remark that as  $\chi(c \circ \chi) \parallel \parallel i_\infty^{-1}$  has finite image contained in the totally positive elements of  $E^\times$  we must have  $\chi(c \circ \chi) = \parallel \parallel^{-1} i_\infty$ .

If  $x$  is a place of  $EM$  above a place  $x'$  of  $M$ , let  $\chi_x$  denote the character

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_M^\times / M^\times &\longrightarrow (EM)_x^\times \\ a &\longmapsto \chi(a) a_{x'}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_{x'}$  denotes the  $x'$  component of  $a$  embedded in  $(EM)_x^\times$  via the natural map  $M_{x'} \rightarrow (EM)_x$ .

Set  $\mathfrak{b} = \lambda_{\wp_1 \wp_2}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_0 = \mathfrak{b} \cap E$ , so that  $\mathcal{O}_E / \mathfrak{b}_0 \cong \mathcal{O}_{EM} / \lambda \times \mathcal{O}_{EM} / \wp_1 \times \mathcal{O}_{EM} / \wp_2$ . Let  $W_{\mathfrak{b}_0,0} / \mathbb{Q}$  denote the finite free group scheme with  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -action which has

$$W_{\mathfrak{b}_0,0}(\mathbb{Q}^{ac}) \cong \mathcal{O}_E / \mathfrak{b}_0(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E / \mathfrak{b}_0.$$

By the standard pairing on  $W_{\mathfrak{b}_0,0}$  we shall mean the map  $W_{\mathfrak{b}_0,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathfrak{d}_E^{-1} \rightarrow W_{\mathfrak{b}_0,0}^\vee$  which corresponds to the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}_E / \mathfrak{b}_0(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E / \mathfrak{b}_0) &\times (\mathcal{O}_E / \mathfrak{b}_0(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_E / \mathfrak{b}_0) &\longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_E / \mathfrak{b}_0(1) \\ (x_1, y_1) &\times (x_2, y_2) &\longmapsto & y_2 x_1 - y_1 x_2. \end{aligned}$$

We will let  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  denote the moduli space for quadruples  $(A, i, j, \alpha)$ , where  $(A, i, j)$  is an  $E$ -HBAV and  $\alpha : W_{\mathfrak{b}_0, 0} \xrightarrow{\sim} A[\mathfrak{b}_0]$  takes the standard pairing on  $W_{\mathfrak{b}_0, 0}$  to the  $j$ -Weil pairing on  $A[\mathfrak{b}_0]$ . As  $\mathfrak{b}_0$  is divisible by two primes with coprime residue characteristic we see that  $X$  is a fine moduli space. As in section 1 of [Rap] we see that  $X$  is smooth and geometrically connected (because of the analytic uniformization of its complex points by a product of copies of the upper half complex plane).

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of pairs

$$(\gamma, \varepsilon) \in GL_2(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0) \times \mathcal{O}_{E, \gg 0}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{E, \equiv 1}^\times(\mathfrak{b}_0))^2$$

such that

$$\varepsilon \det \gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}_0}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{O}_{E, \gg 0}^\times$  denotes the set of totally positive elements of  $\mathcal{O}_E^\times$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{E, \equiv 1}^\times(\mathfrak{b}_0)$  denotes the set of elements of  $\mathcal{O}_E^\times$  which are congruent to 1 modulo  $\mathfrak{b}_0$ . The group  $\Gamma$  acts faithfully on  $X$  via

$$(\gamma, \varepsilon)(A, i, j, \alpha) = (A, i, j \circ \varepsilon^{-1}, \alpha \circ \gamma^{-1}).$$

The action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on the group of automorphisms of  $X$  preserves  $\Gamma$  and we have

$$\sigma(\gamma, \varepsilon) = \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} \epsilon(\sigma) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \gamma \left( \begin{array}{cc} \epsilon(\sigma)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \varepsilon \right).$$

The set  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Gamma)$  is in bijection with the set of pairs  $(R, \psi)$  where  $R : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0)$  is a continuous representation and  $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E, \gg 0}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{E, \equiv 1}^\times(\mathfrak{b}_0))^2$  is a continuous homomorphism with

$$\epsilon^{-1} \det R \equiv \psi^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{b}_0}.$$

This pair corresponds to the cocycle

$$(R, \psi)(\sigma) = \left( R(\sigma) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \epsilon(\sigma)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \psi(\sigma) \right).$$

Thus to any such pair we can associate a twist  $X_{R, \psi}/\mathbb{Q}$  of  $X/\mathbb{Q}$ .

Next we will give a description of the  $F$  rational points of  $X_{R, \psi}$  for any number field  $F$ . Let  $N'$  denote the splitting field of  $\psi$ . Let  $W_R/\mathbb{Q}$  denote the finite free group scheme with an action of  $\mathcal{O}_E$  such that

$$W_R(\mathbb{Q}^{ac}) \cong \mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0$$

with Galois action via  $R$ . By the standard pairing on  $W_R/N'$  we shall mean the map  $W_R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathfrak{d}_E^{-1} \rightarrow W_R^\vee$  (defined over  $N'$ ) which corresponds to the pairing

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0) & \times & (\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{b}_0 \\ (x_1, y_1) & \times & (x_2, y_2) & \longmapsto & y_2 x_1 - y_1 x_2. \end{array}$$

Then  $F$  rational points of  $X_{R,\psi}$  correspond to quadruples  $(A, i, j, \beta)$ , where  $(A, i, j)/N'F$  is an  $E$ -HBAV and where  $\beta : W_R \xrightarrow{\sim} A[\mathfrak{b}_0]$  such that

- under  $\beta$  the standard pairing on  $W_R$  and the  $j$ -Weil pairing on  $A[\mathfrak{b}_0]$  correspond, and
- for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(N'F/F)$  there is an isomorphism

$$\kappa_\sigma : \sigma(A, i) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A, i)$$

such that  $\sigma(j) = \kappa_\sigma^* \circ j \circ \psi(\sigma)^\sim$  for some lifting  $\psi(\sigma)^\sim \in \mathcal{O}_E^\times$  of  $\psi(\sigma)$  and such that for some lifting  $\sigma^\sim \in G_F$  of  $\sigma$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma A[\mathfrak{b}_0] & \xrightarrow{\kappa_\sigma} & A[\mathfrak{b}_0] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W_R & \xrightarrow{R(\sigma^\sim)} & W_R \end{array}$$

commutes, where the left vertical arrow is  $\sigma^\sim \circ \beta$  and the right one is  $\beta$ .

