

We prove here a special case of Borel's general structure theorem for Hopf algebras.

Let $H = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i$ be a graded anticommutative K -algebra with K a perfect field, $H^0 = K$, and assume

that H is finitely generated, say by $\overset{\text{nonzero}}{\wedge}$ homog elements x_i , $\deg(x_i) > 0$. We say $\{x_i\}$ is minimal if

(1) $\deg(x_1) \leq \deg(x_2) \leq \dots$

(2) x_i is ~~not~~ not a poly in $\{x_j\}_{j \neq i}$

(3) $\text{ht}(x_k) \geq \text{ht}(x_k + P(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})) \quad \forall P \in K[T_1, \dots, T_{k-1}]$

where for $h \in H$, $\text{ht}(h) = \inf \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid h^n = 0, h^{n-1} \neq 0\}$,

with $h^0 \equiv 1$. ~~We have $\text{ht} = \infty$ allowed.~~ We have $\text{ht} = \infty$ allowed.

We say H is a Hopf algebra if \exists a map

$f: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ of graded ~~anticommutative~~ K -algebras

such that \forall homog $h \in H$ ~~nonconstant~~ nonconstant

$$f(h) = h \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h + x_1 \otimes y_1 + \dots + x_s \otimes y_s$$

with x_i, y_i homog, $\deg(x_i), \deg(y_i) > 0$, $\deg(x_i) + \deg(y_i) = \deg(h)$.

~~Thus~~ Thus Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a min set of generators of H ,

$h_i = \text{ht}(x_i)$ (maybe ∞). Then $x_1^{r_1} \dots x_m^{r_m}$ ~~are a K -basis of H~~ $0 \leq r_i < h_i$ are a K -basis of H , so H is the assoc graded

anticomm alg. gen'd by x_1, \dots, x_m modulo relation $x_i^{h_i} = 0$ for $h_i < \infty$

2] Pf: Note first that ~~for~~ for prime $p > 0$,

$$\binom{r}{s} \equiv 0 \pmod p \quad \forall 0 < s < r$$

iff $r = 1$ or $r = p^n$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Also, ~~for~~ for homog $x \in H$ ~~nonzero~~,

$$p \neq 2, \deg(x) \text{ odd} \Rightarrow x \cdot x \equiv -x \cdot x \Rightarrow x \cdot x = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \text{ht}(x) = 2.$$

and $p = 2$ or $\deg(x)$ even $\Rightarrow x$ lies in center of H .
(or $x = 0$)

Thus, $(x+y)^p = x^p + y^p \quad \forall x, y \in H$ (take cases,

noting first by induction on # of homog components

that wlog x, y are homog, nonzero and $x+y \neq 0$).

Define I_k to be ideal in $H \otimes H$ gen'd by
(so $I_0 = 0$)
 $x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, x_k \otimes 1$. Since $\{\deg(x_i)\}$ is monotone increasing

$$f(x_k) \equiv x_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_k \pmod{I_{k+1}}$$

$$f(x_i) \equiv 1 \otimes x_i \pmod{I_{k+1}} \quad \forall 1 \leq i < k.$$

~~Define~~

Thus,

$$(*) \quad f(x_k^{r_k} \cdots x_1^{r_1}) = f(x_k)^{r_k} \cdots f(x_1)^{r_1}$$

$$\equiv (x_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_k)^{r_k} \cdots (1 \otimes (x_{k-1}^{r_{k-1}} \cdots x_1^{r_1})) \pmod{I_{k+1}}$$

$$\equiv x_k^{r_k} \otimes (x_{k-1}^{r_{k-1}} \cdots x_1^{r_1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{r_k-1} \binom{r_k}{i} x_k^i \otimes x_k^{r_k-i} x_{k-1}^{r_{k-1}} \cdots x_1^{r_1} \pmod{I_{k+1}}$$

~~Define~~

We define a normal monomial in H to be an element $x_k^{r_k} \dots x_1^{r_1}$ with $0 < r_k < h_k, 0 \leq r_i < h_i$ for $1 \leq i < k$. This is either 0 or else has degree

$$\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \deg(x_i).$$

We define a normal monomial in $H \otimes H$ to be an element $a \otimes b$ with

$a, b \in H$ normal monomials. We need to show that normal monomials are nonzero and are lin indep over K . Clearly it

suffices to consider only those with

$$\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \deg(x_i) = n \text{ for fixed } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{ and we'll induct on } n.$$

The case $n=1$ is clear from condition (2) in defn of minimality, ~~and case 0~~

So now we may assume ~~that~~ that result holds in degrees $< n, n > 1$.