We will be particularly interested in two pairs  $(R, \psi)$  defined as follows. For  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(N/\mathbb{Q})$  we can write  $\mu(\sigma) = \zeta^{-2m_\sigma}$  for some integer  $m_\sigma$ . Define  $\eta_\sigma = (\eta\zeta^{-1})^{m_\sigma} \in \mathcal{O}_{EM, \equiv 1}^\times(\mathfrak{b}_0)$  and  $\psi(\sigma) = \mathbf{N}_{EM/E} \eta_\sigma = \eta^{2m_\sigma}$ . As

$$\eta^{2\#k^\times} = (-\eta^{\#k^\times})^2 \in (\mathcal{O}_{E, \equiv 1}^\times(\mathfrak{b}_0))^2,$$

we see that

$$\psi : \text{Gal}(N/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E, \gg 0}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{E, \equiv 1}^\times(\mathfrak{b}_0))^2$$

is a homomorphism. Let

$$R_{\bar{\rho}} = \bar{\rho} \oplus \text{Ind}_{G_M}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \chi_{\wp_1} \oplus \text{Ind}_{G_M}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \chi_{\wp_2}$$

and

$$R_{Dih} = \text{Ind}_{G_M}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \chi_\lambda \oplus \text{Ind}_{G_M}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \chi_{\wp_1} \oplus \text{Ind}_{G_M}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \chi_{\wp_2},$$

so that  $\epsilon^{-1} \det R_{\bar{\rho}} = \epsilon^{-1} \det R_{Dih} = \mu$ . Then  $(R_{\bar{\rho}}, \psi)$  and  $(R_{Dih}, \psi)$  define elements of  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Gamma)$  and we will denote the corresponding twists of  $X$  by  $X_{\bar{\rho}}$  and  $X_{Dih}$  respectively. Note that  $X_{\bar{\rho}}$  and  $X_{Dih}$  become isomorphic over  $\mathbb{Q}_l, \mathbb{Q}_{p_1}, \mathbb{Q}_{p_2}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 4.4** *Suppose that  $F$  is a number field. If  $X_{\bar{\rho}}$  has an  $F$ -rational point then there exists an abelian variety  $B/F$  of dimension  $[EM : \mathbb{Q}]$ , an embedding  $i' : \mathcal{O}_{EM} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(B/F)$ , and an isomorphism  $\beta'$  between  $B[\mathfrak{b}](F^{ac})$  and  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose that  $(A, i, j, \beta)/FN$  is a quadruple corresponding to an  $F$ -rational point of  $X_{\bar{\rho}}$  as above. Also, for  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(NF/F)$  let  $\kappa_{\sigma} : \sigma A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$  be the maps of the last but one paragraph. Set  $B = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{EM}$  and let  $i'$  denote the natural map  $\mathcal{O}_{EM} \rightarrow \text{End}(B)$ . Let  $\beta'$  denote the composite

$$W_{R_{\bar{\rho}}} \xrightarrow{\beta} A[\mathfrak{b}_0] \longrightarrow A[\mathfrak{b}_0] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{EM}/\mathfrak{b} = B[\mathfrak{b}].$$

Define  $f_0 : \mathcal{O}_{EM} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\mathcal{O}_{EM}, \mathcal{O}_E)$  by  $f_0(a)(b) = \text{tr}_{EM/E} ab^c$  and set  $f = j(1) \otimes f_0$  a polarisation of  $B$ . Also set

$$\kappa'_{\sigma} = \kappa_{\sigma} \otimes \eta_{\sigma} : \sigma B \longrightarrow B.$$

We see that  $\kappa'_{\sigma}$  commutes with the action of  $\mathcal{O}_{EM}$ , that  $\sigma f = (\kappa'_{\sigma})^{\vee} f \kappa'_{\sigma}$  and that for any lifting  $\sigma^{\sim} \in G_F$  of  $\sigma$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma B[\mathfrak{b}] & \xrightarrow{\kappa'_{\sigma}} & B[\mathfrak{b}] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W_{R_{\bar{\rho}}} & \xrightarrow{R_{\bar{\rho}}(\sigma^{\sim})} & W_{R_{\bar{\rho}}} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the left vertical arrow is  $\sigma^{\sim} \circ \beta'$  and the right one is  $\beta'$ . As the quadruple  $(B, i', f, \beta')$  has no non-trivial automorphisms (because any automorphism of  $(B, i', f)$  has finite order and because  $\mathfrak{b}$  is divisible by two primes with distinct residual characteristic), we see that  $\kappa'_{\sigma} \sigma(\kappa'_{\tau}) = \kappa'_{\sigma\tau}$ . Thus we can descend  $(B, i')$  to  $F$  in such a way that  $\beta'$  also descends to an isomorphism  $\beta' : W_{R_{\bar{\rho}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} B[\mathfrak{b}]$  over  $F$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5**  *$X_{Dih}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational point and hence  $X_{\bar{\rho}}$  has rational points over  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_{p_1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_{p_2}$  and over  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof:* Fix an embedding  $\tau : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and let  $\Phi$  denote the  $CM$ -type for  $EM$  consisting of all embeddings  $EM \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which restrict to  $\tau$  on  $M$ . Let  $(\mathfrak{d}_{EM}^{-1})^{-}$  denote the ordered  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module  $\{d \in \mathfrak{d}_{EM}^{-1} : \text{tr}_{EM/E} d = 0\}$  with  $(\mathfrak{d}_{EM}^{-1} \otimes_{E, \sigma} \mathbb{R})^{+}$  the subset with positive imaginary part under  $\sigma \otimes \tau$ . From the theory of complex multiplication (see [Lang], particularly theorem 5.1 of chapter 5) we see that there is

- an abelian variety  $A/M$  of dimension  $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$ ;

- an embedding  $i : \mathcal{O}_{EM} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A/M)$ ;
- an isomorphism  $j : (\mathfrak{d}_{EM}^{-1})^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}(A, i|_{\mathcal{O}_E})$ ; and
- for each prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $E$  a Galois invariant isomorphism  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}} : \mathcal{O}_{EM, \mathfrak{q}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\mathfrak{q}}A$

such that

- the action of  $EM$  on  $\text{Lie } \tau A$  is  $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Phi} \sigma$ ; and
- for any  $d \in (\mathfrak{d}_{EM}^{-1})^-$  which is totally positive the  $j(d)$ -Weil pairing on  $T_{\mathfrak{q}}A$  is given by

$$x \times y \longmapsto \text{tr}_{EM/E} dxy^c.$$

(For the existence of  $j$  note that if  $f$  is a polarisation of  $\tau A/\mathbb{C}$  such that the  $f$ -Rosati involution stabilises and acts trivially on  $E$ , then the  $f$ -Rosati involution also stabilises  $EM$  and acts on it via complex conjugation. This follows from the fact that  $EM$  is the centraliser of  $E$  in  $\text{End}(\tau A/\mathbb{C})$ .) As  $\chi(c \circ \chi) = (\| \|^{\perp} i_{\infty}) \circ \mathbf{N}_{M/\mathbb{Q}}$ , we see that for  $\sigma \in G_M$  we have

$$\text{tr}_{EM/M} d\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}(\sigma x)\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}(\sigma y)^c = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}(\sigma) \text{tr}_{EM/M} d\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}(y)^c.$$

Thus the quadruple  $(A, i|_{\mathcal{O}_E}, j, (\prod_{\mathfrak{q}} \alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}) \bmod \mathfrak{b}_0)$  defines a point in  $X_{Dih}(M)$ . As  $\chi(\chi \circ c) = (\| \|^{\perp} i_{\infty} \mu) \circ \mathbf{N}_{M/\mathbb{Q}}$ , we see that  $c \circ \chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{NM/M} = \chi \circ c \circ \mathbf{N}_{NM/M}$  and so over  $NM$  there is an isomorphism between  $(A, i, j, \{\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}\})$  and  $(cA, c \circ i \circ c, c \circ j, \{c \circ \alpha_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ c\})$ . Thus the point in  $X_{Dih}(M) \subset X_{Dih}(NM)$  defined by  $(A, i|_{\mathcal{O}_E}, j, (\prod_{\mathfrak{q}} \alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}) \bmod \mathfrak{b}_0)$  is invariant under  $c$  and so lies in  $X_{Dih}(\mathbb{Q})$ .  $\square$

Combining the last two lemmas with a theorem of Moret-Bailly (see theorem G of [Tay4]) we see that we can find a Galois totally real field  $F$  of even degree in which  $l$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  split completely, an abelian variety  $B/F$  of dimension  $[EM : M]$  and an embedding  $i : \mathcal{O}_{EM} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(B/F)$  such that  $B[\lambda]$  realises  $\bar{\rho}$  and, for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $B[\wp_i]$  realises  $\text{Ind}_{G_M}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\chi_{\wp_i} \bmod \wp_i)$ . As both  $B[\lambda]$  and  $B[\wp_2]$  are unramified at any prime above  $p_1$ , we see that  $B$  has semi-stable reduction at any prime above  $p_1$ . As  $p_1$  splits completely in  $F$  and as  $B[\wp_1]$  is reducible as a representation of the decomposition group of any prime of  $F$  above  $p_1$ , we see that  $T_{\wp_1}B$  is an ordinary representation of the decomposition group at any prime of  $F$  above  $p_1$ . If  $x$  is a prime of  $F$  above  $l$  then  $I_x$  acts on both  $B[\wp_1]$  and  $B[\wp_2]$  via  $\tilde{\omega}_2^{k-(l+1)} \oplus \tilde{\omega}_2^{lk-(l+1)}$  where  $\tilde{\omega}_2 : I_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{EM}^{\times}$  is tamely ramified and reduces mod  $\lambda$  to  $\omega_2$ . Thus  $I_x$  acts on  $T_{\wp_1}B$  by  $\tilde{\omega}_2^{k-(l+1)} \oplus \tilde{\omega}_2^{lk-(l+1)}$ . Because  $\text{Ind}_{G_{FM}}^{G_F}(\chi_{\wp_1})$  is modular, theorem 5.1 of [SW2] tells us that there is a

algebraic, cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  of weight 2 and an embedding  $M_\pi \hookrightarrow EM$  such that  $\rho_{\pi, \wp_1}$  is equivalent to  $T_{\wp_1}B$ . (Alternatively one may appeal to the main theorem of [SW1], theorem 3.3 of this paper and a standard descent argument.) It follows that in addition  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$  is equivalent to  $T_\lambda B$ . This completes the proof of proposition 4.1.

Using Langlands base change [Langl] we immediately obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.6** *Let  $l > 2$  be a prime. Suppose that  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$  is a continuous odd representation with  $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \sim \omega_2^{k-1} \oplus \omega_2^{l(k-1)}$  for some integer  $2 \leq k \leq l$ . Then there is a Galois totally real field  $F$  of even degree in which  $l$  splits completely, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda : M_\pi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that*

1.  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F} \sim \bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda}$ ;
2.  $\pi_\infty$  has weight 2;
3. the central character of  $\pi^{\infty, l}$  is unramified; and
4. for each place  $x$  of  $F$  above  $l$ ,  $WD_\lambda(\pi_x)$  is tamely ramified and

$$(WD_\lambda(\pi_x)|_{I_x} \bmod \lambda) = \omega_2^{k-(l+1)} \oplus \omega_2^{lk-(l+1)}.$$

## 5 Change of weight

In this section we will prove various refinements of proposition 4.1, but first we shall discuss some results about congruences between modular forms.

Let  $F$  be a totally real field of even degree in which a prime  $l > 3$  splits completely. Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  denote an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  coprime to  $l$ . Let  $\psi : (\mathbb{A}_F^\times)^\times / F^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})^\times$  be a continuous character trivial on  $\mathcal{O}_{F,x}^\times$  if  $x \nmid l$  and on  $(1 + l\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  if  $x|l$ . Suppose further that there exists  $i \in (\mathbb{Z}/(l-1)\mathbb{Z})$  such that for  $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F,l}^\times$ ,  $\psi(a)$  is congruent to  $(\mathbf{N}a)^{-i}$  modulo the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}}$ .

Let  $D$  denote the division algebra with centre  $F$  ramified at exactly the infinite places of  $F$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_D$  be a maximal order in  $D$  and fix an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{D,x} \cong M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  for each finite place  $x$  of  $F$ . We will write

- $U_0(\mathfrak{n}, l)$  for  $U_{\{1\}}(\mathfrak{n}l)$ , and
- $U_1(\mathfrak{n}, l)$  for  $U_{(\mathcal{O}_F/l\mathcal{O}_F)^\times}(\mathfrak{n}l)$ .

We will let  $\bar{\eta}^i$  denote the character  $U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)/U_1(\mathbf{n}, l) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})^\times$  which sends  $u$ , with

$$u_l = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & d \end{pmatrix},$$

to  $(\mathbf{N}d \bmod l)^i$ . We will also let  $\eta^i$  denote the Teichmuller lift of  $\bar{\eta}^i$ . For any  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}}$ -algebra  $R$ , there is a natural embedding

$$S_{\eta^i \otimes R, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \hookrightarrow S_{\eta^i \otimes R, \psi}(U_1(\mathbf{n}, l)) = S_{2, R, \psi}(U_1(\mathbf{n}, l)),$$

which is equivariant for the action of  $T_x$  and  $S_x$  for all  $x \nmid l\mathbf{n}$ , and for  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for  $x|\mathbf{n}$ . The image is the subset of  $S_{2, R, \psi}(U_1(\mathbf{n}, l))$  where  $\langle h \rangle = 1$  for all  $h \in (\mathcal{O}_F/l\mathcal{O}_F)^\times$ . If  $\phi : h_{\eta^i, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$  has non-Eisenstein kernel then for  $x|l$  we have

$$\det \bar{\rho}_\phi|_{I_x} = \omega^{1+i}.$$

The operators  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}$  on  $S_{2, \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U_1(\mathbf{n}, l))$  commute with the action of  $\langle h \rangle$  for  $h \in (\mathcal{O}_F/l\mathcal{O}_F)^\times$  and hence preserve  $S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$ . We will let  $h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))'$  (resp.  $h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))''$ ) denote the commutative subalgebra of the endomorphisms of  $S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$  generated by  $h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}$ ) for all  $x|l$ . If  $\phi : h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$  and  $\phi(\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}) \neq 0$  then

$$\bar{\rho}_\phi|_{G_x} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\chi_2$  is unramified and  $\chi_2(\text{Frob}_x) = \phi(\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x})$  (see [W1]).

If  $f_1 \in S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$  and  $f_2 \in S_{\bar{\eta}^{-i}, \psi^{-1}}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$  then define  $(f_1, f_2)$  to be

$$\sum_{[x] \in D^\times \setminus (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty) / U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times} f_1(x) f_2(xw) (\#(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap x^{-1}D^\times x) / F^\times)^{-1},$$

where

$$w_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi_x^{x(\mathbf{n})} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $x \nmid l$  and  $w_x = 1_2$  if  $x|l$ . This is easily seen to be a perfect pairing. Moreover a standard calculation shows that the adjoint of  $S_x$  is  $S_x^{-1}$ , the adjoint of  $T_x$  is  $S_x^{-1}T_x$ , the adjoint of  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for  $x|\mathbf{n}$  is  $S_{\varpi_x}^{-1}\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  and the adjoint of  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for  $x \nmid l$  is  $S_{\varpi_x}^{-1}\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}$ . Thus if  $\phi : h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$  then there is also a homomorphism  $\phi^* : h_{\bar{\eta}^{-i}, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi^{-1}}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$  satisfying  $\phi^*(T_x) = \phi(S_x)^{-1}\phi(T_x)$  and  $\phi^*(S_x) = \phi(S_x)^{-1}$ . Moreover if  $\phi$  extends to  $h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))''$  so that  $\phi(\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}) \neq 0$  then  $\phi^*$  extends to  $h_{\bar{\eta}^{-i}, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi^{-1}}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))'$  with  $\phi^*(\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}) \neq 0$ . We

deduce that  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi^*} = \bar{\rho}_{\phi}^{\vee}(1)$ . Hence if  $\phi : h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))'' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$  and  $\phi(\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}) \neq 0$  then

$$\bar{\rho}_{\phi}|_{G_x} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \omega^i\chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are unramified.