Thus, ~~the natural maps of k vector spaces~~

in H the ~~elements~~ $\sum_{i=1}^m \{ x_k^{r_k} \dots x_1^{r_1} \mid 0 < r_k < h_k, 0 \leq r_i < h_i \forall 1 \leq i < k \}$

$$\sum_{i \in K} r_i \deg(x_i) < n$$

are K -lin indep and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ a \otimes b \in H \otimes H \mid a, b \in \Sigma_n \}$

are K -lin indep too.

4)

and a normal monomial in $K[T_{k_1}, \dots, T_1]$ is one of form $T_k^{r_k} \dots T_1^{r_1}$ with $0 < r_k < h_k, 0 \leq r_i < h_i$.

Now we assume have a relation

$$P(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_1) = \sum_{0 \leq r_i < h_i} a_{r_{k_1}, \dots, r_1} x_{k_1}^{r_{k_1}} \dots x_1^{r_1} = 0$$

with $a_r \in K$ not all zero and have

usual lexicographical ordering, with some

$r_k \neq 0$ term appearing. We call such an

expression a 'normal polynomial' in H . We also assume wrt lex ordering this is a 'minimal' such example. We can write (based on 'leading' term).

~~$$P = T_k^r Q(T_{k_1}, \dots, T_1) + R(T_{k_1}, \dots, T_1)$$~~

$$P = T_k^r Q(T_{k_1}, \dots, T_1) + R \quad \text{in } K[T_{k_1}, \dots, T_1]$$

with $r = \max_{a_r \neq 0} r_k > 0$ and $\deg_{T_k}(R) < r$.

With obvious rule for evaluation (ie, write elts in $K[T_{k_1}, \dots, T_1]$ as K -lin comb of $T_k^{e_k} \dots T_1^{e_1}$), we have

$$0 = P(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_1) = x_{k_1}^r Q(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_1) + R(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_1) \quad \text{in } H.$$

Claim $Q = \text{const}$ (non zero by defn of r, R). and minimality of P

and $r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p=0 \\ \text{power of } p(\text{incl. 1}) & \text{if } p > 0. \end{cases}$

Pfs By (*),

$$f(x_k^r Q) = x_k^r Q + \sum_{0 \leq i < r} \binom{r}{i} x_k^i (x_k^{r-i} Q) \quad \text{mod } I_{k-1}$$

~~From defining property of f and fact that P is homogeneous terms of degree~~

Since $P=0$, so $f(P)=0$, we have

$$X_k^r \otimes Q + \sum_{0 \leq i < r} \binom{r}{i} X_k^i \otimes (X_k^{r-i} Q) = -f(P) \text{ in } (H/(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})) \otimes H.$$

~~Since P is minimal~~ By (*) and $\deg_{T_k}(P) < r$, we have that in $(H/(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})) \otimes H$, $-f(P)$ is a K -linear combination of elements of the form $X_k^i \otimes X_k^j a$ with ~~where~~ a a normal in x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} and $i+j < r$. Since ~~the~~ $\{X_k^e \mid 0 \leq e \leq r\}$ are K -lin indep in $H/(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$ if $\deg Q > 0$

(due to minimality of P and degree considerations), we conclude that if $\deg Q > 0$ then

$X_k^r \otimes Q = 0$ ~~in~~ ~~in~~ $H \otimes H$. But $X_k^r \neq 0$ $\because r < h_k$ and ~~the~~ $Q(x_k, \dots, x_1) \neq 0$ by minimality of P , so have $\Rightarrow \in$.

$\therefore Q = \text{const}$, so $\text{NLOG } Q = 1$.

~~Now we have $n = r + \deg(x_k)$~~

Now

$$f(x_k) = x_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_k + \sum a_i \otimes b_i$$

with $0 < \deg a_i, \deg b_i < \deg(x_i)$

and a_i, b_i are ~~normal~~ ^{normal} monomials in T_1, \dots, T_{k-1} (if any a_i, b_i occur).

Thus,

$$f(x_k^r) = f(x_k)^r = x_k^r \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_k^r + \sum_{0 < i < r} \binom{r}{i} x_k^i \otimes x_k^{r-i}$$

$$+ \sum \alpha_j \otimes \beta_j$$

$$= (-R) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (-R) + \sum_{0 < i < r} \binom{r}{i} x_k^i \otimes x_k^{r-i} + \sum \alpha_j \otimes \beta_j$$

where α_j, β_j are ~~normal~~ ^{normal} monomials in

T_{k-1}, \dots, T_1 with $\deg_{T_k} \alpha_j + \deg_{T_k} \beta_j < r$.

We compute $f(R)$ similarly, and get

$$f(R) = \sum \gamma_j \otimes \delta_j \quad \text{with } \gamma_j, \delta_j \text{ normal monomials}$$

in T_{k-1}, \dots, T_1 with $\deg_{T_k}(\gamma_j) + \deg_{T_k}(\delta_j) \leq \deg_{T_k}(R) < r$.