We will denote by  $I^i$  the induced representation from  $U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)$  to  $U_H(\mathbf{n})$  of  $\bar{\eta}^i$ . It is a tensor product  $\bigotimes_{x|l} I_x^i$  where  $I_x^i$  is the induction from  $U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)_x$  to  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  of  $\bar{\eta}^i$ . We can realise  $I_x^i$  concretely as the space of functions

$$\theta : k(x)^2 - \{(0, 0)\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$$

such that  $\theta(a(x, y)) = a^i\theta(x, y)$  for all  $a \in k(x)^\times$ . The action of  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  is via  $(u\theta)(x, y) = \theta((x, y)u)$ . We have an isomorphism

$$S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \cong S_{I^i, \psi}(U_H(\mathbf{n}))$$

under which  $f \in S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$  corresponds to  $F \in S_{I^i, \psi}(U_H(\mathbf{n}))$  if

$$f(g) = F(g)((0, 1)_x)$$

and

$$F(g)(a_x, b_x) = f(gu^{-1})$$

where  $u \in GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,l})$  and

$$u \bmod x = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ a_x & b_x \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $x|l$ .

Now suppose that  $0 \leq i \leq l-2$ . If  $x$  is a prime of  $F$  above  $l$  then we have an exact sequence

$$(0) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^i((\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})^2) \longrightarrow I_x^i \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^{l-1-i}((\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})^2) \otimes \det^i \longrightarrow (0).$$

The first map is just the natural inclusion of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$  into the space of homogeneous functions of degree  $i$ . The second map sends a homogeneous function  $\theta$  onto the polynomial

$$\sum_{(s,t) \in \mathbb{P}^1(k(x))} \theta(s, t)(tX - sY)^{l-1-i}.$$

Thus for any subset  $T$  of the set of places of  $F$  above  $l$  we have a submodule  $I_T^i \subset I^i$  with

$$I_T^i \cong \bigotimes_{x \notin T} \text{Sym}^i((\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})^2) \otimes \bigotimes_{x \in T} I_x^i.$$

These give rise to subspaces

$$S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \subset S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$$

with

$$S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, \emptyset}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \cong S_{i+2, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_H(\mathbf{n}))$$

as a module for the Hecke operators  $T_x$  and  $S_x$  for all  $x \nmid l\mathbf{n}$  and for  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for all  $x|\mathbf{n}$ .

The following lemma is a variant of an unpublished result of Buzzard (see [B]).

**Lemma 5.1** *For any set  $T$  of places of  $F$  above  $l$  and for any place  $x \notin T$  of  $F$  above  $l$  there is an injection*

$$\kappa_x : S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T \cup \{x\}}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) / S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \hookrightarrow S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$$

which is equivariant for the actions of  $T_y$  and  $S_y$  for all  $y \nmid l$  and for  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for  $x|\mathbf{n}$ , and such that the composite

$$S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T \cup \{x\}}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \xrightarrow{\kappa_x} S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \hookrightarrow S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T \cup \{x\}}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$$

coincides with  $\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}$ .

*Proof:* Define  $U_0(T) \subset U_H(\mathbf{n})$  by  $U_0(T)_y = U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)_y$  if  $y \in T$  and  $U_0(T)_y = U_H(\mathbf{n})_y$  otherwise. Let  $\tau_T$  denote the representation

$$\left( \bigotimes_{y \in T} \bar{\eta}_y^i \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{y \notin T} \text{Symm}^{2+i}((\mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}})^2) \right)$$

of  $U_0(T)_l$ . If  $x \notin T$  is a place of  $F$  above  $l$ , let  $\tau_{T,x}$  denote the representation

$$\left( \bigotimes_{y \in T} \bar{\eta}_y^i \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{y \notin T \cup \{x\}} \text{Symm}^{i+2}((\mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}})^2) \otimes (\text{Symm}^{l-1-i}((\mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}})^2) \otimes \det^i) \right)$$

of  $U_0(T)_l$ . Then the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} (0) &\longrightarrow S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \longrightarrow S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T \cup \{x\}}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T \cup \{x\}}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) / S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \longrightarrow (0) \end{aligned}$$

is identified to the exact sequence

$$(0) \longrightarrow S_{\tau_T, \psi}(U_0(T)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} S_{\tau_{T \cup \{x\}}, \psi}(U_0(T \cup \{x\})) \xrightarrow{\beta} S_{\tau_{T,x}, \psi}(U_0(T)) \longrightarrow (0),$$

where

$$\alpha(f)(g) = f(g)(0, 1)_x$$

and

$$\beta(f)(g)(X, Y)_x = \sum_{(s:t) \in \mathbb{P}^1(k(x))} f(gu(s, t)^{-1})(tX - sY)^{l-1-i}$$

with  $u(s, t) \in GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  congruent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ s & t \end{pmatrix}$$

modulo  $x$ .

Now define

$$\kappa : S_{\tau_{T,x},\psi}(U_0(T)) \longrightarrow S_{\tau_{T \cup \{x\}},\psi}(U_0(T \cup \{x\}))$$

by

$$\kappa(f)(g) = f(g\gamma)(1, 0)_x$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(F_x).$$

To see this is well defined the only slightly subtle point is that if  $u \in U_0(\mathfrak{n}, l)_x$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(f)(gu) &= f(g\gamma(\gamma^{-1}u\gamma))(1, 0)_x \\ &= (\det u)^i f(g\gamma)((1, 0)_x(\gamma^{-1}u^{-1}\gamma)) \\ &= (\det u)^i f(g\gamma)(\eta_x(u)/\det u, 0)_x \\ &= \eta_x(u)^{-i} f(g\gamma)(1, 0)_x \\ &= \eta_x(u)^{-i} \kappa(f)(g). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $\kappa$  is clearly injective and equivariant for the action of  $T_y$  and  $S_y$  if  $y \nmid \mathfrak{n}$  and for  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for  $x \mid \mathfrak{n}$ . Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa \circ \beta)(f)(g) &= \sum_{(s:t) \in \mathbb{P}^1(k(x))} f(g\gamma u(s, t)^{-1})t^{l-1-i} \\ &= \sum_{s \in k(x)} f(g\gamma u(s, 1)^{-1}) \\ &= (\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x} f)(g). \end{aligned}$$

as we can take

$$u(s, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

**Corollary 5.2** *There is a natural surjection*

$$h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \twoheadrightarrow h_{i+2, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_H(\mathbf{n}))$$

which takes  $T_y$  to  $T_y$  and  $S_y$  to  $S_y$  for all  $y \nmid \mathbf{n}$  and which takes  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  to  $\mathbf{U}_{\varpi_x}$  for all  $x \mid \mathbf{n}$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))$  such that for any  $x \mid l$  there is some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_x''$  of  $h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))''$  extending  $\mathfrak{m}$  and containing  $\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}$ , then  $h_{i+2, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_H(\mathbf{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq (0)$ . This assumption will be verified if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is non-Eisenstein and the kernel of a homomorphism  $\phi : h_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}$  such that for all  $x \mid l$

$$\bar{\rho}_{\phi}|_{G_x} \not\sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \omega^i\chi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  unramified.