Thus, in the equality

$$-f(x_k^r) = f(R)$$

we have expressions for both sides in the

form $\sum u_e \otimes v_e$ with u_e, v_e normal monomials

in T_{k-1}, \dots, T_1 with $\deg_{T_k}(u_e), \deg_{T_k}(v_e) < r$.

17

Thus, by minimality of $P = T_k^r - R$, we conclude that ~~all~~ ~~of~~ these $a_{i,j}$ monomials remain lin indep in H ,

so can read off lin indep in $H \otimes H$.
 (ie, safe to think in $K[T_k \rightarrow T, J]$)

Since $\deg_{T_k} \alpha_j + \deg_{T_k} \beta_j, \deg_{T_k} (\alpha_j) + \deg_{T_k} (\beta_j) < r$,
 we conclude that all terms

$\binom{r}{i} x_k^i \otimes x_k^{r-i}$ for $0 < i < r$ must vanish,
 which is to say $\binom{r}{i} = 0$ in $K \quad \forall 0 < i < r$.
 $\therefore, r=1$ if $p=0$ and $r \in p^{\mathbb{N}}$ if $p > 0$.

□ □ □ Claim.

Set $Q=1$ WLOG.

If $r=1$, then ~~$\deg_{T_k} P < r=1$~~ ,
 $\deg_{T_k} P < r=1$,

so $T_k = -R(x_{k+1} \rightarrow x_1) = -R(x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_1)$,
 contrary to minimality condition (2).

This settles $p=0$, so now we may assume $p > 0$ and $r = p^t, t \geq 1$,

$$P = T_k^r + R(x_{k+1} \rightarrow x_1), \quad \deg_{T_k}(R) < r$$

~~Since $\deg_{T_k} P < r$, we see $\deg_{T_k} R < r$~~

We'll show that for each of the normal

8

monomials in R (which can be viewed in H or $K[T_0]$, as the indep of these is same in each case, as all have $\deg_{T_k} < r$ and \mathbb{I} is a 'minimal' counterexample), all exponents are divisible by r and $\deg_{T_k} R = 0$. Since $r = p^t$ and K is perfect, we conclude (using $(a+b)^p = a^p + b^p$ in H) that $R = R_1^{p^t}$ for $R_1 \in K[T_{k-1}, \dots, T_1]$ a sum of normal ~~monomials~~ monomials, whence in H

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathbb{I} &= X_k^r + R_1^{p^t}(X_{k-1}, \dots, X_1) \\ &= X_k^r + (R_1(X_{k-1}, \dots, X_1))^r && \text{(since } r \text{ is } p^{\text{th}} \text{ power} \\ & && \text{and } (a+b)^p = a^p + b^p \text{ in } H) \\ &= (X_k + R_1(X_{k-1}, \dots, X_1))^r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{ht}(X_k) = h_k > r \geq \text{ht}(X_k + R_1(X_{k-1}, \dots, X_1))$ contrary to condition (3) in minimality of $\{x_i\}$. Thus, we'd be done.

so remains to show

Claim $\deg_{T_k} R = 0$ and all (normal) monomials appearing in R are r^{th} powers of (non-normal) monomials.

Pf: We do decreasing induction wrt
lexicographical ordering on the normal
monomials appearing in R , so have
in $K[T_k, \dots, T_1]$, ~~in degree~~

$P = (T_k + S(T_{k-1}, \dots, T_1))^r + T_j^t Q(T_{j-1}, \dots, T_1) + U(T_j, \dots, T_1)$
with $j \leq k$, $\deg_{T_j}(U) < t$, ~~and~~ ~~and~~ ~~and~~ ~~and~~ ~~and~~
all monomials in $T_j^t Q + U$ are less than
those in S^r , with S a k -lin comb of normal
monomials (maybe 0, at beginning).

In H have same identity, all in degree n
(in particular, ~~$f(x_k + S(x_{k-1}, \dots, x_1))$~~ $S(x_{k-1}, \dots, x_1) \in H^{\deg(x_k)}$ component).

We have

$f(x_k + S(x_{k-1}, \dots, x_1)) = (x_k + S) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (x_k + S) + \sum \xi_i a_i \otimes b_i$,
with $\xi_i \in K^*$, a_i, b_i normal monomials in x_{k-1}, \dots, x_k
with degree $< \deg(x_k)$ (this $<$ is why no x_k 's appear).

$\therefore f(x_k + S)^r = (x_k + S)^r \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (x_k + S)^r + \sum \xi_i^r a_i^r \otimes b_i^r$.

~~We can (and do)~~
~~We can (and do) drop all terms with $a_i^r \otimes b_i^r = 0$.~~

Note, ~~$(x_k + S)^r \neq 0$, since otherwise~~
 ~~$\text{ht}(x_k) = \text{ht}(x_k + S(x_{k-1}, \dots, x_1))$~~
~~violates condition (3) in minimality.~~

10

Since $T_j^t \mathbb{Q} + U$ is 'less' than the (minimal) counterexample P , all ~~the~~ ^(nec) (normal) monomials

in it ~~are~~ remain lin indep when viewed

in H . ^{Assume $\mathbb{Q} \neq \text{const}$, so we can} let a be the 'biggest' ^{normal} monomial

in \mathbb{Q} wrt lexicographical ordering;

so ~~when~~ when we compute $f(x_j^t \mathbb{Q} + U) \in H \otimes H$.

~~is~~ in form $\sum_j \tilde{a}_j \otimes \tilde{b}_j$ with $\{\tilde{a}_j\}, \{\tilde{b}_j\}$ lin indep ^{sets of} normal monomials, we have one term

of $\sum_j x_j^t \otimes a$, with $\tilde{a} \in K^r$ the coeff of a in \mathbb{Q} .

Since $f(x_j^t \mathbb{Q} + U) = -f((x_k + s)^r)$ (as $f(P) = 0$),

~~comparing with~~

$$= -(x_k + s)^r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (x_k + s)^r$$
$$\neq \sum_j \tilde{a}_j \otimes b_j$$

~~and as normal monomials~~

~~$(x_k + s)^r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (x_k + s)^r$~~

~~$\sum_j \tilde{a}_j \otimes b_j$~~

~~$(x_k + s)^r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (x_k + s)^r$~~

$$= -(x_k^r + s^r) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (x_k^r + s^r) - \sum_i \sum_j \tilde{a}_i \otimes b_j$$

is another expression in ~~the~~ terms of ~~the~~ normal monomials (w/some repetitions perhaps) and these are K -indep due to minimality

of P (note $T_j^t a + u \neq 0$ by hypothesis)
~~is not zero~~, when we collect like terms. — Note indeed that $a_i(x_{k-1}, \dots, x_1)$, $b_i(x_{k-1}, \dots, x_1)$ have degree $< \deg(x_k)$, so r^{th} powers have degree $< r \cdot \deg(x_k) = n$ (and in particular $a_i^r, b_i^r \neq 0$).

The only possible contribution of $x_j^t \otimes a$ has to be from some $a_i^r \otimes b_i^r$ since either $j < k$ or, if $j = k$, then $t < r$ ~~(since $\deg R < r$)~~.
 ($\because \deg_{x_k} R < r$).

\therefore , for some i have

$$x_j^t = a_i^r, \quad a = b_i^r$$

so $\otimes T_j^t a = (a_i b_i)^r$ is r^{th} power of a (normal) monomial in $K[T_{k+1}, \dots, T_1]$ (recall a_i, b_i have no x_k contribution).
 Substitute this ~~into~~ $a_i b_i$ into S .

Continuing in this way, it remains to consider the possibility that $Q = \sum_{c \in K} c x^k$,
 where $c \neq 0$ ($\because Q \neq 0$, as noted at beginning).

Thus, ~~since $j < k$ and~~ $j < k$ and

12

$$t \deg(x_j) = r \deg(x_k) \geq r \deg(x_j) \Rightarrow r \leq t.$$

IF t is a ~~power~~ ^{of p} power, then r/t , so x_j^t is r -th power and so is coeff $c \in K^x$ ($c \in K$ is perfect), so can suck this into S .

Next, if t is not a ~~power~~ power of p , then $f(c \cdot x_j^t)$ has ^{nonzero} term of form

$$c \cdot \binom{t}{q} x_j^q \otimes x_j^{t-q} \quad (0 < q < t)$$

relative to usual normal monomial 'basis'

(important that $j < k$ so lin indep is not of problem in H for expansion of $f(c \cdot x_j^t)$).

Since $f(U)$ has expansion relative to this 'basis' and contributes no terms like $x_j^q \otimes x_j^{t-q}$ with total degree t in x_j ,

we conclude that $x_j^q \otimes x_j^{t-q}$ is one of the 'basis' terms appearing in

$$f(x_j + s) = -f(c \cdot x_j^t + U).$$

we ~~again~~ have (by reasoning as earlier) that for some i , $x_j^q = a_i^r$, $x_j^{t-q} = b_i^r$, so

in this case

$$x_j^t = x_j^q \cdot x_j^{t-q} = (a_i b_i)^t$$

is an r^{th} power of a monomial in x_{k+1}, \dots, x_1 after all (this actually forces $t \geq r$, ~~but~~ hence $a \Rightarrow \in$, but that doesn't matter). Suck this into S .

Keep going.

QED Claim

QED Thm.

Remark The pb doesn't require H to be a finite type, but even just countably generated over K .