*Proof:* Choose a minimal  $T$  such that  $S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq (0)$ . If  $T = \emptyset$  then  $S_{k, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_H(\mathbf{n}))_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq (0)$  and the corollary follows. Thus suppose that  $x \in T$  and set  $T' = T - \{x\}$ . By our minimality assumption we see that

$$S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)) / S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T'}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l)))_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\kappa_x} S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

and the composite coincides with  $\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}$  is an isomorphism on the space  $S_{\bar{\eta}^i, \psi, T}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{\varpi_x}$  does not lie in any maximal ideal of  $h_{\bar{\eta}^{k-2}, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_0(\mathbf{n}, l))''$  above  $\mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We also have the following lemma, which generalises results of Ash and Stevens [AS]. We write  $U_0$  for  $\prod_y GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,y})$ .

**Lemma 5.3** *If  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and if  $\phi : h_{k, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}$  is a homomorphism, then there is a homomorphism  $(D\phi) : h_{k+l+1, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}$  such that for all places  $y \nmid l$  we have  $(D\phi)(T_y) = \phi(T_y)(\mathbf{N}y)$  and  $(D\phi)(S_y) = \phi(S_y)(\mathbf{N}y)^2$ .*

*Proof:* If  $f \in S_{k, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi}(U_0)$  then the function

$$(Df)(g) = f(g)(\|\mathbf{N} \det g\|(\mathbf{N} \det g_l))^{-1},$$

where  $\|\cdot\| : (\mathbb{A}^{\infty})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^{\times}$  denotes the product of the usual  $p$ -adic absolute values, lies in  $S_{\tau_{k, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}} \otimes (\mathbf{N} \det), \psi(\epsilon \circ \text{Art}^{-1})}(U_0)$ . Moreover if  $T_y f = af$  (resp.  $S_y f = bf$ ) then  $T_y(Df) = a(\mathbf{N}y)(Df)$  (resp.  $S_y(Df) = b(\mathbf{N}y)(Df)$ ). Thus it suffices to exhibit an embedding

$$S_{\tau_{k, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}} \otimes (\mathbf{N} \det), \psi(\epsilon \circ \text{Art}^{-1})}(U_0) \hookrightarrow S_{k+l+1, \mathbb{F}_l^{\text{ac}}, \psi(\epsilon \circ \text{Art}^{-1})}(U_0)$$

compatible with the action of  $T_y$  and  $S_y$  for all  $y \nmid l$ . By lemma 1.1 it suffices to exhibit a  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,l})$ -equivariant embedding

$$\bigotimes_x (\text{Symm}^{k-2}(k(x)^2) \otimes \det) \hookrightarrow \bigotimes_x \text{Symm}^{k+l-1}(k(x)^2),$$

or simply  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$ -equivariant embeddings

$$\text{Symm}^{k-2}(k(x)^2) \otimes \det \hookrightarrow \text{Symm}^{k+l-1}(k(x)^2)$$

for all  $x \mid l$ . Because  $l$  splits completely in  $F$  such an embedding simply results from multiplication by  $X^l Y - XY^l$ , as we see from the following calculation. For  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_l$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (aX + cY)^l (bX + dY) - (aX + cY)(bX + dY)^l \\ &= (aX^l + cY^l)(bX + dY) - (aX + cY)(bX^l + dY^l) \\ &= (ad - bc)(X^l Y - XY^l). \end{aligned}$$

□

We now turn to our improvements to proposition 4.1. First we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.4** *Let  $l > 3$  be a prime. Suppose that  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$  is a continuous odd representation with  $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \sim \omega_2^{k-1} \oplus \omega_2^{l(k-1)}$  for some integer  $2 \leq k \leq l$ . Then there is a Galois totally real field  $F$  in which  $l$  splits completely, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda : M_{\pi} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that*

1.  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F} \sim \bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda}$ ;
2.  $\pi_{\infty}$  has weight 2; and
3. for each place  $x$  of  $F$  above  $l$ ,  $\pi_x$  has conductor dividing  $x$ .

*Proof:* Let  $F, \pi, \lambda$  be as provided by corollary 4.6. Let  $\psi_0 : (\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty})^{\times} / F^{\times} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})^{\times}$  be the character such that  $\epsilon(\psi_0 \circ \text{Art}^{-1})$  equals the determinant of  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$ . Thus  $\psi_0$  is unramified away from  $l$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  denote the prime to  $l$  part of the conductor of  $\pi$ . Let  $D$  be the division algebra with centre  $F$  which is ramified at exactly the infinite places of  $F$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_D$  be a maximal order in  $D$  and fix an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{D,x} \cong M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,x})$  for each finite place  $x$  of  $F$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$ .

Let  $\chi_k$  denote the character  $\mathbb{F}_l^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times}$  which sends  $a$  to the Teichmüller lift of  $a^{k-l-1}$ . Let  $\Theta(\chi_k)$  denote a model over  $\mathcal{O}$  of the representation of

$GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l) \twoheadrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l)$  denoted the same way in section 3.1 of [CDT]. Let  $\Theta_k$  denote the representation  $\bigotimes_{x|l} \Theta(\chi_k)$  of  $GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,l})$ . From proposition 4.1, lemma 1.3 and lemma 4.2.4 of [CDT] we see that there is a homomorphism

$$\phi_1 : h_{\Theta_k, \mathcal{O}, \psi_0}(U_{H_0}(\mathfrak{n}_0)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$$

such that  $\ker \phi_1$  is non-Eisenstein and  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi_1} \sim \bar{\rho}|_{G_F}$ .

By lemma 3.1.1 of [CDT] we see that  $\Theta_k \otimes \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$  has a Jordan-Holder sequence with subquotients

$$R_T = \bigotimes_{x \notin T} \text{Symm}^{k-2}((\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})^2) \otimes \bigotimes_{x \in T} (\text{Symm}^{l-1-k}((\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})^2) \otimes \det^{k-1})$$

where  $T$  runs over sets of places of  $F$  above  $l$ , and where, if  $k = l$ , we only have one subquotient namely  $T = \emptyset$ . Thus for some  $T$ ,  $\phi_1$  factors through  $h_{R_T, \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}, \psi_0}(U_{H_0}(\mathfrak{n}_0))$ . It then follows from corollary 1.5 that for  $x \in T$  we must have  $\bar{\rho}|_{I_x} \sim \omega_2^{k-l} \oplus \omega_2^{kl-1}$  or

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & \omega^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus in fact  $\phi_1$  must factor through  $h_{R_\emptyset, \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}, \psi_0}(U_{H_0}(\mathfrak{n}_0)) = h_{k, \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}, \psi_0}(U_{H_0}(\mathfrak{n}_0))$ .

It follows from the first part of corollary 5.2 that  $\phi_1$  gives rise to a map

$$\phi_0 : h_{\eta^{k-2}, \mathcal{O}, \psi_0}(U_{H_0}(\mathfrak{n}_0)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$$

such that  $\ker \phi_0$  is non-Eisenstein and  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi_0} \sim \bar{\rho}|_{G_F}$ . The proposition follows.  $\square$

Combining the lemma 5.4 with the main theorem of [SW1], we immediately obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.5** *Let  $l > 3$  be a prime. Suppose that  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$  is a continuous odd representation with  $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \sim \omega_2^{k-1} \oplus \omega_2^{l(k-1)}$  for some integer  $2 \leq k \leq l$ . Then there is a Galois totally real field  $F$  in which  $l$  splits completely, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda : M_\pi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that*

1.  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F} \sim \bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda}$ ;
2.  $\pi_\infty$  has weight 2;
3. for each finite place  $x$  of  $F$  not dividing  $l$ ,  $\pi_x$  is unramified; and
4. for each place  $x$  of  $F$  above  $l$ , the conductor of  $\pi_x$  divides  $x$ .

Now we can use corollary 5.2 to obtain a further refinement of proposition 4.1.

**Lemma 5.6** *Let  $l > 3$  be a prime. Suppose that  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$  is a continuous odd representation with  $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \sim \omega_2^{k-1} \oplus \omega_2^{l(k-1)}$  for some integer  $2 \leq k \leq l$ . Then there is a Galois totally real field  $F$  of even degree in which  $l$  splits completely, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda : M_{\pi} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that*

1.  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F} \sim \bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda}$ ;
2.  $\pi_{\infty}$  has weight  $k$ ; and
3.  $\pi_x$  is unramified at every finite place  $x$  of  $F$ .

*Proof:* Now let  $F, \pi, \lambda$  be as provided by corollary 5.5. Also denote by  $\psi_0 : (\mathbb{A}_F^{\times})/F^{\times} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac})^{\times}$  be the character such that  $\epsilon(\psi_0 \circ \text{Art}^{-1})$  equals the determinant of  $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$ . Thus  $\psi_0$  is unramified away from  $l$ . Note also that if  $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F, l}^{\times}$  then  $\psi_0(a)$  is the Teichmüller lift of  $(\mathbb{N}a)^{2-k} \bmod l$ . Let  $D$  be the division algebra with centre  $F$  which is ramified at exactly the infinite places of  $F$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_D$  be a maximal order in  $D$  and fix an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{D, x} \cong M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F, x})$  for each finite place  $x$  of  $F$ . Let  $U_0 = \prod_y GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F, y})$ . There is a homomorphism

$$\phi_0 : h_{\bar{\eta}^{k-2}, \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}, \psi_0}(U_0(\mathcal{O}_F, l)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$$

with  $\ker \phi_0$  non-Eisenstein and  $\bar{\rho}_{\phi_0} \sim \bar{\rho}|_{G_F}$ . By corollary 5.2 this factors through  $h_{k, \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}, \psi_0}(U_0)$  and the proposition follows.  $\square$

Finally we have the following version of our potential version of Serre's conjecture.

**Theorem 5.7** *Let  $l > 3$  be a prime. Suppose that  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_l^{ac})$  is a continuous irreducible odd representation with  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l}$  irreducible. Then there is a Galois totally real field  $F$  of even degree in which  $l$  splits completely, a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and an embedding  $\lambda : M_{\pi} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that*

1.  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_F} \sim \bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda}$ ;
2.  $\pi_{\infty}$  has weight  $k_{\bar{\rho}}$ , where  $k_{\bar{\rho}}$  is the weight associated to  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l}$  by Serre in [S]; and
3.  $\pi_x$  is unramified for every finite place  $x$  of  $F$ .

*Proof:* From the definition of  $k_{\bar{\rho}}$  we see that there is an integer  $0 \leq c < l - 1$  such that  $2 \leq k_{\bar{\rho}} - c(l + 1) \leq l$  and  $(\bar{\rho} \otimes \epsilon^{-c})|_{I_l} \sim \omega_2^{k_{\bar{\rho}} - 1 - c(l + 1)} \oplus \omega_2^{l(k_{\bar{\rho}} - 1) - c(l + 1)}$ . By lemma 5.6 we can find a Galois totally real field  $F$  of even degree in which  $l$  splits completely and a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  such that

1.  $(\bar{\rho} \otimes \epsilon^{-c})|_{G_F}$  is equivalent to  $\bar{\rho}_{\pi, \lambda}$  for some prime  $\lambda | l$  and some embedding  $k(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$ ;
2.  $\pi_\infty$  has weight  $k_{\bar{\rho}} - c(l + 1)$ ; and
3.  $\pi_x$  is unramified at every finite place  $x$  of  $F$ .

By lemma 1.3 we can find, for some character  $\psi$ , a homomorphism

$$\phi : h_{k_{\bar{\rho}} - c(l + 1), \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}, \psi}(U_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_l^{ac}$$

with non-Eisenstein kernel such that  $\bar{\rho}_\phi \cong (\bar{\rho} \otimes \epsilon^{-c})|_{G_F}$ . The theorem now follows from lemma 5.3.  $\square$

## 6 applications

Combining theorem 2.1 of [Tay4], theorem 5.7, theorem 3.3 and a standard descent argument (see for example the proof of theorem 2.4 of [Tay3]) we obtain our main theorem.

**Theorem 6.1** *Let  $l > 3$  be a prime and let  $2 \leq k \leq l - 1$  be an integer. Let  $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^{ac}})$  be a continuous irreducible representation such that*

- $\rho$  is ramified at only finitely many primes,
- $\det \rho(c) = -1$ ,
- $\rho|_{G_l}$  is crystalline with Hodge-Tate numbers 0 and  $1 - k$ .

*Let  $\bar{\rho}$  denote the reduction of  $\rho$  modulo the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^{ac}}$ . If  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l}$  is irreducible assume that  $\bar{\rho}$  restricted to  $\mathbb{Q}_l(\sqrt{(-1)^{(l-1)/2}l})$  is irreducible. (This will be the case if, for instance,  $2k \neq l + 3$ .) Then there is a Galois totally real field  $F$  in which  $l$  is unramified with the following property. For each subfield  $E \subset F$  with  $\text{Gal}(F/E)$  soluble there is a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi_E$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$  and an embedding  $\lambda$  of the field of coefficients of  $\pi_E$  into  $\mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that*

- $\rho_{\pi_E, \lambda} \sim \rho|_{G_E}$ ,
- $\pi_{E, x}$  is unramified for all places  $x$  of  $E$  above  $l$ , and
- $\pi_{E, \infty}$  has weight  $k$ .

Combining this with theorem 3.4.6 of [BL] we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.2** *Keep the assumptions of theorem 6.1. If  $\rho$  is unramified at a prime  $p$  and if  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of  $\rho(\text{Frob}_p)$  then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{ac}$  and for any isomorphism  $i : \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$  we have*

$$|i\alpha|^2 \leq p^{(k-1)/2}.$$

Continue to assume that  $\rho$  satisfies the hypotheses of theorem 6.1. If  $p \neq l$  and if  $i : \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$  then we define

$$L_p(i\rho, X) = i \det(1 - \rho_{I_p}(\text{Frob}_p)X) \in \mathbb{C}[X].$$

Corollary 6.2 tells us that

$$L^l(i\rho, s) = \prod_{p \neq l} L_p(i\rho, p^{-s})^{-1}$$

defines a meromorphic function in  $\text{Re } s > (k+1)/2$ .

Choose a non-trivial additive character  $\Psi = \prod \Psi_p : \mathbb{A}/\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  with  $\ker \Psi_l = \mathbb{Z}_l$  and  $\Psi_\infty(x) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}x}$ . Also choose a Haar measure  $dx = \prod dx_p$  on  $\mathbb{A}_F$  with  $dx_\infty$  the usual measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $dx_l(\mathbb{Z}_l) = 1$  and with  $dx(\mathbb{A}_F/F) = 1$ . If  $p \neq l$  we will let  $\text{WD}(\rho|_{G_p})$  denote the Weil-Deligne representation associated to  $\rho|_{G_p}$ . Then we define

$$\epsilon(i\rho, s) = \sqrt{-1}^k \prod_{p \neq l} \epsilon(i\text{WD}(\rho^\vee|_{G_p}) \otimes |\text{Art}^{-1}|_p^{-s}, \Psi_p, dx_p).$$

(See [Tat].) Note that  $\epsilon(i\rho, s) = WN^{k/2-s}$  where  $W$  is independent of  $s$  and where  $N$  is the (prime to  $l$ ) conductor of  $\rho$ . The proof of corollary 2.2 of [Tay4] then gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.3** *Keep the assumptions of theorem 6.1 and let  $i : \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ . There is a rational function  $L_l(\rho, X)$  such that if we set*

$$L(i\rho, s) = L^l(i\rho, s)L_l(i\rho, l^{-s})^{-1}$$

then  $L(i\rho, s)$  has meromorphic extension to the entire complex plane and satisfies the functional equation

$$(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(i\rho, s) = \epsilon(i\rho, s)(2\pi)^{s-k}\Gamma(k-s)L(i\rho^\vee \otimes \epsilon^{k-1}, k-s).$$

The proof of corollary 2.4 of [Tay4] also gives us the following result.

**Corollary 6.4** *Keep the assumptions of theorem 6.1 and if  $k = 2$  further assume that for some prime  $p \neq l$  we have*

$$\rho|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Then  $\rho$  occurs in the  $l$ -adic cohomology (with coefficients in some Tate twist of the constant sheaf) of some variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

By a *rank  $d$  weakly compatible system of  $l$ -adic representations  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$*  we shall mean a 5-tuple  $(M, S, \{Q_p(X)\}, \{\rho_\lambda\}, \{n_1, \dots, n_d\})$  where

- $M$  is a number field;
- $S$  is a finite set of rational primes;
- for each prime  $p \notin S$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $Q_p(X)$  is a monic degree  $d$  polynomial in  $M[X]$ ;
- for each prime  $\lambda$  of  $M$  (with residue characteristic  $l$  say)

$$\rho_\lambda : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow GL_d(M_\lambda)$$

is a continuous representation such that, if  $l \notin S$  then  $\rho_\lambda|_{G_l}$  is crystalline, if  $p \notin S \cup \{l\}$  then  $\rho_\lambda$  is unramified at  $p$  and  $\rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_p)$  has characteristic polynomial  $Q_p(X)$ ; and

- $\{n_1, \dots, n_d\}$  is a multiset (i.e. set with multiplicities) of integers such that for all primes  $\lambda$  of  $M$  (lying above a rational prime  $l$ ) the representation  $\rho_\lambda|_{G_l}$  is Hodge-Tate with numbers  $\{n_1, \dots, n_d\}$ .

We will call  $\{n_1, \dots, n_d\}$  the *Hodge numbers* of  $\mathcal{R}$ . We will call  $\mathcal{R}$  *strongly compatible* if for each rational prime  $p$  there is a Weil-Deligne representation  $\text{WD}_p(\mathcal{R})$  of  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  such that for primes  $\lambda$  of  $M$  not dividing  $p$ ,  $\text{WD}_p(\mathcal{R})$  is equivalent to the Frobenius semi-simplification of the Weil-Deligne representation associated to  $\rho_\lambda|_{G_p}$ . We will call a rank 2 weakly compatible system  $\mathcal{R}$  *regular* if the Hodge numbers are distinct and for one, and hence all, primes  $\lambda$  of  $M$  we have  $\det \rho_\lambda(c) = -1$ .

We remark that whatever is meant by a ‘‘motive’’, the  $l$ -adic realisations of a ‘‘motive’’ would give rise to weakly compatible systems of  $l$ -adic representations which are generally expected to be strongly compatible. Moreover one can use the Hodge realisation to see that if the Hodge numbers of a rank

2 “motive” are distinct then the associated system of  $l$ -adic representations is regular in the above sense. This explains the perhaps somewhat unnatural definition of regularity given above.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the characterisation of one dimensional Hodge-Tate representations of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Lemma 6.5** *If  $\mathcal{R}/\mathbb{Q}$  is a rank 2 weakly compatible system of  $l$ -adic representations and if  $\rho_\lambda$  is absolutely reducible for one  $\lambda$ , then  $\rho_\lambda$  is absolutely reducible for all  $\lambda$ .*

We will call a rank 2 weakly compatible system of  $l$ -adic representations *reducible* if the hypothesis (and hence the conclusion) of the previous lemma holds. Otherwise we call it *irreducible*.

**Theorem 6.6** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{R} = (M, S, \{Q_x(X)\}, \{\rho_\lambda\}, \{n_1, n_2\})/\mathbb{Q}$  is a regular, irreducible, rank 2 weakly compatible system of  $l$ -adic representations with  $n_1 > n_2$ .*

1. *For all rational primes  $p \notin S$  and for all  $i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the roots of  $i(Q_p(X))$  have absolute value  $p^{-(n_1+n_2)/2}$ .*
2.  *$\mathcal{R}$  is strongly compatible.*
3. *Fix  $i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . If we define*

$$L(i\mathcal{R}, s) = \prod_p L_p(i\mathrm{WD}_p(\mathcal{R})^\vee, s)^{-1}$$

and

$$\epsilon(i\mathcal{R}, s) = i^{1+n_1-n_2} \prod_p \epsilon(i\mathrm{WD}_p(\mathcal{R}S)^\vee \otimes |\mathrm{Art}^{-1}|_p^{-s}, \Psi_p, dx_p)$$

*then the product defining  $L(i\mathcal{R}, s)$  converges to a meromorphic function in  $\mathrm{Re} s > 1 - (n_1 + n_2)/2$  and  $L(i\mathcal{R}, s)$  has meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation*

$$(2\pi)^{-(s+n_1)} \Gamma(s+n_1) L(i\mathcal{R}, s) = \epsilon(i\mathcal{R}, s) (2\pi)^{s+n_2-1} \Gamma(1-n_2-s) L(i\mathcal{R}^\vee, 1-s).$$

*Proof:* We may assume that  $n_1 = 0$ . For all but finitely many primes  $\lambda$  of  $M$  the representation  $\rho_\lambda$  satisfies the hypotheses of theorem 6.1. The first part

follows immediately from corollary 6.2. Choose one such prime  $\lambda$  and fix an embedding  $M_\lambda \subset \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$ . Let  $F$  be as in theorem 6.1 and write

$$1 = \sum_j m_j \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(F/E_j)}^{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})} \chi_j$$

where  $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{Gal}(F/E_j)$  is soluble and  $\chi_j$  is a character of  $\text{Gal}(F/E_j)$ . For each  $j$  we have a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi_j$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{E_j})$  with field of coefficients  $M_j$  and an embedding  $\lambda_j : M_j \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  such that

$$\rho_{\pi_j, \lambda_j} \sim \rho_\lambda|_{G_{E_j}}.$$

We see in particular that  $\lambda_j : M_j \hookrightarrow M$ . Thus any embedding  $\lambda' : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$  gives rise to an embedding  $\lambda'_j : M_j \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^{ac}$ . From the Chebotarev density theorem we see that

$$\rho_{\pi_j, \lambda'_j} \sim \rho_{\lambda'}|_{G_{E_j}}$$

and hence that

$$\rho_{\lambda'} = \sum_j m_j \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ac}/E_j)}^{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ac}/\mathbb{Q})} \rho_{\pi_j, \lambda'_j} \otimes \chi_j.$$

As the  $\rho_{\pi_j, \lambda'_j}$  are strongly compatible (see [Tay1]), the same is true for the  $\rho_{\lambda'}$ . (To check compatibility of the nilpotent operators in the Weil-Deligne representations one notices that it suffices to check that they are equal after any finite base change.) Moreover we see that

$$L(i\mathcal{R}, s) = \prod_j L(\pi_j \otimes (\chi_j \circ \text{Art} \circ \det), s)^{m_j}$$

and that

$$\epsilon(i\mathcal{R}, s) = \prod_j \epsilon(\pi_j \otimes (\chi_j \circ \text{Art} \circ \det), s)^{m_j},$$

and the third part of the theorem follows.  $\square$

As an example suppose that  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  is a rigid Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Let  $\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{Z}$  denote a model for  $X$ . Also let  $\zeta_X(s)$  denote the zeta function of  $X$ , so that

$$\zeta_X(s) = \prod_p \zeta_{X,p}(p^{-s})^{-1},$$

where  $\zeta_{X,p}(T)$  is a rational function of  $T$  and for all but finitely many  $p$  we have

$$\zeta_{X,p}(T) = \prod_x (1 - T^{[k(x):\mathbb{F}_p]})$$

where  $x$  runs over closed points of  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{F}_p$ . If we set

$$Z_X(s) = ((s-1)(s-3))^{-1} (2\pi)^{-s \dim H^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})} \Gamma(s-1)^{\dim H^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})^{c=1}} \Gamma(s-2)^{\dim H^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})^{c=-1}} \zeta_X(s),$$

then we have that

$$Z_X(s) = AB^{s-2} Z_X(4-s)$$

where  $B$  is a non-zero rational number and where  $A = \pm 1$ . (To see this note that

- $H^0(X \times \mathbb{Q}^{ac}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = \mathbb{Q}_l$  and  $H^6(X \times \mathbb{Q}^{ac}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = \mathbb{Q}_l(-3)$ ;
- $H^1(X \times \mathbb{Q}^{ac}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = H^5(X \times \mathbb{Q}^{ac}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = (0)$ ;
- $H^{2,0}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = H^{0,2}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = (0)$  and so by Lefschetz's theorem there is finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $W$  with a continuous action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that

$$H^2(X \times \mathbb{Q}^{ac}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \cong W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_l(-1)$$

and

$$H^4(X \times \mathbb{Q}^{ac}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \cong W^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_l(-2)$$

for all rational primes  $l$ ; and

- $\{H^3(X \times \mathbb{Q}^{ac}, \mathbb{Q}_l)\}$  forms a regular, rank two weakly compatible system in the above sense.

Thus it suffices to combine the above theorem with the functional equation for Artin  $L$ -series.)

## References

- [AS] A.Ash and G.Stevens, *Modular forms in characteristic  $l$  and special values of their  $L$ -functions*, Duke Math. J. 53 (1986), 849–868.
- [BL] J.-L.Brylinski and J.-P.Labesse, *Cohomologie d'intersection et fonctions  $L$  de certaines variétés de Shimura*, Ann. Sci. ENS 17 (1984), 361-412.
- [B] K.Buzzard, *The levels of modular representations*, PhD thesis, Cambridge University, 1995.
- [C1] H.Carayol, *Sur les représentations  $p$ -adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Ann. Sci. ENS (4) 19 (1986), 409-468.

- [C2] H.Carayol, *Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet*, in “*p*-adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture”, Contemp. Math. 165, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994.
- [CDT] B.Conrad, F.Diamond and R.Taylor, *Modularity of certain potentially Barsotti-Tate Galois representations*, JAMS 12 (1999), 521-567.
- [DDT] H.Darmon, F.Diamond and R.Taylor, *Fermat’s last theorem*, in “Elliptic curves, modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem” Internat. Press, 1997.
- [Dia] F.Diamond, *The Taylor-Wiles construction and multiplicity one*, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), 379-391.
- [Ed] S.Edixhoven, *The weight in Serre’s conjectures on modular forms*, Invent. Math. 109 (1992), 563-594.
- [Fa] G.Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois representations*, Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory, Proc. JAMI Inaugural Conference, Johns-Hopkins Univ. Press (1989), 25-79.
- [FL] J.-M.Fontaine and G.Laffaille, *Construction de représentations p-adiques*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 15 (1982), 547-608.
- [FM] J.-M.Fontaine and B.Mazur, *Geometric Galois representations*, in “Elliptic curves, modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem”, International Press 1995.
- [Fu] K.Fujiwara, *Deformation rings and Hecke algebras in the totally real case*, preprint.
- [HT] R.Taylor and M.Harris, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, Annals of Math. Studies 151, PUP 2001.
- [Lang] S.Lang, *Complex multiplication*, Springer 1983.
- [Langl] R.Langlands, *Base change for GL(2)*, PUP 1980.
- [Rap] M.Rapoport, *Compactifications de l’espace de modules de Hilbert-Blumental*, Comp. Math. 36 (1978), 255-335.
- [S] J.-P.Serre, *Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de Gal( $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}$ )*, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987), 179-230.

- [SW1] C.Skinner and A.Wiles, *Base change and a problem of Serre*, Duke Math. J. 107 (2001), 15–25.
- [SW2] C.Skinner and A.Wiles, *Nearly ordinary deformations of irreducible residual representations*, preprint.
- [Tat] J.Tate, *Number theoretic background*, in “Automorphic forms, representations and  $L$ -functions, part 2”, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. XXXIII, AMS 1979.
- [Tay1] R.Taylor, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. 98 (1989), 265-280.
- [Tay2] R.Taylor, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms II*, in “Elliptic curves, modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem” eds. J.Coates and S.T.Yau, International Press 1995.
- [Tay3] R.Taylor, *On icosahedral Artin representations II*, preprint.
- [Tay4] R.Taylor, *On a conjecture of Fontaine and Mazur*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu 1 (2001), 1-19.
- [Tu] J.Tunnell, *Artin’s conjecture for representations of octahedral type*, Bull. AMS 5 (1981), 173-175.
- [TW] R.Taylor and A.Wiles, *Ring theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. 141 (1995), 553-572.
- [W1] A.Wiles, *On ordinary  $\lambda$ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent. Math. 94 (1988), 529–573.
- [W2] A.Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem*, Ann. of Math. 141 (1995), 443-551.